# FAKULTÄT AGRARWISSENSCHAFTEN

Aus dem Institut für Kulturpflanzenwissenschaften Universität Hohenheim Fachgebiet Qualität pflanzlicher Erzeugnisse (340e) Prof. Dr. Christian Zörb



Analyse von Wachstum und Qualität von Weizen unter ansteigender CO<sub>2</sub> Konzentration als Folge des Klimawandels

Dissertation

zur Erlangung des Grades eines Doktors

der Agrarwissenschaften

vorgelegt

der Fakultät Agrarwissenschaften

von

Markus Dier

aus Ulm

Stuttgart-Hohenheim 2019

Die vorliegende Arbeit wurde am 13.12.2019 von der Fakultät Agrarwissenschaften der Universität Hohenheim als "Dissertation zur Erlangung des Grades eines Doktors der Agrarwissenschaften" angenommen.

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung: 29.04.2020

Leiter der Prüfung:	Prof. Dr. Jörn Bennewitz
Berichterstatter und 1. Prüfer/in:	Prof. Dr. Christian Zörb
Mitberichterstatter:	Prof. Dr. Christoph-Martin Geilfus
2. Prüfer:	Prof. Dr. Thorsten Müller
3. Prüfer:	Prof. Dr. Uwe Ludewig

## Liste der eingebundenen Veröffentlichungen in der vorliegenden Dissertation:

Dier, M., Meinen, R., Erbs, M., Kollhorst, L., Baillie, C. K., Kaufholdt, D., ..., Manderscheid, R. (2018). Effects of free air carbon dioxide enrichment (FACE) on nitrogen assimilation and growth of winter wheat under nitrate and ammonium fertilization. *Global Change Biology* 24(1), e40-e54.

Dier, M., Sickora, J., Erbs, M., Weigel, H. J., Zörb, C., Manderscheid, R. (2018). Decreased wheat grain yield stimulation by free air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment under N deficiency is strongly related to decreased radiation use efficiency enhancement. *European Journal of Agronomy 101*, 38-48.

Dier, M., Sickora, J., Erbs, M., Weigel, H. J., Zörb, C., Manderscheid, R. (2019). Positive effects of free air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment on N remobilization and post-anthesis N uptake in winter wheat. *Field Crops Research* 234, 107-118.

Dier, M., Hüther, L., Schulze, W. X., Erbs, M., Köhler, P., Weigel, H. J., ..., Zörb, C. (2020). Elevated Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> Concentration Has Limited Effect on Wheat Grain Quality Regardless of Nitrogen Supply. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 68(12), 3711-3721.

# Inhalt

Relevante Abkürzungen	6
1. Allgemeine Einleitung	7
1.1 Bedeutung von Weizen	7
1.2 Ertragsbildung	7
1.3. N-Aneignung des Korns	8
1.4 Anthropogener Klimawandel	9
1.5 Wirkung von e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	. 10
1.6 Free Air CO <sub>2</sub> Enrichment	. 11
1.7 Offene Fragen und Ziele der Dissertation	. 11
1.8 Referenzen	. 15
2. Kapitel: Einfluss von Quantität der N-Düngung auf die Bildung des Kornertrags unter e[CO2]	. 18
2.1 Abstrakt	. 18
2.2 Einleitung	. 18
2.3 Material und Methoden	. 19
2.4 Ergebnisse	. 22
2.5 Diskussion	. 22
2.6 Anhang	. 29
3. Kapitel: Wirkung von e[CO2] auf N-Aneignung, N-Remobilisierung und postanthetische N-	
Aufnahme	. 33
3.1 Abstrakt	. 33
3.2 Einleitung	. 33
3.3 Material und Methoden	. 34
3.4 Ergebnisse	. 36
3.5 Diskussion	. 39
3.6 Anhang	. 45
4. Kapitel: Wirkung von e[CO <sub>2</sub> ] auf NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -Assimilation und Wachstum bei NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> - und NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -basierte	er
N-Düngung	. 50
4.1 Abstrakt	. 50
4.2 Einleitung	. 51
4.3 Material und Methoden	. 52
4.4 Ergebnisse	. 55
4.5 Diskussion	. 57
4.6 Anhang	. 64

Kapitel 5: Wirkung von e[CO <sub>2</sub> ] auf die Kornprotein-Zusammensetzung und Backqualität	12
5.1 Abstrakt	12
5.2 Einleitung	12
5.3 Material und Methoden	73
5.4 Ergebnisse	75
5.5 Diskussion	78
5.6 Anhang	33
5. Kapitel: Abschließende Diskussion	36
6.1 Einfluss der Quantität der N-Düngung auf die Ertragssteigerung durch e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	36
6.2 Prozessanalyse der Reduktion der Korn-N-Konzentration durch e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	37
6.3 Wirkung von e[CO <sub>2</sub> ] auf die Kornprotein-Zusammensetzung und Backqualität	<b>)</b> 2
6.4 Anpassungsmaßnahmen zur Optimierung des CO <sub>2</sub> -Düngeeffekts und Erhalt der Kornqualität unter e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	<del>)</del> 4
6.5 Ausblick für weitere Forschung	€
6.6 Referenzen	<del>)</del> 6
. Kapitel: Zusammenfassung	)0
3. Kapitel: Summary	)2

## Relevante Abkürzungen

a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]:	Umgebungs-Kohlenstoffdioxid-Konzentration
AR:	über die Vegetationsperiode akkumulierte absorbierte photosynthetisch aktive
	Strahlung
C:	Kohlenstoff
$CO_2$	Kohlenstoffdioxid
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]:	erhöhte atmosphärische Kohlenstoffdioxid-Konzentration
FACE:	Free Air CO <sub>2</sub> Enrichment
GOGAT:	Glutamin-Oxoglutarat-Aminotransferase
GS:	Glutamin-Synthetase
HI:	Ernteindex
HMW-GS:	hochmolekulare Glutenin-Untereinheiten
LAI:	grüner Blattflächenindex
LMW-GS:	niedermolekulare Glutenin-Untereinheiten
N:	Stickstoff
NADH:	Nicotinamidadenindinukleotid
Nabs:	postanthetische N-Aufnahme
$\mathbf{NH_4}^+$ :	Ammonium
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> :	Nitrat
$NO_2^-$ :	Nitrit
Nrem:	N-Remobilisierung
NR:	Nitratreduktase
NRA:	Nitratreduktase-Aktivität
NRE:	Effizienz der N-Remobilisierung
$O_2$	Sauerstoff
ppm:	Parts per Million
RuBisCO:	Ribulose-1,5,-bisphosphat Reduktase/Oxygenase
RUE:	Strahlungsnutzungseffizienz
S	Schwefel

## **<u>1. Allgemeine Einleitung</u>**

### 1.1 Bedeutung von Weizen

Weizen (*Triticum aestivum* L.) ist global die zweitwichtigste Nutzpflanze, die etwa 30 % des Energie-, 20 % des Proteinbedarfs sowie 20–40 % des Bedarfs wichtiger Mineralien der Weltbevölkerung deckt (Shewry und Hey, 2015; FAOSTAT, 2017). Zudem hat Weizenmehl einzigartig gute Backeigenschaften, die wesentlich auf der Zusammensetzung des Kornproteins basieren (Belitz et al., 2009). Dieses kann chemisch in eine Albumin/ Globulin-, Gliadin- und Glutenin-Fraktion aufgetrennt werden. Die letzten beiden Fraktionen werden als Gluten zusammengefasst und bestimmen die Backqualität durch Bildung eines viskoelastischen Protein-Netzwerks beim Teigrühren. Gliadine sind Einzelproteine, die in  $\omega$ -,  $\alpha$ - und  $\gamma$ -Gliadine unterteilt werden und die Viskosität des Teiges bestimmen. Glutenine sind Proteinaggregate aus hoch- (HMW-GS) und niedermolekularen Untereinheiten (LMW-GS), die für die Elastizität und Stabilität des Teigs verantwortlich sind. Das Gluten-Netzwerk sorgt dafür, dass der Teig CO<sub>2</sub>-Moleküle aus der Hefegärung halten kann und dadurch "aufgeht".

## **1.2 Ertragsbildung**

Bei Weizen (und anderen Getreiden) kann der Kornertrag (Y) als Funktion dreier Komponenten beschrieben werden: (1.) Photosynthetisch aktive Strahlung die über die Vegetationsperiode vom Weizenbestand absorbiert und akkumuliert wird (AR), (2.) Umwandlungseffizienz der AR in Biomasse (Strahlungsnutzungseffizienz: RUE) sowie (3.) Biomasseanteil der den Körnern zugewiesenen wird (Ernteindex: HI).

$$Y = AR * RUE * HI$$

AR hängt von der zeitlichen Entwicklung und Größe des grünen Blattflächenindexes (LAI) ab, wobei AR kurvenförmig mit zunehmendem LAI bis zu einem kritischen Wert (LAI<sub>krit</sub>) ansteigt, ab dem keine weitere AR-Steigerung mehr erfolgt. Dagegen nehmen mit ansteigendem LAI Beschattungseffekte zwischen den Blättern zu, die mit einer Abnahme der Blatt-Stickstoff (N)-Konzentration verbunden sind (Bertheloot et al., 2008). RUE hängt wesentlich vom Gehalt und der Aktivität des Enzyms Ribulose-1,5,-bisphosphat Reduktase/Oxygenase (RuBisCO) ab, das durch dessen Reduktase-Funktion die CO<sub>2</sub>-Fixierung und durch dessen Oxygenase-Funktion die Photorespiration einleitet. Eine Erhöhung der N-Versorgung steigert den Kornertrag wesentlich durch Steigerung der AR bis der kritische LAI-Wert erreicht ist. RUE wird durch die N-Versorgung kaum beeinflusst, aber nimmt bei starkem N-Mangel deutlich ab (Sinclair und Horie, 1989). RUE wird stark durch die CO<sub>2</sub>-Konzentration durch Änderung der RuBisCO-Aktivität beeinflusst. Ein Anstieg des Quotienten der CO<sub>2</sub>- und O<sub>2</sub>-Konzentration steigert die CO<sub>2</sub>-Fixierung und dadurch RUE, wohingegen eine Abnahme dieses Quotienten die Photorespiration steigert und so die RUE reduziert. Ein Anstieg der Temperatur bewirkt eine Abnahme der Affinität von RuBisCO zu CO<sub>2</sub> und fördert so die Reaktivität der CO<sub>2</sub>-Fixierung auf eine erhöhte atmosphärische CO<sub>2</sub>-Konzentration.

## 1.3. N-Aneignung des Korns

Bei Weizen und anderen Getreiden hängt der N-Gehalt im Korn wesentlich von drei Prozessen ab: (1.) N-Aufnahme der vegetativen Organe bis zur Anthese, (2.) Verlagerung von diesem aufgenommenen N in die Körner während der Kornfüllungsphase (N-Remobilisierung) und (3.) N-Aufnahme der Körner während der Kornfüllung (postanthetische N-Aufnahme).

Der 1. und 3. Prozess beinhaltet wesentlich die Aufnahme von Nitrat ( $NO_3^-$ ) durch die Wurzel, dessen Xylem-Transport sowie Assimilation. Bei geringen  $NO_3^-$ -Konzentrationen im Boden sind die Hauptorte der  $NO_3^-$ -Assimilation die Wurzeln und bei hohen Konzentrationen wie in Agrarsystemen sind die Hauptorte die Blätter (Andrews et al., 2013). Der erste Schritt der  $NO_3^-$ -Assimilation erfolgt durch das Enzym Nitratreduktase (NR) das Nicotinamidadenindinukleotid (NADH)-abhängig  $NO_3^-$  zu Nitrit ( $NO_2^-$ ) reduziert. Die beiden weiteren Schritte sind die Reduktion von  $NO_2^-$  zu Ammonium ( $NH_4^+$ ) und dessen Assimilation zu Glutamin und Glutaminsäure durch die Enzyme Glutamin-Synthetase (GS) und Glutamin-Oxoglutarat-Aminotransferase (GOGAT). Eine weitere wichtige N-Quelle für Weizen ist  $NH_4^+$ . Jedoch wird dieses verglichen mit  $NO_3^-$  in geringeren Mengen aufgenommen was wesentlich auf Nitrifikation zurückzuführen ist. Dessen Assimilation erfolgt hauptsächlich in den Wurzeln und beinhaltet die  $NH_4^+$ -Assimilation zu Glutamin und Glutaminsäure durch die Lazyme GS und GOGAT.

Das für die Aktivität der Nitratreduktase (NRA) notwendige NADH stammt aus der Photorespiration (Bloom et al., 2015) sowie der CO<sub>2</sub>-Fixierung (Foyer et al., 2009). Die Photorespiration treibt den Malat-Transport aus den Chloroplasten ins Cytoplasma an, wobei durch die anschließende Oxidation des Malats NADH generiert wird. Die CO<sub>2</sub>-Fixierung fördert die Synthese von Glycerinaldehyd-3-phoshat und dessen Transport ins Cytoplasma, in dem durch die Oxidation von Glycerinaldehyd-3-phoshat NADH entsteht.

Die N-Remobilisierung (Nrem) beginnt direkt nach dem Wachstumsstadium der Anthese und lässt sich in eine Prä-Seneszenz (bis 8–16 Tage nach der Anthese) und Seneszenz-abhängige Phase einteilen (Kong et al., 2016). Über 80 % des remobilisierten N wird in Form von Aminosäuren (größtenteils Glutamin) über das Phloem angeliefert. Diese entstehen wesentlich aus dem Proteinabbau durch Proteasen und GS-abhängigem Recycling (Kong et al., 2016). Die übrigen 20 % des remobilisierten N werden in Form von kleinen Peptiden, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> oder Harnstoff angeliefert (Have et al., 2016). Die Nrem erfolgt wesentlich aus den Blättern, Halm und Spelzen, wobei bei ersterem die Effizienz am größten ist. Die Effizienz der N-Remobilisierung (NRE) ist definiert als der Anteil des bis zur Anthese aufgenommenen N, der in das Korn remobilisiert wurde.

Von Sorte und Umweltbedingungen abhängig stammen 40–95 % des Korn-N aus der Nrem und dementsprechend 5–60 % aus der postanthetische N-Aufnahme (Nabs) (Kong et al., 2016). Die beiden Prozesse sind negativ korreliert (Bogard et al., 2010).

### **1.4 Anthropogener Klimawandel**

Die atmosphärische CO<sub>2</sub>-Konzentration lag vor der Industrialisierung bei etwa 280 Parts per Million (ppm). Durch die massive Verbrennung fossiler Brennstoffe ist diese auf gegenwärtig 414 ppm angestiegen und könnte bis 2100 weiter auf 730–1020 ppm zunehmen (IPCC, 2013). Eine erhöhte atmosphärische CO<sub>2</sub>-Konzentration (e[CO<sub>2</sub>]) ist wesentlich für die globale Klimaerwärmung verantwortlich, die zukünftig die Intensität und Dauer von Hitze- und Trockenperioden stark erhöhen könnte (IPCC, 2013). Jedoch soll nach dem Übereinkommen von Paris der Anstieg der globalen Durchschnittstemperatur auf 1.5–2 °C begrenzt werden und nach Betts und McNeall (2018) würde das einem CO<sub>2</sub>-Anstieg in der Atmosphäre zwischen 500–620 ppm entsprechen.

## 1.5 Wirkung von e[CO<sub>2</sub>]

Eine entscheidende Wirkung von  $e[CO_2]$  ist die Steigerung der Photosynthese (CO<sub>2</sub>-Fixierung) sowie von Wachstum und Ertrag von C3-Nutzpflanzen (Ainsworth und Long, 2005). Nach einer Meta-Analyse könnte bei Weizen der Kornertrag um 15 % unter der  $e[CO_2]$  von 550 ppm zunehmen (Ainsworth und Long, 2005). Zudem vermindert  $e[CO_2]$  die Transpiration durch Verringerung der Öffnungsweite der Stomata (Ainsworth und Rogers, 2007) was zu einer Verminderung der Evapotranspiration und dadurch von Trockenstress führen kann (Manderscheid et al., 2018). Das Ausmaß der Ertragssteigerung durch  $e[CO_2]$  ist von Standortfaktoren abhängig, insbesondere der Temperatur (Long, 1991) sowie der Wasser- und N-Verfügbarkeit (Kimball et al., 2002). Wegen der abnehmenden Affinität von RuBisCO zu CO<sub>2</sub> mit ansteigender Temperatur, verstärkt ein Temperaturanstieg die Photosynthese- und Wachstumssteigerung durch  $e[CO_2]$ . Weiterhin wurde festgestellt dass die Ertragssteigerung durch  $e[CO_2]$  bei Trockenstress verstärkt, aber unter starkem N-Mangel vermindert ist (Kimball et al., 2002).

Neben diesen positiven Effekten führt  $e[CO_2]$  zu einer Reduktion der N- bzw. Protein-Konzentration, insbesondere im Blatt und Korn. Meta-Analysen zeigten, dass bei Weizen die Blatt N-Konzentration zwischen 9 bis 16 % (Cotrufo et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2013) und eine Modellierungsstudie, dass die Kornprotein-Konzentration um 9 % unter der  $e[CO_2]$  von 550 ppm abnehmen könnte (Asseng et al., 2019). Mehr als 25 % des Blattproteins ist RuBisCO und deshalb könnte eine Reduktion des Blattproteins durch  $e[CO_2]$  zu einer Abnahme der Photosynthesekapazität führen, was in einer Meta-Analyse bei verschiedenen Pflanzenarten festgestellt wurde (Ainsworth und Long, 2005). Die Reduktion der Kornprotein-Konzentration durch  $e[CO_2]$  war wesentlich mit einer Reduktion von backrelevanten Gluten-Proteinen verbunden (Wieser et al., 2008; Högy et al., 2013; Fernando et al., 2015). Dementsprechend wurde auch eine Reduktion der Backqualität durch  $e[CO_2]$  festgestellt (Panozzo et al., 2014).

Für die Reduktion der Protein-Konzentration durch  $e[CO_2]$  bei Getreide gibt es nach Pleijel et al. (2019) vier Haupthypothesen. Eine ist ein Verdünnungseffekt, der besagt dass die N-Aufnahme mit einer gesteigerten Biomasse- und Ertragsbildung durch  $e[CO_2]$  nicht Schritt halten kann (Loladze, 2002). Die zweite ist eine  $e[CO_2]$ -induzierte Hemmung der Genexpression von RuBisCO im Blatt (Stitt und Krapp, 1999). Die beiden letzten Hypothesen beinhalten eine Reduktion des Nährstoffflusses zur Wurzel durch die Abnahme der Transpirationsrate durch  $e[CO_2]$  (McGrath und Lobell, 2013) und eine Hemmung der  $NO_3^-$ -Assimilation in den Blättern durch  $e[CO_2]$ , welche mit einer Reduktion der Rate der Photorespiration verbunden ist (Bloom et al., 2010).

Neben der N-Konzentration kann e[CO<sub>2</sub>] auch die Konzentration anderer Makro- und Mikronährstoffe im Korn, insbesondere die von Eisen (Fe) und Zink (Zn), reduzieren (Myers et al., 2014). Diese Reduktionen könnten zusammen mit der Reduktion der Korn-N-Konzentration die menschliche Ernährung, insbesondere in den Entwicklungsländern, gefährden (Myers et al., 2014; Smith und Myers, 2018).

## **1.6 Free Air CO<sub>2</sub> Enrichment**

Eine Wachstumssteigerung durch  $e[CO_2]$  ist seit etwa 200 Jahren bekannt.  $CO_2$ -Anreicherungsstudien wurden vermehrt ab den 1960er Jahren durchgeführt; angefangen mit Klimakammerstudien über Tunnel- und Open-Top-Kammer-Versuchen bis hin zu Free Air CO<sub>2</sub> Enrichment (FACE)-Experimenten (Ziska und Bunce, 2007). FACE-Versuche wurden zum ersten Mal 1989 durchgeführt (Kimball et al., 2002). Diese sind die realistischste CO<sub>2</sub>-Anreicherungsmethode, da das Pflanzenwachstum nicht durch das Versuchssystem beeinträchtigt wird (Long et al., 2005). Hier werden Pflanzen unter Feldbedingungen innerhalb ringförmiger Anordnungen mit Durchmesser von etwa 20 m durch senkrecht stehende Pfeifen mit CO<sub>2</sub> angereicherter Luft angereichert (**Abb. 1**). Die CO<sub>2</sub>-Konzentration sowie Windrichtung und -stärke wird innerhalb der FACE-Ringe etwa sekündlich gemessen. Darauf basierend wird die Öffnungsweite von Düsen in den Pfeifen angepasst um eine konstante CO<sub>2</sub>-Konzentration im Ringinneren zu gewährleisten.

### 1.7 Offene Fragen und Ziele der Dissertation

Das erste Hauptziel dieser Doktorarbeit war die Prozessanalyse der Reduktion der Kornprotein-Konzentration durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] (**Kapitel 3 und 4**) und das Zweite die Analyse der e[CO<sub>2</sub>]-Wirkung auf die Kornprotein-Zusammensetzung und die Backqualität bei Winterweizen der Sorte "Batis" (**Kapitel 5**). Dies erfolgte im Rahmen eines zwei-jährigen FACE-Versuchs mit zwei CO<sub>2</sub>-Konzentrationen (~393 und 600 ppm) und vier N-Düngebehandlungen (**Abb. 1**). Bei diesen handelt es sich um drei Stufen einer herkömmlichen  $NO_3^-$ -betonten N-Düngung mit kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>: 40/35 (Mangel), 180/200 (praxisüblich) und 320/320 (Überschuss) und um eine Stufe einer  $NH_4^+$  betonten N-Düngung mit 180/200 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. Des Weiteren wurde der Einfluss der Quantität der N-Düngung auf die Bildung von Kornertrag unter e[CO<sub>2</sub>] analysiert (**Kapitel 2**). Es folgt eine Übersicht über die wichtigsten Hypothesen der vorliegenden Arbeit:

**Kapitel 2**: Nach einer Meta-Analyse nimmt die Ertragsseigerung durch  $e[CO_2]$  bei N-Mangel ab (Pleijel et al., 2019). Jedoch sind die Mechanismen für eine solche Abnahme unklar. Ein möglicher Prozess dafür könnte eine Reduktion der AR durch eine  $e[CO_2]$ -induzierte Beschleunigung der Seneszenz sein (Brooks et al., 2000; Jamieson et al. 2000). Ein weiterer Prozess könnte eine stärkere Reduktion der Blatt N-Konzentration verbunden mit einer stärkeren Reduktion der Photosynthesekapazität durch  $e[CO_2]$  bei N-Mangel als bei hoher N-Versorgung sein (Sinclair et al., 2000). Hinweise für beide Prozesse konnte in einem FACE-Versuch in Arizona gefunden werden.

Die Hypothesen für Kapitel 2 sind:

- Die Ertragssteigerung durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] nimmt bei starkem N-Mangel ab
- Ein Grund hierfür ist eine Reduktion der AR durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] in der Kornfüllungsphase bei starkem N-Mangel
- Ein weiterer Grund ist eine verminderte Steigerung der RUE wegen starker Reduktion der Blatt-N-Konzentration durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] bei starkem N-Mangel

**Kapitel 3**: Es wurde analysiert wie  $e[CO_2]$  die Nrem von Blatt, Halm und Ähre sowie die Nabs beeinflusst, wovon es bisher keine Daten aus FACE-Versuchen gibt. In einem FACE-Versuch wurde eine starke Reduktion der N-Konzentration im Blatt und Korn durch  $e[CO_2]$  bei N-Mangel, aber nur eine geringe Reduktion durch  $e[CO_2]$  bei hoher N-Versorgung festgestellt (Kimball et al. 2001, 2002). Dies zeigt, dass eine Abnahme der N-Konzentration im Blatt durch  $e[CO_2]$  zur Anthese die Nrem reduzieren könnte. Die Nabs könnte durch  $e[CO_2]$  über eine Hemmung der NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>Assimilation oder Beschleunigung der Seneszenz (Brooks et al., 2000; Fangmeier et al., 2000) reduziert werden. Die Hypothesen für **Kapitel 3** sind:

- e[CO<sub>2</sub>] reduziert die Nrem durch Reduktion der N-Konzentration in den vegetativen Organen zum Wachstum-Stadium der Anthese
- e[CO<sub>2</sub>] reduziert die Nabs aufgrund einer Beschleunigung der Seneszenz
- Die Reduktion der Korn-N-Konzentration durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] ist stärker bei N-Mangel als bei hoher N-Versorgung

**Kapitel 4**: Es wurde unter Feldbedingungen untersucht ob  $e[CO_2]$  die Blatt  $NO_3^-$ -Assimilation hemmt und so die Reduktion der N-Konzentration durch  $e[CO_2]$  erklärt. Eine Hemmung der  $NO_3^-$ -Assimilation konnte in Hydrokulturversuchen festgestellt werden (Bloom et al., 2002, 2010). Jedoch haben die Pflanzen in solchen Versuchen ein stark beschränktes Wurzelvolumen, das die  $e[CO_2]$ -Wirkung auf Wachstum und N-Aneignung modifiziert (Arp, 1991; Long et al., 2005). Doch wurden auch Hinweise einer Hemmung der  $NO_3^-$ -Assimilation durch  $e[CO_2]$  in einem FACE-Versuch gefunden, aber in diesem gab es keine Reduktion der N-Konzentration durch  $e[CO_2]$  (Bloom et al., 2014).

Die Hypothesen für Kapitel 4 sind:

- e[CO<sub>2</sub>] hemmt die NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>Assimilation im Blatt durch Hemmung der NRA
- Durch Hemmung der NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-Assimilation gibt es eine stärkere N-Aneignung und Wachstumssteigerung durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] bei NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>- als bei NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-betonter N-Düngung
- Aufgrund einer möglichen Abhängigkeit der NRA von der Photorespiration (Bloom 2015) ist eine Hemmung der NRA stärker bei hohen (> 25 °C) als bei niedrigen Temperaturen

In **Kapitel 5** wurde untersucht ob  $e[CO_2]$  Gluten-Proteine beeinträchtigt und dadurch die Backqualität vermindert. In vorherigen FACE-Studien war eine Abnahme der Kornprotein-Konzentration durch  $e[CO_2]$  eng mit einer Abnahme von Gluten-Proteinen verbunden (Wieser et al., 2008; Högy et al., 2013). Auch konnte eine Reduktion des Backvolumens durch  $e[CO_2]$  beobachtet werden (Panozzo et al., 2014). Insgesamt sind aber die Ergebnisse von FACE-Studien bezüglich des  $e[CO_2]$  Effekts auf die verschiedenen Gluten-Fraktionen uneinheitlich. Zudem ist die Anzahl solcher Versuche mit gleichzeitiger Untersuchung des  $e[CO_2]$ -Effekts auf das Korn-Proteom und die Backqualität sehr gering.

Die Hypothesen für Kapitel 5 sind:

- e[CO<sub>2</sub>] beeinträchtigt Gluten-Proteine und reduziert dadurch die Backqualität
- Der Gesamtgehalt von Albumin/Globulin bleibt durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] unbeeinträchtigt.



Abb. 1:  $e[CO_2]$  Parzelle des Braunschweiger FACE-Versuchs: In dem Versuch gab es jeweils drei FACE- sowie a[CO<sub>2</sub>]-Ringe mit bzw. ohne CO<sub>2</sub>-Anreicherung. Innerhalb der Versuchsringe wurden die vier verschiedenen N-Düngebehandlungen (s. Abbildung) auf Parzellen mit 5 x 3 m zufällig verteilt.

## 1.8 Referenzen

Ainsworth, E. A., Long, S. P. (2005). What have we learned from 15 years of free-air  $CO_2$  enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising CO2. *New Phytologist*, *165*(2), 351-372.

Ainsworth, E. A., Rogers, A. (2007). The response of photosynthesis and stomatal conductance to rising [CO<sub>2</sub>]: mechanisms and environmental interactions. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, *30*(3), 258-270.

Arachchige, P. M. S., Ang, C. S., Nicolas, M. E., Panozzo, J., Fitzgerald, G., Hirotsu, N., Seneweera, S. (2017). Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) grain proteome response to elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] varies between genotypes. *Journal of Cereal Science*, *75*, 151-157.

Arp, W. J. (1991). Effects of source-sink relations on photosynthetic acclimation to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Plant Cell and Environment* 14, 869-875.

Asseng, S., Martre, P., Maiorano, A., Rötter, R. P., O'leary, G. J., Fitzgerald, G. J., ..., Reynolds, M. P. (2019). Climate change impact and adaptation for wheat protein. *Global Change Biology*, 25(1), 155-173.

Andrews, M., Raven, J. A., Lea, P. J. (2013). Do plants need nitrate? The mechanisms by which nitrogen form affects plants. *Annals of Applied Biology*, *163*(2), 174-199.

Bloom, A. J., Smart, D. R., Nguyen, D. T., Searles, P. S. (2002). Nitrogen assimilation and growth of wheat under elevated carbon dioxide. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 99(3), 1730-1735.

Bloom, A. J., Burger, M., Asensio, J. S. R., Cousins, A. B. (2010). Carbon dioxide enrichment inhibits nitrate assimilation in wheat and Arabidopsis. *Science* 328(5980), 899-903.

Bloom, A. J., Burger, M., Kimball, B. A., Pinter Jr, P. J. (2014). Nitrate assimilation is inhibited by elevated  $CO_2$  in field-grown wheat. *Nature Climate Change*, 4(6), 477.

Bloom, A. J. (2015). Photorespiration and nitrate assimilation: a major intersection between plant carbon and nitrogen. *Photosynthesis Research*, *123*(2), 117-128.

Belitz, H. D., Grosch, W., Schieberle, P. (2009). Cereals and cereal products. *Food Chemistry*, 670-745.

Bertheloot, J., Martre, P., Andrieu, B. (2008). Dynamics of light and nitrogen distribution during grain filling within wheat canopy. *Plant Physiology 148*(3), 1707-1720.

Betts, R. A., McNeall, D. (2018). How much  $CO_2$  at 1.5° C and 2° C?. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(7), 546.

Bogard, M., Allard, V., Brancourt-Hulmel, M., Heumez, E., Machet, J. M., Jeuffroy, M. H., ..., Le Gouis, J. (2010). Deviation from the grain protein concentration–grain yield negative relationship is highly correlated to post-anthesis N uptake in winter wheat. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *61*(15), 4303-4312.

Brooks, T. J., Wall, G. W., Pinter, P. J., Kimball, B. A., LaMorte, R. L., Leavitt, S. W., ..., Webber, A. N. (2000). Acclimation response of spring wheat in a free-air  $CO_2$  enrichment (FACE) atmosphere with variable soil nitrogen regimes. 3. Canopy architecture and gas exchange. *Photosynthesis Research* 66(1-2), 97-108.

Cotrufo, M. F., Ineson, P., Scott, A. (1998). Elevated  $CO_2$  reduces the nitrogen concentration of plant tissues. *Global Change Biology*, 4(1), 43-54.

Fangmeier, A., Chrost, B., Högy, P., Krupinska, K. (2000). CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment enhances flag leaf senescence in barley due to greater grain nitrogen sink capacity. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 44(2), 151-164.

FAOSTA T Suite of Food Security Indicators (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2017); http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/FS

Fernando, N., Panozzo, J., Tausz, M., Norton, R., Fitzgerald, G., Khan, A., & Seneweera, S. (2015). Rising CO<sub>2</sub> concentration altered wheat grain proteome and flour rheological characteristics. *Food Chemistry* 170, 448-454.

Foyer, C. H., Bloom, A. J., Queval, G., Noctor, G. (2009). Photorespiratory metabolism: genes, mutants, energetics, and redox signaling. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, *60*, 455-484.

Havé, M., Marmagne, A., Chardon, F., Masclaux-Daubresse, C. (2016). Nitrogen remobilization during leaf senescence: lessons from Arabidopsis to crops. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 68(10), 2513-2529.

Högy, P., Brunnbauer, M., Koehler, P., Schwadorf, K., Breuer, J., Franzaring, J., ..., Fangmeier, A. (2013). Grain quality characteristics of spring wheat (Triticum aestivum) as affected by free-air  $CO_2$  enrichment. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 88, 11-18.

IPCC (2013) Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (eds Stocker TF, Qin D, Plattner G-K, Tignor M, Allen SK, Boschung J, Nauels A, Xia Y, Bex V and Midgley PM), PP. 1096-1097 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Jamieson, P. D., Berntsen, J., Ewert, F., Kimball, B. A., Olesen, J. E., Pinter Jr, P. J., ..., Semenov, M. A. (2000). Modelling CO<sub>2</sub> effects on wheat with varying nitrogen supplies. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 82(1-3), 27-37.

Kimball, B. A., Morris, C. F., Pinter Jr, P. J., Wall, G. W., Hunsaker, D. J., Adamsen, F. J., ..., Brooks, T. J. (2001). Wheat grain quality as affected by elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, drought, and soil nitrogen. *New Phytologist 150*, 295-303.

Kimball, B. A., Kobayashi, K.,Bindi, M. (2002). Responses of agricultural crops to free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. *Advances in Agronomy* 77, 293-368.

Kong, L., Xie, Y., Hu, L., Feng, B., Li, S. (2016). Remobilization of vegetative nitrogen to developing grain in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). *Field Crops Research*, *196*, 134-144.

Loladze, I. (2002). Rising atmospheric  $CO_2$  and human nutrition: toward globally imbalanced plant stoichiometry? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 17(10), 457-461.

Long, S. P. (1991). Modification of the response of photosynthetic productivity to rising temperature by atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentrations: has its importance been underestimated?. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 14(8), 729-739.

Long, S. P., Ainsworth, E. A., Leakey, A. D. B., Morgan, P. B. (2005). Global food insecurity. Treatment of major food crops with elevated carbon dioxide or ozone under large-scale fully open-air conditions suggests recent models may have overestimated future yields. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* 360, 2011–2020.

Manderscheid, R., Dier, M., Erbs, M., Sickora, J., Weigel, H. J. (2018). Nitrogen supply–A determinant in water use efficiency of winter wheat grown under free air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. *Agricultural Water Management*, *210*, 70-77.

Myers, S. S., Zanobetti, A., Kloog, I., Huybers, P., Leakey, A. D., Bloom, A. J., ..., Holbrook, N. M. (2014). Increasing  $CO_2$  threatens human nutrition. *Nature*, *510*(7503), 139.

McGrath, J. M., Lobell, D. B. (2013). Reduction of transpiration and altered nutrient allocation contribute to nutrient decline of crops grown in elevated  $CO_2$  concentrations. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, 36(3), 697-705.

Panozzo, J. F., Walker, C. K., Partington, D. L., Neumann, N. C., Tausz, M., Seneweera, S., Fitzgerald, G. J. (2014). Elevated carbon dioxide changes grain protein concentration and composition and compromises baking quality. A FACE study. *Journal of Cereal Science* 60(3), 461-470.

Shewry, P. R., Hey, S. J. (2015). The contribution of wheat to human diet and health. *Food and Energy Security*, 4(3), 178-202.

Sinclair, T. R., Horie, T. (1989). Leaf nitrogen, photosynthesis, and crop radiation use efficiency: a review. *Crop Science*, 29(1), 90-98.

Sinclair, T. R., Pinter Jr, P. J., Kimball, B. A., Adamsen, F. J., LaMorte, R. L., Wall, G. W., ..., Thompson, T. (2000). Leaf nitrogen concentration of wheat subjected to elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] and either water or N deficits. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 79(1), 53-60.

Stitt, M., & Krapp, A. (1999). The interaction between elevated carbon dioxide and nitrogen nutrition: the physiological and molecular background. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 22(6), 583-621.

Smith, M. R., Myers, S. S. (2018). Impact of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> emissions on global human nutrition. *Nature Climate Change*, *8*(9), 834.

Wang, L., Feng, Z., Schjoerring, J. K. (2013). Effects of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on physiology and yield of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.): a meta-analytic test of current hypotheses. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 178, 57-63.

Wieser, H., Manderscheid, R., Erbs, M., Weigel, H. J. (2008). Effects of elevated atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentrations on the quantitative protein composition of wheat grain. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 56(15), 6531-6535.

Ziska, L. H., Bunce, J. A. (2007). Predicting the impact of changing  $CO_2$  on crop yields: some thoughts on food. *New Phytologist*, 175(4), 607-618.

## 2. Kapitel: Einfluss von Quantität der N-Düngung auf die Bildung des Kornertrags unter e[CO<sub>2</sub>]

#### European Journal of Agronomy 101 (2018) 38-48



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## European Journal of Agronomy

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/eja



Decreased wheat grain yield stimulation by free air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment under N deficiency is strongly related to decreased radiation use efficiency enhancement



Markus Dier<sup>a,c</sup>, Jan Sickora<sup>a</sup>, Martin Erbs<sup>b</sup>, Hans-Joachim Weigel<sup>a</sup>, Christian Zörb<sup>c</sup>, Remy Manderscheid<sup>a,</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Thünen Institute of Biodiversity, Bundesallee 50, D-38116 Braunschweig, Germany

<sup>b</sup> Deutsche Agrarforschungsallianz (DACFAL), German Agricultural Research Alliance, c/o Thinen Institute, Braunschweig, Germany <sup>c</sup> Institute of Crop Science, Quality of Plant Products, University of Hohenheim, Emil-Wolff-Str. 25, D-70599 Stuttgart, Germany

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Climate change Free air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment Grain yield Leaf nitrogen Nitrogen deficiency Radiation absorption Radiation use efficiency Triticum aestivum

#### ABSTRACT

Uncertainty still exists about the extent and mechanisms of yield stimulation by elevated atmospheric CO2 (e [CO2]) in wheat. Particularly, data of the e[CO2] effect under severe N deficiency from field experiments are scarce. To investigate the interaction of e[CO2] and N fertilization on important variables that determine grain yield and are often used in crop simulation models, e.g. radiation absorption by the canopy (AR), radiation use efficiency (RUE) and specific leaf N weight (SLNW), a two-year Free Air CO2 Enrichment (FACE) experiment was conducted with two [CO2] (393 and 600 ppm) and three N levels (severe N deficiency (Nd) with 40 (1st year) and 35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (2nd year); adequate N supply with 180 (1st year) and 200 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (2nd year); and excess N supply with 320 kg N ha-1 (1st and 2nd year).

Final above ground biomass ranged from 816 to 2012 g m  $^{-2}$  and grain yield from 417 to 973 g m  $^{-2}$ . e[CO<sub>2</sub>] increased aboveground biomass by 13, 18 and 14% and grain yield by 10, 17 and 17% under Nd, Nad and Nex, respectively. Yield stimulation was primarily due to enhanced grain number. With increasing N supply, peak values of green area index were increased under e[CO2] by 4 up to 22%, while AR was unaffected. RUE was increased by both rising SLNW, which depended on N supply, and e[CO2] and the RUE increase was larger under Nad (+20%) and Nex (+18%) than under Nd (+6%). SLNW was decreased by e[CO2] and this decrease was very similar among N levels (  $\sim -6\%$ ). However, if leaf area index was included as covariable, then a e[CO<sub>2</sub>] induced decrease of SLNW was only found under Nd.

The present study demonstrates that yield stimulation by e[CO2] is smaller under severe N deficiency compared to high N supply in wheat. In contrast to the results of another FACE study, this decrease was not due to reduced AR but reduced RUE, which might be attributed to both restrictions on source activity, i.e. photosynthetic capacity and sink size, i.e. ear growth.

#### 1. Introduction

Atmospheric CO2 concentration is continuing to rise from current 400 up to 730-1020 ppm by the end of this century (IPCC, 2013). Elevated CO2 concentration (e[CO2]) will increase air temperature and thus possibly the frequency and severity of weather extremes that could reduce future crop yields (IPCC, 2013). On the other hand, e[CO2] increases photosynthesis in C3 plants (Ainsworth and Long, 2005) and reduces stomatal conductance in C3 and C4 plants (Ainsworth and Rogers, 2007; Wang et al., 2013), which often lead to stimulation of biomass production and grain yield (Ainsworth and Long, 2005;

#### Manderscheid et al., 2014).

Wheat is the third most important crop in terms of global production and its range of cultivation plays a key role for food security (Shewry and Hey, 2015). Crop models predict that growth stimulation of C3 crops by e[CO2] will compensate for yield losses due to rising air temperature up to 2 °C, but the extent of this compensation might be different depending on the region and its related agricultural conditions such as N fertilizer availability (Rosenzweig et al., 2014). Therefore, uncertainty still exists about the extent of wheat yield stimulation by future e[CO<sub>2</sub>]. Free Air CO<sub>2</sub> Enrichment (FACE) experiments can help to overcome this uncertainty and were conducted with wheat in

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2018.08.007

Received 26 February 2018; Received in revised form 20 July 2018; Accepted 24 August 2018

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

E-mail address: remy.manderscheid@thuenen.de (R. Manderscheid).

<sup>1161-0301/ © 2018</sup> Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Arizona (Kimball et al., 2002), Australia (Tausz-Posch et al., 2012), China (Kou et al., 2007; Ma et al., 2007; Lam et al., 2012; Han et al., 2015; Cai et al., 2016) and Germany (Högy et al., 2009; Weigel and Manderscheid, 2012). However, comprehensive growth data from such studies, especially those on the interactions between e[CO<sub>2</sub>] and N fertilization, are still scarce (Rosenzweig et al., 2014; Vanuytrecht and Thorburn, 2017).

Grain yield (Y) can be described as a function of three factors describing fundamental processes: (i) absorbed photosynthetic active radiation (PAR) accumulated over the growing season (AR), (ii) conversion efficiency of AR to biomass (radiation use efficiency: RUE) and (iii) share of produced biomass allocated to the grains (harvest index: HI):

$$Y = AR * RUE * HI \tag{1}$$

AR is determined by the size and evolution of the green area index (GAI), the sum of the green surfaces of leaves, stems and ears. RUE increases with rising light-saturated net photosynthetic rate in a curvelinear manner (Sinclair and Horie, 1989) and both variables depend on both the leaf biochemical composition such as level and activity of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCO) and the internal structure of the leaf. Easily determinable proxies for the variables describing the leaf biochemical composition and internal structure are green leaf N concentration, leaf mass per unit leaf area (specific leaf weight) and their composite variable leaf N mass per unit leaf area (specific leaf N weight). Increase in soil N availability stimulates crop growth primarily by increasing the size and duration of GAI and thus AR (Garcia et al., 1988; Jamieson et al., 2000). RUE is not influenced over a broad range of leaf and soil N levels, but declines sharply when these N levels become very low (Sinclair and Horie, 1989). Effects of e [CO2] are expressed primarily through effects on RUE via influencing the activity of RuBisCO (Long, 1991; Jamieson et al., 2000).

Variation in grain yield due to  $e[CO_2]$  is primarily due to variation in grain number (Högy et al., 2009; Cai et al., 2016), but some studies also found  $e[CO_2]$  effects on individual grain weight (Fernando et al., 2014; Tausz-Posch et al., 2015). Grain number is strongly associated with growth between flag leaf emergence and anthesis (Bindraban et al., 1998), the period in which radiation supply (Fischer, 1975) and e [CO<sub>2</sub>] (Fischer and Aguilarm, 1976) have their greatest influence on grain number.

 $CO_2$  enrichment studies with chambers (Sionit et al., 1981; Wolf, 1996) and FACE (Kimball et al., 2002; Weigel and Manderscheid, 2012) showed that growth stimulation of wheat by  $e[CO_2]$  declined when N supply decreased. Kimball et al. (2002) reported for the Arizona FACE study, the only previous FACE study that had a severe N deficiency treatment, that the grain yield increase under  $e[CO_2]$  was only half as much under severe N deficiency compared to ample N supply. Nevertheless, FACE studies showed similar biomass and grain yield increases under  $e[CO_2]$  in plants grown under low and high N supply (Ma et al., 2007; Han et al., 2015). In the two-year FACE study of Weigel and Manderscheid (2012) lower grain yield stimulation under moderate N deficiency as compared to adequate N supply was only observed in one year.

While AR, RUE and harvest index are important parameters used in many crop models, only little experimental data from FACE experiments related to these parameters exists (Vanuytrecht et al., 2012), which might be responsible for the poor representation of  $CO_2 \times N$  interactions in crop growth models (Rosenzweig et al., 2014; Vanuytrecht and Thorburn, 2017). According to Jamieson et al. (2000), the smaller grain yield stimulation of  $e[CO_2]$  under severe N deficiency in the Arizona FACE experiment was due to reduced AR because of accelerated canopy senescence under  $e[CO_2]$ . Moreover, in the same experiment e  $[CO_2]$  reduced leaf N concentration by up to -25% (Kimball et al., 2002) under severe N deficiency when leaf N levels were low (Sinclair et al., 2000), but there was hardly any reduction of leaf N concentration by e  $[CO_2]$  under ample N supply (Kimball et al., 2002). Based on these findings, it was concluded that photosynthetic capacity is primarily reduced by  $e[CO_2]$  under very low N availability (Rubio-Asensio and Bloom, 2016), which might result in smaller stimulation of RUE by e [CO<sub>2</sub>] under such N levels compared to high N supply. However, there is no field study available for wheat that has investigated in wheat the CO<sub>2</sub> x N interaction on RUE. Because limited N fertilizer levels are affordable in many developing countries, investigating  $e[CO_2]$  effects on yield formation under severe N deficiency is of overall importantance to provide more accurate predictions of future global food security.

In the present study a two-year FACE experiment was conducted with winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under irrigated agriculture to avoid interactive effects with water stress supplied with three levels (severe deficiency, adequate, excess) of N fertilizer. The main objective was to analyze how  $e[CO_2]$  influences growth and yield formation under a broad range of N fertilizer levels, and in particular whether and to what extent growth and yield stimulation by  $e[CO_2]$  is lower under severe N deficiency compared to high N supply. Moreover, the specific question was addressed: is lower grain yield stimulation by  $e[CO_2]$ under severe N deficiency associated with (i) decreased AR under e  $[CO_2]$  due to accelerated canopy senescence or (ii) reduced RUE stimulation by  $e[CO_2]$  compared to high N supply due to a strong decrease of leaf N concentration?

#### 2. Material and methods

#### 2.1. Study site and experimental design

The experiment was conducted on a field site (52°18′N, 10°26′E, 79 m.a.s.l.) at the Thünen-Institute in Braunschweig, Germany in 2014 and 2015. The soil profile has a depth of about 60 cm (30 cm Ap, 15 cm Al, 15 cm Bt, and > 60–70 cm CII) and the lower layers are almost pure sand. The soil in the plough horizon (0–40 cm) is a luvisol of loamy sand texture (69% sand, 24% silt and 7% clay) with a pH of 6.88, and a carbon and nitrogen (N) content of 1.00% and 0.09%, respectively. Soil N levels at the beginning of the main growing season (mid-March) were 14.2 and 22.4 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. The lower (-1.5 MPa soil water tension) and upper limit (0.01 MPa soil water tension) of plant available soil water are a volumetric soil water content of 5 and 23%, respectively. The soil water amount in the 0–40 cm soil profile at the beginning of the main growing season was 92 mm. Altogether, the soil has low to intermediate fertility with a shallow rooting zone.

Winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. variety "Batis") was grown at ambient  $[CO_2]$  and  $e[CO_2]$  in circular plots (diameter 20 m), in which three N subplots  $(3 \text{ m} \times 5 \text{ m})$  were randomly established. Overall the experiment consisted of six different  $CO_2 \times N$  treatments that were replicated three times. The  $CO_2$  and N treatments had the same position on the field site in 2014 and 2015.

## 2.2. CO2 enrichment

CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment was carried out with a Free Air CO<sub>2</sub> Enrichment (FACE) system with blowers constructed according to the Brookhaven National Laboratory design (Lewin et al., 1992). CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment started from the four leaf stage on March 31 in 2014 and the three leaf stage on March 12 in 2015. CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment took place during the day and was interrupted when wind speed exceeded 6 m s<sup>-1</sup> or air temperature fell below 5 °C. The 1 min average [CO<sub>2</sub>] in the e[CO<sub>2</sub>] plots was within 600 ppm  $\pm$  10% for 95.6% of the operation time in 2014 and 95.7% for the one in 2015. During the CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment period, average [CO<sub>2</sub>] in the ambient plots was 394 in 2014 and 392 ppm in 2015.

#### 2.3. Crop management

Winter wheat was sown with a density of 380 kernels per  $m^{-2}$  on October 29 in 2014 and on November 4 in 2015. Crop management measures were performed according to local farm practice with

#### Table 1

Important cultivation measures and growth stages.

Event	Date			
Management	Phenological phase	2014	2015	
Sowing		Oct 29	Nov 4	
	Emergence	Nov 18	Nov 19	
Start CO <sub>2</sub> enrichment	4 leaf/3 leaf stage	Mar 31	Mar 12	
	1st node stage	Apr 18	Apr 30	
1st fungicide treatment		Apr 29	Apr 31	
	Flag leaf stage	May 14	May 21	
2nd fungicide treatment		-	June 4	
	Anthesis	June 6	June 12	
	Milk ripe stage	June 23	June 30	
End of CO <sub>2</sub> enrichment		July 21	July 24	
	Grain maturity	July 21	July 27	

pesticide applications and adequate nutrient supply based on analysis of soil nutrient content (Ca, K, Mg, P, S) determined in early springtime. Table 1 presents important cultivation measures and plant developmental stages of the experiment. In both years, N fertilization was implemented by manual application of calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN, 27% N). The experiment had three N fertilizer levels: severe deficiency (Nd) with 40 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2014 and 35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2015, adequate (Nad) with 180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2014 and 200 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2015, and excess N supply (Nex) with 320 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> per year. The adequate N fertilizer level corresponds to the amount commonly applied in German agriculture. Because of low grain protein concentration in 2014, the last N dose was increased by 20 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2015 under Nad. To prevent drought stress plant available volumetric soil water content was kept in the range of 50 up to 90% of field capacity by manual irrigation. Irrigation and precipitation as well as monthly mean temperature and irradiance over the main growth season are presented in Table 2. These data measured at 2 m height near to the field site of the experiment (< 500 m) were provided by the German Weather Service. Volumetric soil water content in the 40 cm soil profile was measured twice a week with time domain reflectometry (TDR) sensors. Irrigation requirements were forecast by means of the AMBAV model (Kersebaum et al., 2005) by the German Weather Service.

Heavy rainfall caused lodging of the plants grown under excess N supply on July 5 in 2015. To minimize lodging effects on grain growth, these plants were erected by means of a rope net until final harvest.

#### 2.4. Analysis of crop growth

Samplings were conducted at 1st node stage, flag leaf stage, anthesis, milk-ripe stage and final harvest at grain maturity. The sampling area was  $1.8 \text{ m}^2$  at final harvest and  $0.5 \text{ m}^2$  at the four samplings. At each sampling a subsample (25% of the harvest) was separated into stem (including leaf sheath), leaf lamina and ear (if present). The green surface area of these subsample fractions was determined with a leaf area meter (Model LI-3100, LICOR, USA) followed by biomass determination after drying at 105 °C. The remaining sample was dried at 105 °C followed by biomass determination. Green area index of leaf (LAI), stem (SAI) and ear (EAI) and its sum green area index (GAI) were calculated on the basis of the green surface area and biomass of the plant fractions of the subsample and biomass of the main fraction. Stand height was measured at anthesis by putting a polystyrene disc on top of the plant stand and measuring the distance between polystyrene disc and soil surface. In each subplot, stand height was measured at three random positions.

At grain maturity ears from the  $1.8 \text{ m}^2$  sampling area were counted, weighed and stored at room temperature before threshing and determination of yield components. After threshing, the grain and chaff fraction were determined by weight and dry weight determined from a 100 g grain and 200 g chaff subsample after drying at 105 °C. Grain number of the 100 g subsample was determined with a seed counter (model CONTADOR, PFEUFFER, Germany). 1000 grain weight (TGW) was calculated by means of the grain number and the subsample biomass. Grain number per m<sup>2</sup> ground area was calculated on the basis of TGW and grain yield.

Plants were regularly examined for fungal infestations. At the milkripe stage in 2015, infestations with *Gaeumannomyces graminis* were detected. To overcome this problem, infested plants were sorted out followed by counting the infested and healthy plant fractions. The maximum share of infested plants of a subplot was less than 15%. Yield variables were determined on the basis of the fraction of healthy plants and were then normalized to total plant number (healthy + infested plants).

# 2.5. Determination of photosynthetic active radiation absorption, radiation use efficiency and harvest index

The fraction of photosynthetic active radiation (PAR) absorbed by the green canopy ( $f_{ar}$ ) was measured with a line quantum sensor (model SUNSCAN, DELTA-T-DEVICES, USA). Measurements were conducted every week on sunny days from 1st node stage up to grain maturity. Each measurement included the PAR irradiance above the green canopy ( $I_o$ ), the PAR irradiance below the green canopy ( $I_c$ ) and the PAR irradiance reflected by the canopy ( $I_r$ ) and this was repeated three times per plot. Based on the measured parameters,  $f_{ar}$  was calculated by the following equation:

$$f_{ar} = \frac{(I_o - I_c - I_r)}{I_o} \tag{2}$$

The  $f_{ar}$  value for the days in-between two consecutive measurements were obtained by linear interpolation. Absorbed global radiation accumulated from 1st node stage to grain maturity (AR) was calculated by the sum of the daily values of absorbed global radiation, the product of the daily values of  $f_{ar}$  and global radiation.

Radiation use efficiency (RUE) was calculated as the slope of the regression of AR on above-ground biomass. Harvest index was calculated by dividing grain yield by aboveground biomass at grain maturity.

Table 2

Monthly means of temperature, global radiation, precipitation and irrigation over the main growing season in 2014, 2015 and the period between 1980 and 2010.

	Tempera	ture (°C)		Global ra	Global radiation (W m <sup>-2</sup> )		Precipitation (mm)			Irrigation <sup>1</sup> (mm)	
	2014	2015	1980-2010	2014	2015	1980-2010	2014	2015	1980–2010	2014	2015
March	7.6	5.9	4.3	118	99	97	7	42	51	0	0
April	11.5	8.8	8.9	162	192	162	41	43	43	11	8
May	12.9	12.5	13.4	195	213	210	94	15	52	19	43
June	16.0	15.7	16.0	230	223	223	60	37	68	38	73
July	20.3	19.2	18.2	236	220	213	27	104	63	18	38

<sup>1</sup> Water use differed considerably among plants grown under the different CO<sub>2</sub> and N levels. Therefore, irrigation was adapted to the differing water demands and only the irrigation means over the two CO<sub>2</sub> and three N levels are presented.



**Fig. 1.** Time course of aboveground biomass (AGBM) (a) and green area index (GAI) (b) of the two  $CO_2$  and three N levels in 2014 and 2015. Time course of AGBM and GAI is shown from 1st node-stage up to maturity and milk-ripe stage, respectively. Presented are mean values  $\pm$  standard error (n = 3). Table S1 shows the statistical analysis of the time courses and the asterisks point to the samplings at which significant differences between the marginal  $CO_2$  means ( $CO_2$  means across over all N treatments) (°P < 0.05) were observed. Nd = severe N deficiency; Nad = adequate; and Nex = excess N level. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article).

# 2.6. Determination of specific leaf weight, green leaf N concentration and specific leaf N weight

Specific leaf weight (SLW) was determined as the quotient from biomass and area of the green leaf blade fraction of the harvest subsamples described in Section 2.4. N concentration was determined via grinding dried leaf blades to a fine powder in a rotor mill (Brabender, Germany) followed by N concentration determination using an element analyzer (Leco TruSpec CNS, USA). Specific leaf N weight (SLNW) was determined by multiplying SLW with leaf blade N concentration.

#### 2.7. Statistics

The experiment was analyzed as split plot design with the  $CO_2$  treatment as main plot factor and the N treatment as sub plot factor and the year effect with repeated measurements because the  $CO_2$  and N treatments had the same position on the field in both years. F-tests were carried out with SAS (version 9.4) proc mixed with the following mixed model:

$$y = \mu + CO_2 + N + Y + CO_2 x N + CO_2 x Y + N x Y + CO_2 x N x Y + R$$
  
+ R x N (3)

where y is the dependent variable;  $\mu$  the overall mean; CO<sub>2</sub> the CO<sub>2</sub> effect; N the N fertilizer effect; Y the year effect; CO<sub>2</sub> x N, CO<sub>2</sub> x Y, N x Y and CO<sub>2</sub> x N x Y the interaction effects; R the main plot error and R x N the residual error. To model the variance/covariance between both years of the main plot and residual error, the UN(1) model was used.

This model applies no covariance but allows different error variances for each year.

For the investigation of the  $CO_2$  and N effect on aboveground biomass, GAI, SLW, green leaf N concentration and SLNW over the main growing season in each year the year effect of Eq. (3) was replaced by the effect of sampling. To model the variance/covariance of the main plot and residual error, the best model with regard to the lowest Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) value was selected from six candidate models. Table S1 contains a brief description of the used models.

Least square difference (LSD) tests were carried out with SAS (version 9.4) proc glimmix and means were regarded as different if P < 0.05.

Analysis of covariance of the effect of  $CO_2$  and leaf area index (LAI) on SLNW was implemented by sequential F-tests with SAS proc mixed and F-tests were adjusted for the effect of LAI and  $CO_2$  to test the  $CO_2$ and LAI effect, respectively.

The relation of SLNW and leaf area index and of grain yield and level of N fertilizer was reported by quadratic regression (SAS proc mixed) with the following mixed model:

$$y = \mu + CO_2 + \gamma_{co_{21}} x + \gamma_{co_{22}} x^2 + Y + R + R x N + Y x R + Y x R x N$$
(4)

where y is the dependent variable;  $\mu$  the overall intercept; CO<sub>2</sub> the parameter for the two CO<sub>2</sub> treatments altering the intercept;  $\gamma_{CO2}$  the parameters for the linear and quadratic term of the two CO<sub>2</sub> treatments; x the quantitative covariable; Y the random year effect, R the random main plot error; R x N the random subplot error; Y x R the random year

effect main plot error interaction; and Y x R x N the residual error.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Growing conditions

Monthly mean temperature and global radiation were in the range of normal variation in both years and did hardly differ from the longterm average (Table 2). Nevertheless, monthly average temperature in April 2014 (11.5 °C) was somewhat higher compared to 2015 (8.8 °C) and the long-term average (8.9 °C). The amount of precipitation in May and June was considerably lower in 2015 compared to 2014 and the long-term average, respectively, but this water deficit was compensated by manual irrigation.

#### 3.2. Vegetative growth of winter wheat

Fig. 1 shows the evolution of aboveground biomass (AGBM) and green area index (GAI) over the growing season. Both variables were strongly influenced by the level of N fertilizer, because for AGBM and GAI there were considerable differences between plants grown under severe N deficiency (Nd), adequate (Nad) and excess N supply (Nex). AGBM was significantly increased by  $e[CO_2]$  from anthesis onwards in both years, except for Nd in 2015 where a significant increase was only detected at maturity. GAI was increased under  $e[CO_2]$  primarily from flag leaf emergence onwards in both years, but this was only significant under Nad and Nex in 2015. Thus, in 2015 the CO<sub>2</sub> x N interaction was significant for AGBM and GAI. Across all N levels, peak GAI values were in the range of 2.0 to 5.8 in 2014 and 1.8 to 5.9 in 2015 and were increased by  $e[CO_2]$  by 10 to 22% in 2014 and 4 to 19% in 2015 (Fig. 1).

The increase of AGBM and GAI by  $e[CO_2]$  was associated with enhanced stem, ear and leaf growth (Table 3). At anthesis in both years, e  $[CO_2]$  significantly increased the variables AGBM, stem biomass, GAI, leaf area index, stem area index and stand height in all N treatments. Ear biomass was significantly increased by  $e[CO_2]$  only in plants grown under Nad and ear area index was significantly increased by  $e[CO_2]$  in plants grown under Nad and Nex but not under Nd. Averaged over both years,  $e[CO_2]$  increased stem biomass by 9, 17 and 5%, ear biomass by 4, 17 and 8%, and leaf biomass by 6, 7 and 5% in plants grown under Nd, Nad and Nex, respectively.

#### 3.3. Wheat growth and yield variables at grain maturity

Table 4 presents the mean values of variables determining growth and yield of winter wheat at maturity. All variables were significantly influenced by the N level as well as experimental year as demonstrated by a significant year effect or N x year interaction (Table S2). AGBM as well as stem and leaf biomass were significantly increased by  $e[CO_2]$  in all N treatments in both years. Averaged over both years, AGBM was increased by 13, 18 and 14%, stem biomass by 18, 21 and 14% and leaf biomass by 8%, 17% and 4% in plants grown under Nd, Nad and Nex, respectively.

With respect to yield variables, significant  $CO_2 \times N$  interactions were detected for ear biomass, grain yield and grain number per m<sup>2</sup> ground area (Table 4). These interactions were because e[CO<sub>2</sub>] increased these variables significantly under Nad and Nex, but not under Nd. Averaged over both years, grain yield was greater by 10, 17 and 17%, grain number per m<sup>2</sup> ground area by 8, 12 and 12%, and ear biomass by 10, 17 and 16% in plants grown under the Nd, Nad and Nex level, respectively (Table 4). The rise of grain number per m<sup>2</sup> was due to increased ear number per m<sup>2</sup> ground area, whereas e[CO<sub>2</sub>] did not influence grain number per ear. 1000 grain weight was not significantly influenced by e[CO<sub>2</sub>].

#### 3.4. Radiation absorption, radiation use efficiency and harvest index

Absorbed radiation accumulated over the main growing season (AR), radiation use efficiency (RUE) and harvest index were significantly influenced by the level of N supply (Table 5). AR increased with rising N supply. RUE was similar under Nad and Nex but significantly higher compared to Nd. Harvest index was highest under Nad, but decreased with increasing and decreasing N level.

 $e[CO_2]$  did not significantly influence AR, although  $e[CO_2]$  increased AR slightly by 4% in 2014 and 10% in 2015 (Table 5). RUE was significantly increased by  $e[CO_2]$  in plants grown under Nad (22% in 2014 and 18% in 2015) and Nex (15% in 2014 and 20% in 2015) but not under Nd. Harvest index was slightly but significantly (P < 0.01) decreased by  $e[CO_2]$  in plants grown under Nd (-1 in 2014 and -5% in 2015).

# 3.5. Specific leaf weight, green leaf N concentration and specific leaf N weight

Fig. S1 shows the time course of specific leaf weight (SLW), green leaf N concentration and specific leaf N weight (SLNW) and Table S1 the corresponding statistical analysis. SLW was significantly higher in plants grown under Nd compared to the other N levels, however, SLW was not influenced by  $e[CO_2]$ . Green leaf N concentration and SLNW declined strongly with progressing growth stage and decreasing N supply. However, green leaf N concentration and SLNW were only slightly decreased by  $e[CO_2]$ . Averaged over all samplings,  $e[CO_2]$ decreased green leaf N concentration by -8, -3 and -4% in 2014 and -6, -9 and -3% in 2015 under Nd, Nad and Nex, respectively. SLNW was decreased by -6% under each N treatment in 2014, and by -7, -11, -4% under Nd, Nad and Nex, respectively, in 2015. The reduction of green leaf N concentration and SLNW by  $e[CO_2]$  were only significant in 2015.

#### 3.6. Relation between leaf area index and specific leaf N weight

Fig. 2 shows the linear regression between leaf area index (LAI) and SLNW based on data of the harvests taken at 1st node stage, flag leaf stage and anthesis while Table S3 shows the results of analysis of covariance of the CO<sub>2</sub> and LAI effect on SLNW within each year x N combination. The variation of LAI explained a large part of the variation of SLNW ( $0.29 \le r^2 \le 0.71$ ) and SLNW declined significantly with increasing LAI in all six CO<sub>2</sub> x N combinations in both years. This decline occurred under relative low SLNW values (1.04 to 2.25 g N m<sup>-2</sup>) under Nd and under higher SLNW values under Nad and Nex ranging from 1.43 to 3.10 g N m<sup>-2</sup> (Nad) and from 1.66 to 3.33 g N m<sup>-2</sup> (Nex). With respect to the CO<sub>2</sub> effect, no significant CO<sub>2</sub> x LAI interaction was detected by means of analysis of covariance, indicating the same slope of the regression lines of ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>] and e[CO<sub>2</sub>]. SLNW was significantly reduced by e[CO<sub>2</sub>] regardless of LAI under Nd in 2015 but not in all other year x N combinations.

#### 3.7. Relation between specific leaf N weight and radiation use efficiency

In both CO<sub>2</sub> treatments, RUE increased with rising SLNW in a quadratic manner (Fig. 3). The slope of the RUE x SLNW regression was highest at low SLNW values and declined under increasing SLNW. The initial increase of RUE with rising SLNW values was markedly higher under  $e[CO_2]$  compared to ambient  $[CO_2]$  which also means much stronger reduction of RUE with decreasing SLNW under  $e[CO_2]$ .

#### 4. Discussion

The objective of the present FACE study was to detect interactions between e[CO<sub>2</sub>] and widely differing levels of N fertilization on wheat growth and yield as well as on important growth parameters, which are

#### Table 3

Effect of the two  $CO_2$  and three N levels on wheat growth at anthesis. Shown are mean values (n = 3) and the percentage effect of  $e[CO_2]$  ( $\Delta$  (%)). Different small letters indicate significant differences among the marginal means of the N treatments. Asterisks next to the  $e[CO_2]$  symbol indicate that the F-test (Table S2) resulted in a significant  $CO_2$  effect, but no significant  $CO_2$  x N and  $CO_2$  x year interaction. If the F-test resulted in a significant  $CO_2$  x N interaction, then different capital letters indicate significant differences for  $CO_2$  means separate for each N treatment and different small letters show significant differences for N means separate for each  $CO_2$  treatment (letters are in bold for  $e[CO_2]$ ). All letters refer to the means over both years.

	2014			2015			
	Nd	Nad	Nex	Nd	Nad	Nex	
AGBM (g $m^{-2}$ )							
a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	649 c	941 a	1058 a	609 b	1019 a	1080 a	
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]*	756 c	1118 a	1116 a	606 b	1158 a	1113 a	
Δ (%)	17	19	5	-1	14	3	
Stem BM (g m $^{-2}$ )							
a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	452 c	600 a	644 a	407 b	663 a	670 a	
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]*	528 c	721 a	690 a	413 b	767 a	693 a	
Δ (%)	17	20	7	2	16	3	
Leaf BM (g m $^{-2}$ )							
a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	100 c	188 b	238 a	96 c	187 b	224 a	
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	116 c	210 b	237 a	94 c	196 b	240 a	
Δ (%)	16	12	0	-3	5	7	
Ear BM (g m <sup><math>-2</math></sup> )							
a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	97 A c	154 B b	176 A a	106 A c	169 B b	186 A a	
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	113 A <b>b</b>	186 A a	189 A a	99 A <b>b</b>	195 A a	203 A a	
Δ (%)	16	21	7	-7	15	9	
GAI $(m^2 m^{-2})$							
a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	2.04 c	4.12 b	5.31 a	1.73 c	4.28 b	5.19 a	
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]*	2.25 c	5.03 b	5.82 a	1.79 c	4.52 b	5.89 a	
Δ (%)	10	22	10	4.	6	13	
LAI $(m^2 m^{-2})$							
a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	1.21 c	2.61 b	3.46 a	1.03 c	2.90 b	3.49 a	
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	1.38 c	3.35 b	3.95 a	1.10 c	2.96 b	3.93 a	
Δ (%)	15	28	14	6	2	12	
SAI $(m^2 m^{-2})$							
a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	0.65 c	1.17 b	1.46 a	0.52 c	1.08 b	1.35 a	
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]*	0.66 c	1.30 b	1.45 a	0.54 c	1.23 b	1.55 a	
Δ (%)	1	11	-1	3	14	15	
EAI $(m^2 m^{-2})$							
a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	0.185 A c	0.328 B b	0.398 B a	0.172 A c	0.294 B b	0.352 B a	
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	0.203 A c	0.382 A b	0.422 A a	0.162 A c	0.337 A b	0.389 A a	
Δ (%)	10	16	6	-6	15	11	
Stand height (m)							
a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	0.812 c	0.950 b	0.980 a	0.706 c	0.866 b	0.908 a	
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]*	0.863 c	0.983 b	1.02 a	0.760 c	0.934 b	0.959 a	
Δ (%)	6	4	4	8	8	6	

also used in crop growth models (i.e. absorbed radiation by the green canopy accumulated over the growing season (AR), radiation use efficiency (RUE) and harvest index). Also, the  $CO_2 \times N$  interaction on green leaf N concentration, which influences photosynthesis and RUE (Sinclair and Horie, 1989) and is altered by N supply and e[CO<sub>2</sub>] (Kimball et al., 2002), was analyzed.

#### 4.1. Biomass production and grain yield

Starting from anthesis aboveground biomass (AGBM) was increased by e[CO<sub>2</sub>]. From the 1st node stage up to flag leaf emergence, average air temperature was low (below 12 °C) but then it exceeded 15 °C. This coincidence of growth stimulation by e[CO<sub>2</sub>] with higher temperature is consistent with other experimental data (Shimono et al., 2008) and the theoretical prediction that e[CO<sub>2</sub>] increases photosynthesis only when temperatures exceed 10 °C (Long, 1991).

The increase of AGBM by  $e[CO_2]$  at grain maturity (13, 18 and 14% under Nd, Nad and Nex) is in agreement with other FACE studies. For example, if the  $e[CO_2]$  effect is recalculated for the  $[CO_2]$  increase of 210 ppm, then AGBM in spring wheat was increased under adequate N supply by 17% (Högy et al., 2009) as well as by 16–19% in winter wheat (Kou et al., 2007; Weigel and Manderscheid, 2012). For winter

wheat grown under moderate N deficiency, an increase of 9 and 14% was found in FACE studies in China (Kou et al., 2007) and on the same field site of the present study (Weigel and Manderscheid, 2012). Increases of 6% (severe N deficiency) and 9% (ample N supply) were reported by Kimball et al. (2002) for spring wheat in Arizona and an increase of 28% was reported for winter wheat grown under ample N supply in China (Ma et al., 2007).

The growth data at anthesis and grain maturity show that while stem and ear growth were similarly enhanced by  $e[CO_2]$  this effect was larger than the stimulation of leaf growth. These results are in agreement with other FACE studies (Liu et al., 2008; Manderscheid et al., 2009) and are probably due to the different temperature conditions at these growth stages and the resulting  $CO_2$  x temperature interaction on photosynthesis (Long, 1991).

In the present study, grain yield under ambient  $[CO_2]$  and adequate N supply corresponded to empirical data for the local wheat growing area and was higher compared to other FACE studies (Fig. 4), whereas the relative and absolute yield reduction by N deficiency was much stronger than in other studies. The significant N x year interaction on grain number might be partly explained by different climatic conditions. The photothermal quotient 30 days before anthesis that is important to determine grain number (Fischer, 1985) was about 10%

#### Table 4

Effect of the two  $CO_2$  and three N levels on growth and yield variables at maturity. Shown are mean values (n = 3) and the percentage effect of  $e[CO_2]$  ( $\Delta$  (%)). Different small letters indicate significant differences among the marginal means of the N levels. Asterisks next to the  $e[CO_2]$  symbol indicate that the F-test (Table S2) resulted in a significant  $CO_2$  effect, but no significant  $CO_2 \times N$  and  $CO_2 \times q$  ver interaction. If the F-test resulted in a significant  $CO_2 \times N$  interaction, then different capital letters indicate significant differences for  $CO_2$  means separate for each N treatment and different small letters show significant differences for N means separate for each  $CO_2$  treatment (letters are in bold for  $e[CO_2]$ ). All letters refer to the means over both years.

	2014			2015			
	Nd	Nad	Nex	Nd	Nad	Nex	
AGBM (g m <sup>-2</sup> ) a[CO <sub>2</sub> ] e[CO <sub>2</sub> ] Δ (%)	981 B c 1110 A <b>b</b> 13	1644 B b 1917 A <b>a</b> 17	1732 B a 1993 A <b>a</b> 15	816 B c 918 A <b>b</b> 13	1646 B b 1968 A <b>a</b> 20	1769 B a 2012 A <b>a</b> 14	
Stem BM (g m <sup>-2</sup> ) a[CO <sub>2</sub> ] e[CO <sub>2</sub> ] Δ (%)	340 B c 400 A <b>b</b> 18	553 B b 657 A <b>a</b> 19	598 B a 680 A <b>a</b> 14	265 B c 316 A <b>b</b> 19	541 B b 666 A a 23	616 B a 704 A a 14	
Leaf BM (g m <sup>-2</sup> ) a[CO <sub>2</sub> ] e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]* Δ (%)	68 c 68 c 1	112 b 125 b 12	142 a 144 a 2	46 c 54 c 18	97 b 118 b 22	123 a 132 a 8	
Ear BM (g m <sup>-2</sup> ) a[CO <sub>2</sub> ] e[CO <sub>2</sub> ] Δ (%)	574 A b 642 A <b>b</b> 12	979 B a 1134 A <b>a</b> 16	993 B a 1169 A a 18	505 A b 548 A <b>b</b> 9	1008 B a 1185 A <b>a</b> 18	1030 B a 1175 A <b>a</b> 14	
Grain yield (g m <sup>-2</sup> ) a[CO <sub>2</sub> ] e[CO <sub>2</sub> ] $\Delta$ (%)	472 A b 528 A <b>b</b> 12	817 B a 949 A <b>a</b> 16	818 B a 971 A a 19	417 A b 446 A <b>b</b> 7	831 B a 973 A <b>a</b> 17	829 B a 948 A <b>a</b> 14	
Grain number $(m^{-2})$ a[CO <sub>2</sub> ] e[CO <sub>2</sub> ] $\Delta$ (%)	10248 A c 11488 A c 12	17827 B b 20032 A <b>b</b> 12	18977 B a 21416 A <b>a</b> 13	9342 A c 9691 A <b>c</b> 4	19236 B b 21607 A <b>b</b> 12	21873 B a 24254 A <b>a</b> 11	
Ear number (m <sup>-2</sup> ) a[CO <sub>2</sub> ] e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]* Δ (%)	314 c 323 c 3	430 b 461 b 7	451 a 502 a 11	292 c 313 c 7	420 b 476 b 13	529 a 559 a 6	
Grain number (ear <sup>-1</sup> ) a[CO <sub>2</sub> ] e[CO <sub>2</sub> ] $\Delta$ (%)	32.8 b 35.4 b 8	41.5 a 43.5 a 5	42.8 a 42.7 a 0	32.0 b 31.0 b -3	45.8 a 45.4 a - 1	41.4 a 43.5 a 5	
TGW (g) a[CO <sub>2</sub> ] e[CO <sub>2</sub> ] Δ (%)	46.1 a 45.9 a 0	45.9 a 47.4 a 3	43.1 b 45.4 b 5	44.7 a 46.1 a 3	43.2 a 45.0 a 4	37.9 b 39.1 b 3	

#### Table 5

Effect of the two  $CO_2$  treatments and three N levels on radiation absorption accumulated from 1st node stage up to maturity (AR), radiation use efficiency (RUE) and harvest index. Presented are mean values (n = 3) and the percentage effect of  $e[CO_2]$  ( $\Delta$  (%)). Different small letters indicate significant differences among the marginal means of the N levels. If the F-test (Table S2) resulted in a significant CO<sub>2</sub> x N interaction, then different capital letters indicate significant differences for CO<sub>2</sub> means separate for each N treatment and different small letters show significant differences for N means separate for each CO<sub>2</sub> treatment (letters are in bold for e [CO<sub>2</sub>]). All letters refer to the means over both years.

	2014			2015	2015			
	Nd	Nad	Nex	Nd	Nad	Nex		
AR (MJ $m^{-2}$ )								
a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	826 c	1205 b	1293 a	682 c	1141 b	1293 a		
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	863 c	1216 b	1295 a	748 c	1160 b	1296 a		
Δ (%)	4	1	0	10	2	0		
RUE (g $MJ^{-1}$ )								
a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	1.09 A b	1.21 B a	1.21 B a	1.08 A b	1.29 B a	1.24 B a		
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	1.17 A <b>b</b>	1.47 A a	1.39 A a	1.12 A b	1.52 A a	1.48 A a		
Δ (%)	8	22	15	4	18	20		
Harvest index								
a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	0.481 A a	0.497 A a	0.472 A b	0.511 A a	0.505 A a	0.469 A b		
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	0.475 В <b>b</b>	0.495 A a	0.487 A <b>b</b>	0.487 B <b>b</b>	0.494 A a	0.471 A b		
Δ (%)	-1	0	3	-5	-2	1		



**Fig. 2.** Linear regression of leaf area index (LAI) on specific leaf N weight (SLNW) among the values of the harvests taken at 1st node stage, flag leaf stage and anthesis in 2014 (top) : Nd and a[CO<sub>2</sub>] ( $\bigcirc$ ) r<sup>2</sup> = 0.29, P = 0.21; Nd and e [CO<sub>2</sub>] ( $\bigcirc$ ) r<sup>2</sup> = 0.61, P < 0.05; Nad and a[CO<sub>2</sub>] ( $\bigcirc$ ) r<sup>2</sup> = 0.33, P = 0.14; Nad and e[CO<sub>2</sub>] ( $\bigcirc$ ) r<sup>2</sup> = 0.61, P < 0.01; Nex and a[CO<sub>2</sub>] (△) r<sup>2</sup> = 0.58, P < 0.05; Nex and e[CO<sub>2</sub>] (▲) r<sup>2</sup> = 0.58, P < 0.05; Nex and e[CO<sub>2</sub>] (▲) r<sup>2</sup> = 0.36, P < 0.10; Nd and e[CO<sub>2</sub>] (Φ) r<sup>2</sup> = 0.36, P < 0.05; Nad and e[CO<sub>2</sub>] (Φ) r<sup>2</sup> = 0.38, P = 0.11; Nad and e[CO<sub>2</sub>] (Φ) r<sup>2</sup> = 0.38, P = 0.14; Nex and a[CO<sub>2</sub>] (Φ) r<sup>2</sup> = 0.38, P = 0.11; Nad and e[CO<sub>2</sub>] (Φ) r<sup>2</sup> = 0.48, P < 0.05.

larger in 2015 compared to 2014 (data not shown) and thus was possibly responsible for the larger grain number under adequate (8%) and excess N supply (14%) in 2015. The grain yield stimulation by e[CO<sub>2</sub>] in the present study (10, 17 and 17% under Nd, Nad and Nex) is in agreement with other FACE studies (Kimball et al., 2002; Weigel and Manderscheid, 2012; Han et al., 2015). However, higher yield stimulation up to 35% and 47% were found at FACE sites in semi-arid regions in Australia (Tausz-Posch et al., 2012, 2015) and China (Lam et al., 2012), respectively where N availability was unlimiting.

The regression of N fertilizer level on grain yield (Fig. 5) suggests that the yield stimulation by  $e[CO_2]$  in the present study increased with N supply up to a certain N amount. This is in line with the two-year Arizona FACE study, where  $e[CO_2]$  hardly increased grain yield (5%) under severe deficiency (15 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) in the 2nd year, but the yield increase by  $e[CO_2]$  was considerably stronger (12%) under less severe N deficiency (70 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) in the 1st year (Kimball et al., 2002).

#### 4.2. Radiation absorption and radiation use efficiency

Green area index (GAI) and AR were markedly influenced by the N level as was observed in many other studies (e.g. Garcia et al., 1988; Sieling et al., 2016). Previous FACE experiments with wheat reported various effects of  $e[CO_2]$  on the size of GAI: a decrease under N deficiency (Brooks et al., 2000; Jamieson et al., 2000), an increase and



**Fig. 3.** Regression of radiation use efficiency (RUE) on specific N weight of green leaves (SLNW). To implement this regression the means of SLNW over the three and four harvests in 2014 and 2015, respectively were used. The circles and squares indicate the data of 2014 and 2015, respectively. Open symbols and dashed line = ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>] and closed symbols and solid line =  $e[CO_2]$ . The equations describe the regression curves, where y = RUE and x = SLNW. Asterisks indicate significant differences (P < 0.05) between the parameters of the ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>] and  $e[CO_2]$  treatment.



**Fig. 4.** Overview of the effect of  $e[CO_2]$  on grain yield of different FACE studies with several N fertilization levels and sufficient water supply. The percentage effect of  $e[CO_2]$  was normalized to the  $[CO_2]$  increase of 210 ppm. White symbols = N deficiency and black symbols = ample N supply. The data sources are the following: Braunschweig FACE 2 = data of the present study; Braunschweig FACE 1 = Weigel and Manderscheid (2012); Arizona FACE = Jamieson et al. (2000) and Kimball et al. (2002); China FACE = Kou et al. (2007)\*, Ma et al. (2007)\* and Han et al. (2015). \*Grain yield was calculated from biomass, assuming a harvest index of 0.5.

decrease under adequate N supply (Bunce, 2016; Cai et al., 2016), and an increase under excess N supply (Brooks et al., 2000; Jamieson et al., 2000). In the present study, GAI was increased by  $e[CO_2]$  under all N levels, while AR was not influenced, but tended to be increased by e  $[CO_2]$  under N deficiency. For the adequate and excess N level, the increases in GAI under  $e[CO_2]$  became apparent only after flag leaf emergence when the soil was already completely covered by the canopy so that the rise of GAI hardly altered AR but increased light attenuation within the canopy. However, under severe N deficiency where GAI was very low ( $\leq 2.2$ ) the slight increase of GAI under  $e[CO_2]$  resulted in a slight increase of AR. These results are in contrast with those obtained in the Arizona FACE experiment where GAI was much higher ( $\leq 3.8$ )



**Fig. 5.** Regression of grain yield on total amount of N fertilizer. Presented are the mean values (n = 3;  $\pm$  S.E.M) and corresponding regression curves for the ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>] (dashed line) and e[CO<sub>2</sub>] treatment (solid line). Circles and squares indicate the data of 2014 and 2015, respectively. Open symbols = ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>] and closed symbols = e[CO<sub>2</sub>]. The integrated equations describe the regression curves, whereby y = grain yield and x = level of N fertilizer. Asterisks indicate significant differences (P < 0.001) between the parameters of the ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>] and e[CO<sub>2</sub>] treatment.

under comparable severe N deficiency (70 and 15 kg N  $ha^{-1}$ ) and e [CO<sub>2</sub>] reduced both the size and duration of GAI and thus AR, leading to the reduction of grain yield stimulation by e[CO<sub>2</sub>] (Jamieson et al., 2000).

Photosynthetic capacity and RUE are closely associated variables that are stable over a broad range of leaf and soil N levels, but decline sharply when these become very low (Sinclair and Horie, 1989). In the present study, RUE did not differ between plants grown under adequate and excess N supply but declined under severe N deficiency, which is in agreement with other studies (e.g. Garcia et al., 1988; Sieling et al., 2016) and suggests that photosynthetic capacity was also reduced under N deficiency. RUE was strongly increased by  $e[CO_2]$  by 20% under adequate and 18% under excess N supply, which is consistent with the value (17%) used in the SIRIUS growth model (Jamieson et al., 2000), but hardly under severe N deficiency (6%).

Fig. 6 compares the relationship between RUE and SLNW of the present study and the one of Sinclair and Horie (1989). At ambient  $[CO_2]$ , there was a good correspondence of relative RUE in the overlaying SLNW area where RUE was hardly affected by decreasing SLNW. However, at  $e[CO_2]$  RUE declined significantly stronger with decreasing SLNW compared to ambient  $[CO_2]$ . Thus, crop models using RUE to calculate biomass production should include the different dependence of RUE on SLNW under ambient  $[CO_2]$  and  $e[CO_2]$ .

The  $CO_2$  x N interaction on RUE of the present study indicates that the smaller yield stimulation by  $e[CO_2]$  under N deficiency compared to high N was associated with a smaller effect of  $e[CO_2]$  on RUE, but not on AR as was observed in the Arizona FACE experiment (Jamieson et al., 2000).

#### 4.3. Green leaf N concentration

In the Arizona FACE study a strong decline in leaf N concentration by  $e[CO_2]$  was detected under severe N deficiency (Sinclair et al., 2000) which was on average -25% in the 1st and -19% in the 2nd year, but leaf N concentration was not affected under ample N supply (Kimball et al., 2002). Based on those and other results of several enclosure studies (Stitt and Krapp, 1999), it was concluded that downregulation of photosynthetic capacity by  $e[CO_2]$  in wheat primarily occurs under N deficiency (Wall et al., 2000; Rubio-Asensio and Bloom, 2016).



**Fig. 6.** Relation between relative radiation use efficiency (RUE) and specific N weight of green leaves (SLNW). The ambient  $[CO_2]$  ( $a[CO_2]$ ) and  $e[CO_2]$  curves were derived from the regression of RUE on SLNW (Fig. 3). Additionally, the rice data of the relation between RUE and SLNW of Sinclair and Horie (1989) were included. Relative RUE was derived by normalization with the maximum RUE at ambient  $[CO_2]$  and of Sinclair and Horie (1989), respectively.

However, in contrast to Sinclair et al. (2000), but in agreement with Tausz et al. (2017), in the present study the reduction of leaf N concentration was very similar among N levels with values of -7, -6, -4% under severe N deficiency, adequate and excess N supply, respectively. Because specific leaf weight (SLW) was not influenced by e [CO<sub>2</sub>] in the present study, the reduction of SLNW corresponded to the reduction of leaf N concentration.

SLNW decreases within the canopy from the top to the bottom because of light attenuation (Hirose, 2005; Bertheloot et al., 2008). In the present study, the effect of e[CO2] on LAI corresponded to the one on GAI with an increase from flag leaf emergence under all N levels in 2014 and a general increase under adequate and excess N supply in 2015 (data not shown). In the present study, SLNW decreased with increasing LAI and this relation was not influenced by e[CO2] under adequate and excess N supply, but only under severe N deficiency. These results suggest that the decline in SLNW under e[CO<sub>2</sub>] and high N supply was primarily due to the increase of GAI and resulting adaption of the leaves down in the canopy to higher light attenuation under e [CO2]. Nie et al. (1995) reported similar results based on a FACE study with ample N supply where leaf RuBisCO content was not declined by e [CO2] until LAI was increased by e[CO2] (Kimball et al., 1995). Also, another FACE study showed no influence of e[CO2] on the relation between LAI and leaf N content per m<sup>2</sup> ground area under ample N supply (Cai et al., 2016). The herein observed reduction of SLNW under e[CO<sub>2</sub>] regardless of the effect of LAI under severe N deficiency suggests that a direct e[CO2] induced reduction of leaf N concentration occurs in field grown wheat primarily only under very low soil N availability.

# 4.4. Mechanism of the lower RUE associated yield stimulation of $e[CO_2]$ under severe N deficiency

As shown above, the stimulation of RUE as well as ear growth and related variables (grain number and grain yield) of  $e[CO_2]$  were lower under severe N deficiency compared to high N supply. These  $CO_2 \times N$  interactions might be partly explained by the fact that the small reduction of SLNW by  $e[CO_2]$  under severe N deficiency brought about a reduction in photosynthetic capacity. However, there is indication that insufficient N supply for ear growth was also involved. The regression of SLNW on RUE (Fig. 3) shows that RUE was elevated under  $e[CO_2]$  but declined stronger with decreasing SLNW under  $e[CO_2]$  compared to ambient  $[CO_2]$ , which is indicative of increasing N shortage with

respect to plant growth under rising N deficiency. Given that RuBisCO content would only limit RUE stimulation under e[CO2] one would rather expect parallel curves for both CO2 treatments. Moreover, in the present study, harvest index was reduced by e[CO2] under severe N deficiency because of the strong stimulation of stem growth by e[CO<sub>2</sub>] and the CO2 x N interaction on ear growth and related variables. According to van Kraalingen (1990), additional assimilates from growth under e[CO2] are primarily allocated to organs with low N contents when soil N supply is deficient. Because ear N concentration is about twice as much than the one of stem, this concept might explain the decrease of harvest index. This decrease of harvest index indicates that under e[CO2] severe N deficiency induced an imbalance between photosynthetic capacity (source) and ear growth (sink capacity), where the latter seems to be more restrictive with respect to the grain yield stimulation by e[CO2]. The competiton for N between stems and ears could be mitigated by changing the temporal pattern of N fertilization by adding more fertilizer around booting and less before this stage. However, as water availability is critical for the effect of N fertilization but is often strongly limited in developing countries, this strategy could there not be readily feasible.

In conclusion, the present field study shows that only a small grain yield stimulation by e[CO2] can be expected for wheat grown under severe N deficiency but adequate water supply. Moreover, Walker et al. (2017) showed that grain yield stimulation was not present at all under N deficiency and limited water availability. Hence, CO2 fertilization might not be an important factor in counteracting negative climate change effects on wheat yields primarily in developing countries where high N fertilizer levels are hardly affordable. This reduced yield reaction to  $e[CO_2]$  was not due to a decreased AR caused by accelerated canopy senescence under e[CO2] as was observed in the Arizona FACE study (Jamieson et al., 2000). Rather, it was due to a decreased RUE stimulation later in the season, which was attributed to a deficiency of N for ear growth and a reduction of photosynthetic capacity by e[CO<sub>2</sub>] where the former seemed to have a larger impact. It remains to be investigated whether grain yield stimulation by e[CO2] under severe N deficiency can be increased by adjusting the timing of N fertilization, i.e. applying more fertilizer at booting and less before.

#### Conflict of interest

None of the authors has any conflict of interest to declare.

#### Acknowledgements

P. Braunisch, K. Fischbach, A. Fuehrer, L. Kollhorst, A. Kremling, A. Luig, E. Schummer R. Staudte, K. Trenkler and the experimental station of the Friedrich-Loeffler Institute is acknowledged for excellent technical assistance with the FACE experiment. We thank the team of Dr. E. Oldenburg from the Julius Kühn-Institute for monitoring of plant health during the experiments. This work was partly funded by the German Science Foundation DFG (grant no. MA 1736/5-1).

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary material related to this article can be found, in the online version, at doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2018.08.007.

#### References

- Ainsworth, E.A., Long, S.P., 2005. What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising CO<sub>2</sub>. New Phytol. 165, 351–371.
- Ainsworth, E.A., Rogers, A., 2007. The response of photosynthesis and stomatal conductance to rising [CO<sub>2</sub>]: mechanisms and environmental interactions. Plant Cell Environ. 30, 258–270.
  Bertheloot, J., Martre, P., Andrieu, B., 2008. Dynamics of light and nitrogen distribution
- during grain filling within wheat canopy. Plant Physiol. 148, 1707–1720.

- Bindraban, P.S., Sayre, K.D., Solis-Moya, E., 1998. Identifying factors that determine kernel number in wheat. Field Crops Res. 58, 223–234.
- Brooks, T.J., Wall, G.W., Pinter, P.J., Kimball, B.A., LaMorte, R.L., Leavitt, S.W., Matthias, A.D., Adamsen, F.J., Hunsaker, D.J., Webber, A.N., 2000. Acclimation response of spring wheat in a free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (FACE) atmosphere with variable soil nitrogen regimes. 3. Canopy architecture and gas exchange. Photosyn. Res. 66, 97–108.
- Bunce, J.A., 2016. Responses of soybeans and wheat to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in free-air and open top chamber systems. Field Crops Res. 186, 78–85.
  Cai, C., Yin, X., He, S., Jiang, W., Si, C., Struik, P.C., Luo, W., Li, G., Xie, Y., Xiong, Y., Pan,
- Cai, C., Yin, X., He, S., Jiang, W., Si, C., Struik, P.C., Luo, W., Li, G., Xie, Y., Xiong, Y., Pan, G., 2016. Responses of wheat and rice to factorial combinations of ambient and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature in FACE experiments. Glob. Change Biol. 22, 856–874.
- Fernando, N., Panozzo, J., Tausz, M., Norton, R.M., Neumann, N., Fitzgerald, G.J., Seneweera, S., 2014. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> alters grain quality of two bread wheat cultivars grown under different environmental conditions. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 185, 24–33.
- Fischer, R.A., 1975. Yield potential in a dwarf spring wheat and effect of shading. Crop Sci. 15, 607–613.
- Fischer, R.A., 1985. Number of kernels in wheat crops and the influence of solar radiation and temperature. J. Agric. Sci. 105, 447–461.
- Fischer, R.A., Aguilarm, I., 1976. Yield potential in a dwarf spring wheat and effect of carbon-dioxide fertilization. Agron. J. 68, 749–752.Garcia, R., Kanemasu, E.T., Blad, B.L., Bauer, A., Hatfield, J.L., Major, D.J., Reginato, R.J.,
- Garcia, R., Kanemasu, E.T., Blad, B.L., Bauer, A., Hatfield, J.L., Major, D.J., Reginato, R.J., Hubbard, K.G., 1988. Interception and use efficiency of light in winter-wheat under different nitrogen regimes. Agric. For. Meteorol. 44, 175–186.
   Han, X., Hao, X., Lam, S.K., Wang, H., Li, Y., Wheeler, T., Ju, H., Lin, E., 2015. Yield and
- Han, X., Hao, X., Lam, S.K., Wang, H., Li, Y., Wheeler, T., Ju, H., Lin, E., 2015. Yield and nitrogen accumulation and partitioning in winter wheat under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>: a 3-year free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment experiment. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 209, 132–137.
- Hirose, T., 2005. Development of the Monsi-Saeki theory on canopy structure and function. Ann. Bot. 95, 483–494.
  Högy, P., Wieser, H., Köhler, P., Schwadorf, K., Breuer, J., Franzaring, J., Muntifering, R.,
- Hogy, P., Wieser, H., Kohler, P., Schwadorf, K., Breuer, J., Franzaring, J., Muntifering, R., Fangmeier, A., 2009. Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on grain yield and quality of wheat: results from a 3-year free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment experiment. Plant Biol. 11, 60–69.
- IPCC, 2013. Climate Change 2013. In: Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley, P.M. (Eds.), The Physical Science Basis Contribution of Working Group 1 to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA 1535 pp. Jamieson, P.D., Berntsen, J., Ewert, F., Kimball, B.A., Olesen, J.E., Pinter, P.J., Porter,
- Jamieson, P.D., Berntsen, J., Ewert, F., Kimball, B.A., Olesen, J.E., Pinter, P.J., Porter, J.R., Semenov, M.A., 2000. Modelling CO<sub>2</sub> effects on wheat with varying nitrogen supplies. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 82, 27–37.
- Kersebaum, K.C., Friesland, H., Lopmeier, F.J., 2005. Irrigation and pest and disease models: comparison of three irrigation models under German conditions. Rep. COST Action 718, 16–25.
- Kimball, B.A., Pinter, P.J., Garcia, R.L., LaMorte, R.L., Wall, G.W., Hunsaker, D.J., Wechsung, G., Wechsung, F., Kartschall, T., 1995. Productivity and water use of wheat under free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. Glob. Change Biol. 1, 429–442.
- Kimball, B.A., Kobayashi, K., Bindi, M., 2002. Responses of agricultural crops to free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. Adv. Agron. 77, 293–368.
- Kou, T.J., Zhu, J.G., Xie, Z.B., Hasegawa, T., Heiduk, K., 2007. Effect of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on soil and root respiration in winter wheat by using a respiration partitioning chamber. Plant Soil 299, 237–249.
- Lam, S.K., Han, X., Lin, E., Norton, R., Chen, D., 2012. Does elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration increase wheat nitrogen demand and recovery of nitrogen applied at stem elongation? Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 155, 142–146.
- Lewin, K.F., Hendrey, G.R., Kolber, Z., 1992. Brookhaven National Laboratory free-air carbon-dioxide enrichment facility. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci. 11, 135–141.
- Liu, H.J., Yang, L.X., Wang, Y.L., Huang, J.Y., Zhu, J.G., Wang, Y.X., Dong, G.C., Liu, G., 2008. Yield formation of CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched hybrid rice cultivar Shanyou 63 under fully open-air field conditions. Field Crops Res. 108, 93–100.
- Long, S.P., 1991. Modification of the response of photosynthetic productivity to rising temperature by atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations: has its importance been underestimated? Plant Cell Environ. 14, 729–739.
- Ma, H.L., Zhu, J.G., Xie, Z.B., Liu, G., Zeng, Q., Han, Y., 2007. Responses of rice and winter wheat to free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (China FACE) at rice/wheat rotation system. Plant Soil 294, 137–146.
- Manderscheid, R., Pacholski, A., Frühauf, C., Weigel, H.-J., 2009. Effects of free air carbon dioxide enrichment and nitrogen supply on growth and yield of winter barley cultivated in a crop rotation. Field Crops Res. 110, 185–196.
- Manderscheid, R., Erbs, M., Weigel, H.J., 2014. Interactive effects of free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment and drought stress on maize growth. Eur. J. Agron. 52, 11–21.
- Nie, G.Y., Long, S.P., Garcia, R.L., Kimball, B.A., Lamorte, R.L., Pinter, P.J., Wall, G.W., Webber, A.N., 1995. Effects of Free-Air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment on the development of the photosynthetic apparatus in wheat, as indicated by changes in leaf proteins. Plant Cell Environ. 18, 855–864.
- Rosenzweig, C., Elliott, J., Deryng, D., Ruane, A.C., Müller, C., Arneth, A., Boote, K.J., Folberth, C., Glotter, M., Khabarov, N., Neumann, K., Piontek, F., Pugh, T.A.M., Schmid, E., Stehfest, E., Yang, H., Jones, J.W., 2014. Assessing agricultural risks of climate change in the 21st century in a global gridded crop model intercomparison. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 111, 3268–3273.
- Rubio-Asensio, J.S., Bloom, A.J., 2016. Inorganic nitrogen form: a major player in wheat and Arabidopsis responses to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. J. Exp. Bot. 68, 2611–2625.
- Shewry, P.R., Hey, S.J., 2015. The contribution of wheat to human diet and health. Food Energy Secur. 4, 178–202.
- Shimono, H., Okada, M., Yamakawa, Y., Nakamura, H., Kobayashi, K., Hasegawa, T., 2008. Rice yield enhancement by elevated  $CO_2$  is reduced in cool weather. Glob. Change Biol. 14, 276–284.

Sieling, K., Böttcher, U., Kage, H., 2016. Dry matter partitioning and canopy traits in

wheat and barley under varying N supply. Eur. J. Agron. 74, 1–8. Sinclair, T.R., Horie, T., 1989. Leaf nitrogen, photosynthesis and crop radiation use eff-ciency: a review. Crop Sci. 29, 90–98.

- Sinclair, T.R., Pinter, P.J., Kimball, B.A., Adamsen, F.J., LaMorte, R.L., Wall, G.W., Hunsaker, D.J., Adam, N., Brooks, T.J., Garcia, R.L., Thompson, T., Leavitt, S., Matthias, A., 2000. Leaf nitrogen concentration of wheatsubjected to elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] and either water or N deficits. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 79, 53-60.
- Sionit, N., Mortensen, D.A., Strain, B.R., Heumers, H., 1981. Growth response of wheat to  $CO_2$  enrichment and different levels of mineral nutrition. Agron. J. 73, 1023–1027. Stitt, M., Krapp, A., 1999. The interaction between elevated carbon dioxide and nitrogen
- nutrition: the physiological and molecular background. Plant Cell Environ. 22, 583-622.
- Tausz, M., Norton, R.M., Tausz-Posch, S., Löw, M., Seneweera, S., O'Leary, G., Armstrong, R., Fitzgerald, G.J., 2017. Can additional N fertiliser ameliorate the elevated CO2-induced depression in grain and tissue N concentrations of wheat on a high soil N background? J. Agron. Crop. Sci. 1-10.
- Tausz-Posch, S., Seneweera, S., Norton, R.M., Fitzgerald, G.J., Tausz, M., 2012. Can a wheat cultivar with high transpiration efficiency maintain its yield advantage over a near-isogenic cultivar under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>? Field Crops Res. 133, 160–166.
- Tausz-Posch, S., Dempsey, R.W., Seneweera, S., Norton, R.M., Fitzgerald, G., Tausz, M., 2015. Does a freely tillering wheat cultivar benefit more from elevated  $CO_2$  than a restricted tillering cultivar in a water-limited environment? Eur. J. Agron. 64, 21–28. Van Kraalingen, D.W.G., 1990. Effects of CO2 enrichment on nutrient-deficient plants. In:

- Goudriaan, J., van Keilen, H., van Laar, H.H. (Eds.), The Greenhouse Effect and Primary Productivity in European Agro-Ecosystems. Pudoc Scientific Publishers,
- Wageningen, pp. 42–45. Vanuytrecht, E., Thorburn, P.J., 2017. Responses to atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentrations in crop simulation models: a review of current simple and semicomplex representations
- and options for model development. Glob. Change Biol. 23, 1806–1820. Vanuytrecht, E., Raes, D., Willems, P., Geerts, S., 2012. Quantifying field-scale effects of elevated carbon dioxide concentration on crops. Clim. Res. 54, 35–47. Walker, C., Armstrong, R., Panozzo, J., Partington, D., Fitzgerald, G., 2017. Can nitrogen
- fertiliser maintain wheat (Triticum aestivum) grain protein concentration in an ele-vated CO<sub>2</sub> environment? Soil Res. 55 (6), 518–523.
- Wall, G.W., Adam, N.R., Brooks, T.J., Kimball, B.A., Pinter, P.J., LaMorte, R.L., Adamsen, F.J., Hunsaker, D.J., Wechsung, G., Wechsung, F., Grossman-Clarke, S., Leavitt, S.W., Matthias, A.D., Webber, A.N., 2000. Acclimation response of spring wheat in a freeair CO2 enrichment (FACE) atmosphere with variable soil nitrogen regimes. 2. Net assimilation and stomatal conductance of leaves. Photosyn. Res. 66, 79-95.
- Wang, L., Feng, Z.Z., Schjoerring, J.K., 2013. Effects of elevated atmospheric CO2 on physiology and yield of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.): a meta-analytic test of current hypotheses. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 178, 57-63.
- Weigel, H.J., Manderscheid, R., 2012. Crop growth responses to free air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment and nitrogen fertilization: rotating barley, ryegrass, sugar beet and wheat. Eur. J.
- Agron. 43, 97–107.
   Wolf, J., 1996. Effects of nutrient supply (NPK) on spring wheat response to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. Plant Soil 185, 113–123.

## 2.6 Anhang

**Table S1**: Result of the repeated measurements analysis of the effect of the two  $CO_2$  and three N treatments on aboveground biomass (AGBM) and green area index (GAI) as well as specific weight (SLW), N concentration (N conc GL) and specific N weight of green leaves (SLNW).

Variable	Year	Covariance model	$CO_2$	N	Harvest	CO <sub>2</sub> x N	CO <sub>2</sub> x H	N x H	CO <sub>2</sub> x N x H
AGBM (g m <sup>-2</sup> )	2014	CSH	**	***	***	ns	**	***	ns
	2015	ARH(1)	**	***	***	**	*	***	ns
$GAI (m^2 m^{-2})$	2014	ARH(1)	ns	***	***	ns	ns	***	ns
	2015	CS	**	***	***	**	ns	***	ns
SLW (g $m^{-2}$ )	2014	CSH	ns	**	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
	2015	<b>AR</b> (1)	ns	***	**	ns	ns	*	ns
N conc GL (mg $g^{-1}$ )	2014	ARH(1)	ns	***	***	ns	ns	*	ns
	2015	UN(1)	*	***	***	ns	ns	**	ns
SLNW (g $m^{-2}$ )	2014	CSH	ns	***	***	ns	ns	ns	ns
	2015	AR(1)	(*)	***	***	ns	ns	*	ns

AR(1): Correlation among sampling time of the main and residual error drops with time lag; error variances are the same for each sampling

ARH(1): Correlation among sampling time of the main and residual error drops with time lag; error variances are different for each sampling

CS: Correlation among sampling time of the main and residual error is independent of time lag; error variances are the same for each sampling

CSH: Correlation among sampling time of the main and residual error is independent of time lag; error variances are different for each sampling

UN(1): No correlation among sampling time; error variances are different for each sampling

 $^{(*)}P<\!\!0.1;\ ^{*}P<\!\!0.05\ ^{**}P<\!\!0.01\ ^{***}P<\!\!0.001.$ 

**Table S2:** F-test result of the effect of the two  $CO_2$  and three N levels on growth and yield variables at anthesis and maturity, and on important yield determinants. Aboveground biomass = AGBM; green area index = GAI; stem area index = SAI; leaf area index = LAI; ear area index = EAI; 1000 grain weight = TGW; absorbed PAR accumulated from 1<sup>st</sup> node stage up to maturity = AR; radiation use efficiency =RUE.

Variable	$CO_2$	Ν	Year	CO <sub>2</sub> x N	CO <sub>2</sub> x Y	N x Y	CO <sub>2</sub> x N x Y
Growth up to anthesis							
AGBM (g m <sup>-2</sup> )	*	***	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Stem BM (g $m^{-2}$ )	$**^{1}$	***1	ns <sup>1</sup>	ns <sup>1</sup>	ns <sup>1</sup>	$**^{1}$	ns <sup>1</sup>
Leaf BM (g m <sup>-2</sup> )	ns	***	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Ear BM (g $m^{-2}$ )	**	***	(*)	(*)	ns	ns	ns
$GAI (m^2 m^{-2})$	*	***	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
SAI $(m^2 m^{-2})$	(*)	***	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
LAI $(m^2 m^{-2})$	*	***	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
EAI $(m^2 m^{-2})$	**	***	***	(*)	ns	ns	ns
Stand height (m)	***	***	***	ns	ns	(*)	ns
Growth up to maturity							
AGBM (g m <sup>-2</sup> )	**	***	ns	*	ns	**	ns
Stem BM (g $m^{-2}$ )	***	***	(*)	*	ns	***	ns
Leaf BM (g $m^{-2}$ )	**	***	***	ns	ns	ns	ns
Ear BM (g $m^{-2}$ )	**	***	ns	*	ns	*	ns
Grain yield (g m <sup>-2</sup> )	**	***	ns	*	ns	(*)	ns
Grain number (m <sup>-2</sup> )	**	***	(*)	(*)	ns	***	ns
Ear number (m <sup>-2</sup> )	*	***	ns	ns	ns	*	ns
Grain number (ear <sup>-1</sup> )	ns	***	ns	ns	ns	*	ns
TGW (g)	ns	***	**	ns	ns	**	ns
Yield determinants							
AR (MJ $m^{-2}$ )	ns	***	*	ns	ns	*	ns
$RUE (g MJ^{-1})$	**	***	ns	**	ns	ns	ns
Harvest index	ns	***	ns	**	ns	**	ns

 ${}^{(*)}P<\!\!0.1;\ {}^{*}P<\!\!0.05\ {}^{**}P<\!\!0.01\ {}^{***}P<\!\!0.001.$ 

The index 1 indicates log-transformation of the respective variable to comply with variance homogeneity of the residual error

**Table S3**: Result of analysis of covariance of the effect of the two  $CO_2$  levels and LAI on SLNW.Analysis was conducted separately for each year x N level combination.

			Effect	
Year	N level	$CO_2$	LAI	CO <sub>2</sub> x LAI
2014	Nd	ns	**	ns
	Nad	ns	***	ns
	Nex	ns	**	ns
2015	Nd	*	**	ns
	Nad	ns	*	ns
	Nex	ns	**	ns

 $^{*}P < 0.05 ^{**}P < 0.01 ^{***}P < 0.001.$ 



**Fig. S1**: Effect of the two CO<sub>2</sub> and three N treatments on specific weight (SLW) (a), N concentration (b) and specific N weight (SLNW) (c) of green leaves from the 1st node stage up to the milk-ripe stage. Presented are mean values (n=3;  $\pm$ S.E.M) and the statistical analysis is shown in **Table S1**. Nd = severe N deficiency; Nad = adequate; and Nex = excess N level. Note that no measurement of SLW was conducted at the milk-ripe stage in 2014 and thus SLNW was not calculated.

# <u>3. Kapitel: Wirkung von e[CO<sub>2</sub>] auf N-Aneignung, N-Remobilisierung und postanthetische N-</u> <u>Aufnahme</u>

Field Crops Research 234 (2019) 107-118

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Field Crops Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fcr

Field Cropps Research

## Positive effects of free air $CO_2$ enrichment on N remobilization and postanthesis N uptake in winter wheat

Markus Dier<sup>a,c</sup>, Jan Sickora<sup>a</sup>, Martin Erbs<sup>b</sup>, Hans-Joachim Weigel<sup>a</sup>, Christian Zörb<sup>c</sup>, Remy Manderscheid<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Thünen Institute of Biodiversity, Bundesallee 65, D-38116, Braunschweig, Germany

<sup>b</sup> Deutsche Agrarforschungsallianz (DAFA), German Agricultural Research Alliance, c/o Thünen Institute, Braunschweig, Germany

<sup>c</sup> Institute of Crop Science, Quality of Plant Products, University of Hohenheim, Emil-Wolff-Str. 25, D-70599, Stuttgart, Germany

#### ARTICLE INFO

Triticum aestivum

Keywords: Climate change Free air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment Grain nitrogen concentration Nitrogen deficiency Nitrogen use efficiency Post-anthesis nitrogen uptake

#### ABSTRACT

Elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration ( $e[CO_2]$ ) often increases cereal yield, but can also decrease vegetative and grain tissue nitrogen (N) concentration that might affect future food and feed quality. However, data about CO<sub>2</sub> x N interactions on key processes determining grain N yield and concentration, which are remobilization of vegetative N taken up before anthesis (Nrem) and post-anthesis N uptake (Nabs), are scarce. Therefore, a twoyear Free Air CO<sub>2</sub> Enrichment (FACE) experiment was conducted with winter wheat grown under two CO<sub>2</sub> ('393 and 600 ppm) and three N levels (severe deficiency with N nutrition index (NNI) of 0.4, adequate with NNI of 0.8 and excess with NNI of 1.1).

 $e[CO_2]$  did not influence the allometric relation between aboveground N concentration and biomass up to anthesis. At anthesis,  $e[CO_2]$  increased N acquisition of stem and ear, but not of leaf. Correspondingly,  $e[CO_2]$  increased Nrem of stem and chaff. Moreover,  $e[CO_2]$  enhanced the efficiency of Nrem of stem and aboveground plant in the first year, indicating increased N mobilization from vegetative tissue. Nabs tended to be increased by  $e[CO_2]$ , especially in the second year. Finally,  $e[CO_2]$  increased grain N yield (8 to 12%), N use efficiency (13 to 18%) and N uptake efficiency (10 to 12%). Grain N concentration was slightly decreased by  $e[CO_2]$  in both years (-1 to -6%), while grain N concentration was considerably larger (9 to 19%) in the second year compared to the first year. There was a strong linear relation between grain N yield and grain number ( $r^2 = 0.98$ ) that was not influenced by  $e[CO_2]$ , suggesting grain number as important factor determining the grain N yield increase under  $e[CO_2]$ . Grain N concentration was more strongly affected by  $e[CO_2]$  than mean N content per grain.

#### 1. Introduction

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is an important basic food of a large part of the world population, with annual production has increased during the last half-century (Simoni, 2009) and is expected to further rise in future (Shewry and Hey, 2015). The atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration is predicted to continue to rise from current 408 to 730–1020 ppm by the end of this century (IPCC, 2013). Elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (e [CO<sub>2</sub>]) stimulates photosynthesis in C<sub>3</sub> crops, which is often associated with biomass and yield increases (Ainsworth and Long, 2005). However, these positive e[CO<sub>2</sub>] effects are often accompanied by a decrease of tissue nitrogen (N) concentration. For wheat, meta-analyses found reductions of -9 to -16% of vegetative tissue (Cotrufo et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2013) and -6 to -16% of grain (Taub et al., 2008; Myers et al., 2014). Moreover, a modelling study, taking climate change adaptions

concerning grain yield into account, suggested global mean decrease of grain protein concentration of -9% under  $e[CO_2]$  in 2050 (Asseng et al., 2019). There is concern that those reductions will result in poor food (Myers et al., 2014), feed (Sinclair et al., 2000) and baking quality (Wieser et al., 2008; Panozzo et al., 2014).

In wheat, the highest proportion of grain N originates from remobilization of N acquired by the vegetative organs before anthesis to the grains during grain filling (Barbottin et al., 2005). Leaf and stem are the most important N sources of N remobilization (Nrem), contributing about 75% of the N originating from Nrem, whereas the residual share of Nrem is provided by chaff and roots (Gaju et al., 2014). Another important N source of the grains is post-anthesis N uptake (Nabs). Depending on environmental conditions, 40 to 90% of the grain N originates from Nrem and thus 10 to 60% from Nabs (Kong et al., 2016). Nabs depends on soil mineral N availability at early grain filling

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: remy.manderscheid@thuenen.de (R. Manderscheid).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2019.02.013

Received 8 November 2018; Received in revised form 7 February 2019; Accepted 15 February 2019

0378-4290/ © 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY-NC-ND/4.0/).

(Bancal, 2009). Many studies (e.g. Ma et al., 1995; Jamieson and Semenov, 2000) indicated that grain N acquisition is determined by the N source, i.e. N acquisition before anthesis and soil N availability at early grain filling. However, a strong inverse relationship between Nrem and Nabs exists (Barbottin et al., 2005; Kong et al., 2016), indicating a certain degree of sink regulation of grain N acquisition. According to Martre et al. (2003), accumulation of structural and metabolic proteins, making up about 30% of the grain protein in wheat, is sink regulated, whereas accumulation of storage protein (gluten) is source regulated. In addition to grain N acquisition, individual grain growth, i.e. starch acquisition, can be important in determining grain N concentration. The processes controlling grain N and starch acquisition act partly independently (Jenner et al., 1991).

The mechanism by which e[CO<sub>2</sub>] decreases tissue N concentration is still elusive. Several mechanisms have been hypothesized, which could occur simultaneously or interact with each other. A hypothesis is growth dilution, implying that plant N acquisition is not directly influenced by e[CO2] but cannot fully keep pace with the enhanced growth under e[CO2] (Loladze, 2002). This hypothesis implies increased N acquisition to some extent in plants grown under e[CO2], which was observed in wheat in several Free Air CO2 Enrichment (FACE) experiments (e.g. Han et al., 2015; Cai et al., 2016; Tausz et al., 2017). However, Pleijel and Uddling (2012) and Feng et al. (2015) found that e[CO2] can also decrease tissue N concentration under conditions of no growth stimulation by e[CO2]. A potential mechanism for the decrease of N concentration is inhibition of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> assimilation by e[CO2] (Bloom et al., 2010), which could explain a decrease of N concentration without enhanced growth. Another hypothesis is that e [CO2] decreases leaf N concentration because of downregulation of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphat carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCO) gene expression, especially under N deficiency (Stitt and Krapp, 1999).

There are also other  $e[CO_2]$  induced processes that could enhance N acquisition and thus tissue N concentration. These include stimulation of root growth (Pacholski et al., 2015) and increase of whole-crop N sink strength (Feng et al., 2015).

Plant N concentration declines as biomass increases during crop development because of shading effects and change of the leaf: stem ratio (Justes et al., 1994; Sadras and Lemaire, 2014). Therefore, the decrease of N concentration under  $e[CO_2]$  can be, at least partly, explained by increased biomass according to the negative allometric relation between N concentration and biomass. Some crop models assume a reduction of critical N concentration, i.e. the concentration that is required to ensure potential production, when wheat is grown under  $e[CO_2]$  (Vanuytrecht and Thorburn, 2017). However, few experimental studies (e.g. Coleman et al., 1993) have considered the allometry between N concentration and biomass in their comparison of the effect of  $CO_2$  on N concentration.

It was proposed that a decrease of tissue N concentration by  $e[CO_2]$  at anthesis results in a decrease of Nrem (Rubio-Asensio and Bloom, 2016). In line with this, the extent of decrease of leaf and grain N concentration by  $e[CO_2]$  was strongly correlated in a FACE study (Kimball et al., 2001, 2002). It is also possible that while Nrem is unaffected the efficiency of Nrem, which is the proportion of the N taken up before anthesis that is remobilized, is decreased under  $e[CO_2]$ . This might occur when  $e[CO_2]$  enhances tillering (Cai et al., 2016), but decreases Nrem per tiller. However, regarding Nrem, processes possibly exist that could compensate for reduced vegetative tissue N concentration under  $e[CO_2]$ . For instance, studies showed that  $e[CO_2]$  enhances the grain N sink strength (Lam et al., 2012) and under ambient  $[CO_2]$  a large amount of N that is potentially available for Nrem, i.e. that is not structural N, remains in vegetative tissue at maturity (Pask et al., 2012).

Studies found that canopy senescence was accelerated under  $e[CO_2]$  at grain filling (Osborne et al., 1998; Brooks et al., 2000; Fangmeier et al., 2000) and thus, the time interval of Nabs might be reduced under e  $[CO_2]$ . Moreover, Nabs could be reduced by  $e[CO_2]$  because of inhibition of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> assimilation (Bloom et al., 2010), even if indication of such inhibition was not found in the present experiment (Dier et al., 2018a).

The decrease in tissue N concentration by  $e[CO_2]$  is stronger under low compared to high N supply in wheat (Kimball et al., 2002; Taub et al., 2008). The meta-analysis of Taub et al. (2008), which included chamber and field studies, showed for grain N concentration a decrease of -10% under high and of -16% under low N supply. The FACE study of Kimball et al. (2002) revealed a strong decrease of leaf and grain N concentration under severe N deficiency, but no decrease under ample N supply. However, other FACE studies showed no difference in the e [CO<sub>2</sub>] effect on N concentration between low and high N supply (Ma et al., 2007; Erbs et al., 2010; Lam et al., 2012; Han et al., 2015) and little difference between adequate and excess N supply (Tausz et al., 2017). Therefore, the CO<sub>2</sub> x N interaction on grain N concentration under field conditions is still unclear.

In the present study, a two-year FACE experiment was conducted with winter wheat under well-watered conditions, comprising two  $CO_2$ (393 and 600 ppm) and three N fertilizer levels (severe deficiency, adequate and excess). The main objective was to investigate  $CO_2 \ge N$ interactions on Nrem, considering different vegetative organs, and Nabs. The following specific questions were addressed: (i) can the decrease of N concentration by  $e[CO_2]$  before anthesis be explained by the negative allometric relation between biomass and N concentration (ii) does decrease of N concentration by  $e[CO_2]$  at anthesis result in decreased Nrem and (iii) does  $e[CO_2]$  decrease Nabs because of accelerated canopy senescence and/or inhibited  $NO_3^-$  assimilation.

#### 2. Material and methods

#### 2.1. Study site and experimental design

The experiment was conducted on a field site (52°18′N, 10°26′E, 79 m a.s.l.) at the Thünen-Institut in Braunschweig, Germany in 2014 and 2015. Winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. Variety "Batis") was grown under ambient  $[CO_2]$  and  $e[CO_2]$  of 600 ppm and three levels of nitrogen (N) fertilizer. The CO<sub>2</sub> treatments were conducted on circular plots with a diameter of 20 m and the N fertilizer treatments on rectangular subplots (3 m × 5 m), which were randomly established within the CO<sub>2</sub> plots. Altogether, the experiment consisted of six different CO<sub>2</sub> and N treatments on the field site did not differ between the two years.

The soil in the 0–40 cm plough horizon is a luvisol of loamy sand texture consisting of 69% sand, 24% silt and 7% clay. The lower (-1.5 MPa soil water tension) and upper limit (-0.01 MPa soil water tension) of plant available soil water is a volumetric water content of 5% and 23%, respectively. Important soil parameters were measured of the 0–40 cm soil profile in each N subplot in March 2015. Soil was dried at 105 °C and passed through a 2 mm sieve before carbon (C) and N determination with an element analyzer (Leco TruSpec CNS, USA). Soil pH was measured in a soil suspension with water. The results were (mean ± SD; n = 18): pH, 6.83 ± 0.26; C content, 0.98 ± 0.05%; and N content, 0.09 ± 0.00%. Mineral N in the 0–40 cm soil profile measured in middle of March was 14.2 ± 2.4 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2014 and 22.4 ± 5.8 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2015. With respect to soil mineral N content, statistical analysis did not result in significant CO<sub>2</sub>, N and CO<sub>2</sub> x N effects.

#### 2.2. Crop management and CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment

Wheat was sown with a density of 380 kernels per m<sup>2</sup> on October 29 in 2014 and November 4 in 2015. Crop management was conducted according to local farm practice with sufficient pesticide application and nutrient supply. N fertilization was conducted with calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN; 27% N), which was scattered by hand. A severe deficient N level with 40 (2014) /35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (2015) (Nd), an adequate with 180 (2014) /200 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (2015) (Nad) and an excess with 320 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (2014 and 2015) (Nex) were used. Table 1 presents an overview of the application dates with the corresponding N fertilizer doses. At anthesis in 2015, an aqueous solution of CAN labelled with

#### Table 1

N fertilizer treatments with application dates and quantities. Nd refers to the severe deficiency, Nad to the adequate and Nex to the excess N level. At mid-anthesis on June 11 in 2015 <sup>15</sup>N labeled N fertilizer with 5% <sup>15</sup>N excess was applied.

	2014 Quantity (kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )					2015 Quantity (kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )				
N level	Mar 19 <sup>th</sup>	Apr 14 <sup>th</sup>	May 4 <sup>th</sup>	June2 <sup>nd</sup>	Total	Mar 18 <sup>th</sup>	Apr 28 <sup>th</sup>	May11 <sup>th</sup>	June 11 <sup>th</sup>	Total
Nd	20	20	15		40	15	15		5 ( <sup>15</sup> N)	35
Nad	70	35	35	40	180	70	35	35	60 ( <sup>15</sup> N)	200
Nex	120	60	60	80	320	120	60	60	80 ( <sup>15</sup> N)	320

 $^{15}$ N (5% excess) was applied, whose amounts are shown in Table 1. The solution was prepared by mixing of a solution of CAN with a solution of  $^{15}$ N labelled ammonium nitrate ( $^{15}$ NH<sub>4</sub> +  $^{15}$ NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>; 98% excess). This solution was then carefully applied with a watering can. Manual irrigation was implemented to keep volumetric soil water content in the range of 14–21% (50–90% of field capacity) and irrigation was adapted to the differing water demand of the different CO<sub>2</sub> x N treatments (for details see: Manderscheid et al., 2018).

 $CO_2$  enrichment was conducted with a FACE system constructed according to the Brookhaven National Laboratory design (Lewin et al., 1992).  $CO_2$  enrichment started at the four and three leaf stage on March 31 in 2014 and March 12 in 2015, respectively.  $CO_2$  enrichment took place during the daytime hours and was interrupted when air temperature fell below 5 °C or wind speed exceeded 6 m s<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 2.3. Determination of N concentration, atom% <sup>15</sup>N excess and N yield

Plant samples were taken from an area of  $0.5 \text{ m}^2$  at three stages (first node stage, flag leaf stage, anthesis) and from an area of  $1.8 \text{ m}^2$  at maturity. At the samplings up to anthesis, a small subsample consisting of 30 plants was then separated into stems (including leaf sheaths), leaf blades and ears followed by drying at 105 °C and biomass determination. The remaining subsample was dried at 105 °C followed by biomass determination. Both subsamples were used for biomass determination. At maturity, ears were sampled from the whole ( $1.8 \text{ m}^2$ ) sampling area. After threshing, the grain and chaff fraction were determined by weight and dry weight was determined from a grain and chaff subsample after drying at 105 °C. Biomass data have already been published (Dier et al., 2018b).

Tissue N concentration was determined using an element analyzer (Leco TruSpec CNS, USA). Before analysis, the stem, leaf blade and chaff fraction were dried at 105 °C and ground to a fine powder in a rotor mill (Brabender, Germany). The grain samples were ground to pass a 0.75 mm sieve in an ultracentrifugal mill (Retsch type ZM1, Germany) after drying at 105 °C. To determine atom% <sup>15</sup>N excess, a subsample of the ground plant material was further ground in a ball mill (MM 400, Retsch, Germany) followed by atom% <sup>15</sup>N determination with an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS) (Delta Plus, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Atom % <sup>15</sup>N excess was calculated as atom% <sup>15</sup>N in the sample minus <sup>15</sup>N natural abundance. To test whether there is a CO<sub>2</sub> or N effect on atom% <sup>15</sup>N of unlabelled plants at maturity, a plant sample was taken from the area fertilized with unlabelled CAN. However, neither a significant CO<sub>2</sub> and N effect nor CO<sub>2</sub> x N interaction were detected.

#### 2.4. Determination of soil mineral N and <sup>15</sup>N excess

Soil samples of the 0–40 cm soil profile were taken at the beginning and the end of the main growing season in both years, and at anthesis in 2015 (before application of the <sup>15</sup>N labelled fertilizer). Subsamples of approx. 40 g soil were taken from six random positions, pooled and extracted with KCl (2 M) followed by photometrical determination of soil mineral N (Nmin) with a Continuous-Flow Analyzer (Model SA3000/5000, Scalar, Netherlands).

<sup>15</sup>N excess was determined as described in 2.3. Before determination, all visible plant material was removed from the soil samples before drying of the soil at 105  $^\circ C$  and grinding to fine powder in a ball mill (MM 400, Retsch, Germany).

#### 2.5. Determination of N nutrition index

N nutrition index (NNI) was determined as the ratio of the actual aboveground N concentration and critical N concentration belonging to the actual aboveground biomass. The critical N concentration was determined according to the critical dilution curve described for wheat (Justes et al., 1994). NNI was measured at flag leaf stage (DC39) and anthesis (DC65) because of the strong connection between NNI during this period and grain yield (Ravier et al., 2017).

#### 2.6. Determination of N remobilization and post-anthesis N uptake

N remobilization (Nrem) and post-anthesis N uptake (Nabs) were estimated with the apparent method (Kichey et al., 2007) in both years. Nrem was calculated by subtracting N yield of the vegetative plant fractions (stem + leaf sheath, leaf blade and chaff) at maturity from the ones at anthesis. Efficiency of Nrem was calculated as the ratio of Nrem to N yield at anthesis. Nabs was calculated from the difference between N yield of all plant fractions at maturity and at anthesis.

Nrem and Nabs were additionally estimated by  $^{15}$ N isotope labelling in 2015. This was conducted according to Kichey et al. (2007) on the basis of the following equations:

$$N_{rem} = \frac{[N_{ant}(E_{abs} - E_{ant})] - [N_{mat}(E_{abs} - E_{mat})]}{E_{rem} - E_{abs}}$$
(1)

$$N_{abs} = \frac{[N_{mat}(E_{mat} - E_{ant})] - [N_{ant}(E_{ant} - E_{rem})]}{E_{abs} - E_{rem}}$$
(2)

where  $N_{ant}$  is N yield at anthesis;  $N_{mab}$  N yield at maturity;  $E_{antb}$  <sup>15</sup>N excess at anthesis;  $E_{mat}$ , <sup>15</sup>N excess at maturity;  $E_{rem}$ , <sup>15</sup>N excess derived from Nrem;  $E_{abs}$  <sup>15</sup>N excess derived from Nabs. Because there was no <sup>15</sup>N excess in the plant fractions at anthesis,  $E_{rem}$  was regarded as zero.  $E_{abs}$  was calculated with the following equation:

$$E_{abs} = \frac{(N_{fer} * E_{fer}) + (N_{soil} * E_{soil}) + (N_{minr} * E_{minr})}{N_{fer} + N_{soil} + N_{minr}}$$
(3)

where N<sub>fer</sub> is the amount of labelled fertilizer applied at anthesis;  $E_{fer}$ <sup>15</sup>N excess of the fertilizer (5%); N<sub>soib</sub> soil Nmin at anthesis;  $E_{soib}$ <sup>15</sup>N excess in the soil at anthesis; N<sub>minr</sub>, N derived from mineralization from anthesis up to maturity, which was calculated as total N (aboveground plant + Nmin) under *Nd* at maturity minus total N at anthesis under *Nd*; and  $E_{minr}$ <sup>15</sup>N excess derived from mineralization from anthesis up to maturity.  $E_{minr}$  was regarded as zero because of the absence of <sup>15</sup>N excess in the soil at anthesis.

#### 2.7. Determination of relative greenness

Relative greenness (SPAD) of the flag leaf was measured with a portable chlorophyll meter (Model SPAD 502, Minolta, Japan) starting at medium milk development (DC75). In each N subplot, 10 randomly chosen plants were used and SPAD measurements were conducted at three leaf positions (distal, medial, and proximal).



**Fig. 1.** Nitrogen nutrition index (NNI) of the three N treatments (severe deficiency (*Nd*), adequate (*Nad*) and excess (*Nex*)) in both years of the experiment. NNI was determined at the flag leaf stage and anthesis and shown are the mean values over the two growth stages and  $CO_2$  levels ( $\pm$  S.E.M; n = 12) and the F-test result of the N and year effect. The horizontal line indicates the NNI of one, from which onwards the crop N status can be considered as non-limiting for growth. \*\*\*P < 0.001.

#### 2.8. Determination of N use efficiency, its components and N harvest index

N use efficiency (NUE) was calculated by dividing grain biomass by Nmin at the beginning of the main growing season plus fertilizer N (Moll et al., 1982). N uptake efficiency (NUpE) was calculated by dividing total aboveground N yield by the same denominator used to calculate NUE. N utilization efficiency (NUtE) was calculated by dividing grain biomass by total aboveground N yield. N harvest index (NHI) was calculated by dividing grain N yield by total aboveground N yield. Grain specific N uptake efficiency (gNUpE) was calculated by multiplying NUpE with NHI.

#### 2.9. Statistical analysis

The experiment was analyzed with SAS (version 9.4) proc mixed as split plot design with the  $CO_2$  treatment as main plot factor and the N treatment as sub plot factor. If the year was added as third factor to the mixed model, then statistical analysis was conducted as repeated measurements. The year was treated as fixed effect and the variance/covariance between the two years of the random main plot and residual error was modelled with the UN (1) covariance model. This model applies no covariance between both years, but different error variances between the two years. Least square difference tests were implemented with SAS proc glimmix and mean values were regarded as significantly different if P < 0.05.

The  $CO_2$  effect on the allometric relation between above ground N concentration (N%) and above ground biomass (W), which follows the equation:

$$N \% = aW^{-b} \tag{4}$$

(Sadras and Lemaire, 2014), was examined by transforming Eq. (4) to:

$$\log(N\%) = \log(a) - b\log(W) \tag{5}$$

and subjecting this linear relation to analysis of covariance.

Analysis of covariance of the effect of  $CO_2$  and aboveground biomass on aboveground N concentration as well as the effect of  $CO_2$  and grain number on variables describing N acquisition at maturity (e.g. Nrem and Nabs) was implemented by sequential F-tests with SAS proc mixed. The interaction effect was analyzed by testing the full model against the model without the interaction effect. The main effects were analyzed by testing the model without the interaction against the model further reduced by the main effect to be tested.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment and climatic conditions

 $CO_2$  enrichment took place during 99.0% of the target time in 2014 and 97.4% in 2015. The one minute average [CO<sub>2</sub>] was within  $\pm$  10% of the target concentration of 600 ppm for 95.6% of the operating time in 2014 and for 95.7% in 2015. Monthly mean temperature and global radiation as well as rainfall were in the range of normal variation in both years. In 2014, temperature in March and April was warmer compared to 2015 and the long term mean, respectively. A detailed description of the environmental conditions is shown elsewhere (Dier et al., 2018a,b). In 2015 rainfall was low in May and June and thus intensive irrigation was necessary (Manderscheid et al., 2018).

#### 3.2. Characterization of the N treatments

Fig. 1 shows the N nutrition index (NNI) measured at the flag leaf stage and anthesis of the three N levels (Table 1). Rising N supply significantly increased NNI and over both growth stages,  $CO_2$  levels and years NNI was 0.4 under the N deficiency (*Nd*), 0.8 under the adequate (*Nad*) and 1.1 under the excess N level (*Nex*). Neither a significant  $CO_2$  and year effect nor a  $CO_2 \times N$ , N x year and  $CO_2 \times N$  x year interaction on NNI were detected.

#### 3.3. Allometric relation between plant N concentration and biomass

Fig. 2 shows the allometric relation between aboveground biomass and aboveground N concentration from 1 st node stage up to anthesis for each  $CO_2 \times N$  combination comprising the data of both years. Rising N supply shifted the allometric curves to generally larger N concentration, but e[CO<sub>2</sub>] did not significantly influence the allometric relation under any N level (Table S1).

3.4. Tissue N concentration at anthesis and maturity and mean N content per grain

N concentration of all wheat fractions were strongly increased by increasing N level at anthesis and maturity (Tables 2, 3 and S2). Most of these N concentrations were influenced by the year, especially grain N concentration was considerably larger in 2015 compared to 2014 (16%



**Fig. 2.** Allometric relation between plant N concentration and biomass for each  $CO_2 \times N$  combination comprising the data of both years. Open symbols and dashed regression line = ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>]; closed symbols and solid regression line = e[CO<sub>2</sub>]. Result of analysis of covariance of the linearized allometric relation is shown in Table S1. Only data of the biomass range of 1.55–12 t ha<sup>-1</sup> were considered for analysis in accordance with Justes et al. (1994).
### Table 2

F-test result of the effect of the two CO<sub>2</sub> and three N levels on tissue N concentration (Nconc); N yield; mean N content per grain; percentage of N in stem, leaf and ear as a proportional of total aboveground N yield (%N); N use efficiency (NUE); N uptake efficiency (NUPE); N utilization efficiency (NUTE); N harvest index (NHI) and N uptake efficiency of grain (NUPEg). A refers to the sampling at anthesis and M to the one at maturity.

Variable	Growth stage	$CO_2$	Ν	Year	CO <sub>2</sub> x N	$CO_2 \ge Y$	N x Y	$CO_2 \ge N \ge Y$
Nconc stem (mg N $g^{-1}$ )	А	ns	***	*	ns	ns	(*)	ns
	Μ	*	***	***	ns	(*)	***	ns
Nconc leaf (mg N $g^{-1}$ )	Α	ns	***	(*)	ns	ns	ns	ns
	М	*	***	**	(*)	ns	**	(*)
Nconc ear/chaff (mg N $g^{-1}$ )	Α	ns	***	ns	*	ns	ns	ns
	М	ns	***	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Nconc grain (mg N $g^{-1}$ )	М	(*)	***	***	*	ns	***	ns
N content per grain (mg)	М	ns	***	*	ns	ns	***	ns
N yield stem (g N m $^{-2}$ )	Α	*	***	*	ns	ns	ns	ns
	Μ	(*) <sup>1</sup>	***1	*1	ns <sup>1</sup>	ns <sup>1</sup>	ns <sup>1</sup>	ns <sup>1</sup>
N yield leaves (g N m $^{-2}$ )	Α	ns	***	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
	М	ns	***	***	ns	ns	**	ns
N yield chaff (g N $m^{-2}$ )	Α	*	***	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
	M	ns	***	(*)	ns	ns	**	ns
N yield total (g N m $^{-2}$ )	Α	ns	***	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
	М	(*)	***	***	ns	ns	**	ns
N yield grain (g N $m^{-2}$ )	М	*	***	***	(*)	ns	***	ns
%N of stem	Α	***2	***2	***2	ns <sup>2</sup>	$(*)^2$	ns <sup>2</sup>	ns <sup>2</sup>
%N of leaf	Α	$(*)^{2}$	***2	$(*)^{2}$	ns <sup>2</sup>	ns <sup>2</sup>	ns <sup>2</sup>	ns <sup>2</sup>
%N of ear	Α	ns <sup>2</sup>	***2	ns <sup>2</sup>	ns <sup>2</sup>	ns <sup>2</sup>	ns <sup>2</sup>	ns <sup>2</sup>
NUE (g $g^{-1}$ N)	Μ	**1	***1	*1	ns <sup>1</sup>	ns <sup>1</sup>	ns <sup>1</sup>	ns <sup>1</sup>
NUpE (g N $g^{-1}$ N)	Μ	*	***	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
NUTE $(g g^{-1} N)$	Μ	ns	***	**	ns	ns	**	ns
NHI	Μ	ns	***	**	ns	(*)	ns	ns
NUpEg (g N $g^{-1}$ N)	М	*1	***1	ns <sup>1</sup>	ns <sup>1</sup>	ns <sup>1</sup>	ns <sup>1</sup>	ns <sup>1</sup>

 $^{(*)}P < 0.1 \ ^*P < 0.05 \ ^{**}P < 0.01 \ ^{***}P < 0.001.$ 

<sup>1</sup> Prior to analysis, data were log-transformed to ensure variance homogeneity and normal distribution of the residual error.

<sup>2</sup> Data were square root-transformed to ensure variance homogeneity and normal distribution of the residual error.

at Nd, 19% at Nad, 9% at Nex). Additionally, mean N content per grain N was larger under Nd (14%) and Nad (13%) in 2015 (Tables 2 and 3).

e[CO<sub>2</sub>] did not affect stem N concentration at anthesis, but significantly (P < 0.1) reduced it under all N levels by -9 to -17% at maturity in 2014 (Tables 2 and S2). Leaf N concentration was not significantly affected by e[CO<sub>2</sub>] at anthesis, but was significantly (P < 0.05) reduced at maturity in both years (-7% (*Nd*), -16 (*Nad*), -10% (*Nex*)). Ear N concentration was significantly (P < 0.05) reduced under *Nad* (-6%) at anthesis in both years.

Grain N concentration was slightly reduced by  $e[CO_2]$  in both years (-1% (Nd), -6% (Nad) and -4% (Nex)), which was significant (P < 0.05) only under Nad (Tables 2 and 3).  $e[CO_2]$  did not significantly affect mean N content per grain.

### 3.5. N yield at anthesis and maturity and N allocation at anthesis

Total aboveground N yield ranged from 5.3 to 21.6 at anthesis and from 7.9 to  $28.3 \text{ g N m}^{-2}$  at maturity (Table 4). Grain N yield ranged

from 6.5 to  $21.5 \,\text{g}\,\text{N}\,\text{m}^{-2}$ . Rising N level strongly increased N yield of all wheat fractions at anthesis and maturity and most of these variables were significantly different in both years (Tables 2 and 4 and S3).

At anthesis,  $e[CO_2]$  did not significantly influence total aboveground N yield (Tables 2 and 4), but increased N yield of stem (5–12%) and ear (12%) under *Nad* and *Nex* in both years and these variables under *Nd* in 2014 (Tables 2 and S3). At maturity,  $e[CO_2]$  increased aboveground N yield on average by 8% (*Nd*), 9% (*Nad*) and 9% (*Nex*) and grain N yield by 8% (*Nd*), 10% (*Nad*) and 12% (*Nex*) (Table 4) where the former effect was significant for all N levels (P < 0.1) and the latter only under *Nad* and *Nex* (P < 0.1) (Table 2). Stem N yield was increased by  $e[CO_2]$  primarily in 2015, although no significant  $CO_2$ x year interaction was detected (Table 2 and S3).

Rising N supply increased the relative contribution of N in leaf as a proportional of the total N contained in the aboveground compartment whereas the relative contribution of N contained in the ear was decreased (Table 2 and Fig. S1). The relative contribution of N contained in the stem was largest under *Nd* and smallest under *Nad*.  $e[CO_2]$ 

#### Table 3

Effect of the two CO<sub>2</sub> and three N levels on grain N concentration and mean N content per grain. Shown are mean values (n = 3) and the percentage effect of  $e[CO_2]$  ( $\Delta$  (%)). Different small letters indicate significant differences among the marginal means of the N treatments. If the F-test resulted in a significant CO<sub>2</sub> x N interaction (Table 2), then different capital letters indicate significant differences for CO<sub>2</sub> means separate for each N level and different small letters significant differences for N means separate for each CO<sub>2</sub> treatment (letters are in bold for  $e[CO_2]$ ). All letters refer to the mean over both years.

		2014	2014			2015		
		Nd	Nad	Nex	Nd	Nad	Nex	
Nconc grain (mg N $g^{-1}$ )								
a[CC	$D_2$ ]	13.9 A c	18.6 A b	21.7 A a	16.0 A c	22.1 A b	23.7 A a	
e[CC	D <sub>2</sub> ]	13.6 A <b>c</b>	17.5 B <b>b</b>	20.9 A a	15.9 A c	20.9 B b	22.7 A a	
$\Delta$ (%)	6)	-2	-6	-4	-1	-6	-4	
N content per grain (mg)								
a[CC	$D_2$ ]	0.642 b	0.852 a	0.934 a	0.716 b	0.955 a	0.899 a	
e[CC	$D_2$ ]	0.625 b	0.828 a	0.947 a	0.731 b	0.939 a	0.887 a	
$\Delta$ (%)	6)	-3	-3	1	2	-2	-1	
	·							

#### Table 4

Effect of the two  $CO_2$  and three N levels on total aboveground (AGN) and grain N yield. Shown are mean values (n = 3) and the percentage effect of  $e[CO_2]$  ( $\Delta$  (%)). Different small letters indicate significant differences among the marginal means of the N treatments. If the F-test resulted in a significant CO<sub>2</sub> x N interaction (Table 2), then different capital letters indicate significant differences for  $CO_2$  means separate for each N treatment and different small letters significant differences for N means separate for each CO<sub>2</sub> treatment (letters are in bold for  $e[CO_2]$ ). All letters refer to the mean over both years.

		2014	2014			2015			
		Nd	Nad	Nex	Nd	Nad	Nex		
AGN yield (g N m	-2)								
Anthesis	$a[CO_2]$	5.50 c	13.2 b	18.7 a	5.83 c	14.2 b	19.8 a		
	e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	6.11 c	14.7 b	19.6 a	5.34 c	14.8 b	21.6 a		
	Δ (%)	11	11	4	-8	4	9		
Maturity	a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	7.92 c	19.1 b	24.8 a	7.85 c	22.1 b	26.1 a		
	e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	8.60 c	20.3 b	27.2 a	8.48 c	24.5 b	28.3 a		
	Δ (%)	9	7	10	8	11	9		
Grain N yield (g N	$m^{-2}$ )								
	a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	6.55 A c	15.2 B b	17.7 B a	6.68 A c	18.4 B b	19.7 B a		
	e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	7.18 A c	16.6 A b	20.3 A a	7.08 A c	20.3 A <b>b</b>	21.5 A a		
	Δ (%)	10	9	14	6	10	9		

significantly (P < 0.1) decreased the relative contribution of N in the leaf in both years on average by -4, -6 and -7% but significantly (P < 0.001) increased the one of stem by 4, 4 and 5% under *Nd*, *Nad* and *Nex*, respectively. There was a significant (P < 0.1) CO<sub>2</sub> x Y interaction on the relative contribution of N in the stem because of a slightly stronger increase by  $e[CO_2]$  in 2015.

### 3.6. N use efficiency, its components and N harvest index

N use efficiency (NUE) ranged from 24.1 to  $100 \text{ g s}^{-1} \text{ N}$ , N uptake efficiency (NUpE) from 0.74 to  $1.64 \text{ g N g}^{-1} \text{ N}$ , N utilization efficiency (NUtE) from 31.8 to  $61.5 \text{ g g}^{-1} \text{ N}$ , N harvest index (NHI) from 0.714 to 0.851 and grain specific N uptake efficiency (NUpEg) from 0.53 to  $1.37 \text{ g N g}^{-1}$  N (Table 5). These variables were significantly decreased by rising N fertilization (Tables 2 and 5). Regarding year, NUE under all N levels and NUtE under *Nd* and *Nad* were larger in 2014, whereas NHI was larger under all N levels in 2015.

e[CO<sub>2</sub>] significantly increased NUE (P < 0.01), NUPE (P < 0.05) and gNUPE (P < 0.05) in both years on average by 13, 18 and 17% (NUE); 12, 11 and 10% (NUPE); and 11, 12, 13% (gNUPE) under *Nd*, *Nad* and *Nex*, respectively (Tables 2 and 5). NHI was slightly but significantly (P < 0.1) increased by e[CO<sub>2</sub>] in 2014 (1–4%). NUtE was not significantly influenced by e[CO<sub>2</sub>].

### 3.7. N remobilization and N remobilization efficiency

Fig. 3 shows the effect of CO<sub>2</sub>, N and year on N remobilization (Nrem) and N remobilization efficiency (NRE) for stem, leaf, chaff and aboveground plant based on the apparent method. Over both years and all CO<sub>2</sub> and N levels, Nrem was 3.47, 4.10 and 2.07 g N m<sup>-2</sup> and NRE 61, 76 and 73% for stem, leaf and chaff, respectively. Nrem was strongly correlated with N yield at anthesis with  $r^2$  values of 0.92 (stem), 0.98 (leaf) and 0.96 (chaff).

Rising N fertilization strongly increased Nrem of all wheat fractions. NRE of stem and total aboveground plant were decreased by rising N supply and NRE of leaf and chaff were similar under *Nd* and *Nad*, but were larger compared to *Nex*. Nrem and NRE were significantly influenced by year; in particular leaf NRE was considerably larger in 2015.

e[CO<sub>2</sub>] increased Nrem of stem and chaff on average by 15–23% and 13–16%, respectively under *Nad* and *Nex* in both years and by 11% and 10% under *Nd* in 2014. However, e[CO<sub>2</sub>] did not significantly influence Nrem of leaf and aboveground plant. Determination of Nrem by <sup>15</sup>N labelling, which was only conducted in 2015, showed no influence of e[CO<sub>2</sub>] on total plant Nrem (Fig. S2). Linear regression comparing the apparent with the <sup>15</sup>N labelling method yielded a slope of 1.03 ( $r^2 = 0.97$ ), indicating similar values for total plant Nrem (Fig. S3).

### Table 5

Effect of the two CO<sub>2</sub> and three N levels on N use efficiency (NUE), N uptake efficiency (NUpE), N utilization efficiency (NUtE), N harvest index (NHI) and N uptake efficiency of grain (NUpEg). Shown are mean values (n = 3) and the percentage effect of e[CO<sub>2</sub>] ( $\Delta$  (%)). Different small letters indicate significant differences among the marginal means of the N treatments. All letters refer to the means over both years.

	2014			2015			
	Nd	Na	Ne	Nd	Na	Ne	
NUE (g $g^{-1}$ N)							
a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	84.7 a	41.7 b	24.3 c	75.8 a	37.2 b	24.1 c	
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	100 a	49.3 b	29.2 c	81.5 a	44.2 b	27.6 c	
Δ (%)	18	18	20	7	19	15	
NUpE (g N $g^{-1}$ N)							
a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	1.42 a	0.97 Ь	0.74 c	1.42 a	0.99 b	0.76 c	
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	1.64 a	1.06 b	0.82 c	1.54 a	1.11 b	0.82 c	
Δ (%)	15	8	11	9	13	9	
NUtE (g $g^{-1}$ N)							
a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	59.5 a	43.1 b	33.0 c	53.2 a	37.7 b	31.8 c	
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	61.5 a	46.8 b	35.7 c	52.7 a	39.7 b	33.5 c	
Δ (%)	3	8	8	-1	5	5	
NHI							
a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	0.827 a	0.798 b	0.714 c	0.851 a	0.833 b	0.755 c	
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	0.835 a	0.816 b	0.744 c	0.835 a	0.829 b	0.761 c	
Δ (%)	1	2	4	-2	-1	1	
NUpEg (g N $g^{-1}$ N)							
a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	1.17	0.77	0.53	1.21	0.82	0.57	
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	1.37	0.86	0.61	1.29	0.92	0.63	
Δ (%)	16	11	16	6	12	10	

In 2014,  $e[CO_2]$  significantly increased NRE of stem (P < 0.1) by 2, 7 and 10% and of aboveground plant (P < 0.05) by 2, 6 and 4% under *Nd*, *Nad* and *Nex*, respectively (Fig. 3). However, under *Nex*, stem NRE was significantly (P < 0.1) increased in both years on average by 10%.

3.8. Flag leaf senescence, post-anthesis N uptake and soil mineral N at maturity  $% \left( {{{\left[ {{{N_{{\rm{s}}}} \right]}} \right]_{{\rm{s}}}}} \right)$ 

In both years, relative greenness of the flag leaf increased with rising N supply (Fig. 4). Starting from milk-ripe stage, flag leaf greenness declined with progressing growth stage, whereby this decline was delayed by rising N supply. Flag leaf greenness was not affected by  $e[CO_2]$ .

The apparent (Fig. 5) and the <sup>15</sup>N labelling method (Fig. S2) indicate that post-anthesis N uptake (Nabs) was increased by rising N fertilization from *Nd* to *Nad*, but further increases in *Nex* did not result in increased Nabs. Nabs considerably exceeded the amount of N fertilizer applied at anthesis under *Nd* and *Nad* (Figs. 5 and S2). Regarding year, Nabs was significantly (P < 0.05) larger under *Nad* in 2015 than M. Dier, et al.



Fig. 3. Effect of the two  $CO_2$  and three N levels on N remobilization (Nrem) and N remobilization efficiency (NRE) of stem, leaf, chaff and aboveground plant based on the apparent method. Shown are the means values ( $\pm$  S.E.M; n = 3) and the F-test result of the significant effects. Different small letters indicate significant differences among the marginal N means (mean over both  $CO_2$  treatments and years). With a significant  $CO_2 \times N$  interaction: different capital letters indicate significant differences between the  $CO_2$  means separate for each N level and different small letters significant differences among the N means separate for each  $CO_2$ treatment (letters are in bold for  $e[CO_2]$ ).  $(^{\circ})P < 0.1$   $^*P < 0.05$   $^{**}P < 0.001$ 

in 2014. Both the apparent method and <sup>15</sup>N labelling, which showed a strong correlation ( $r^2 = 0.94$ ) with respect to Nabs (Fig. S3), indicate a trend of increased Nabs under e[CO<sub>2</sub>] at *Nad* in 2015, even if a significant interaction with CO<sub>2</sub> was not detected.

Soil mineral N at maturity was significantly (P < 0.01) decreased under e[CO<sub>2</sub>] under all N levels in both years (Fig. 5) on average by -22, -22 and -26% under *Nd*, *Nad* and *Nex*, respectively.

## 3.9. Correlation between grain number and variables describing grain N acquisition

0.71, e[CO<sub>2</sub>]). Analysis of covariance (Fig. 6) resulted in significant grain number effects on all variables (P < 0.001) and significant CO<sub>2</sub> effects on aboveground N yield (P < 0.1), grain N concentration (P < 0.001) and mean N content per grain (P < 0.1). Significant CO<sub>2</sub> effects imply that the regression line with respect to e[CO<sub>2</sub>] runs below the one regarding ambient e[CO<sub>2</sub>]. This was also the case for Nrem, although no significant CO<sub>2</sub> effect was detected.

## ng grain N 4. Discussion

Fig. 6 shows linear regression of grain number on aboveground and grain N yield, grain N concentration, mean N content per grain, Nrem and Nabs. In both years,  $e[CO_2]$  increased grain number on average by 8% under *Nd* and 12% under *Nad* and *Nex*, respectively (Dier et al., 2018b). All variables were strongly positive correlated with grain number, whereby  $r^2$  values were highest for grain N yield (0.98, ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>]; 0.97,  $e[CO_2]$ ) and lowest for Nabs (0.86, ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>];

The main objective of the present FACE study was to investigate in winter wheat grown under well-watered conditions the  $CO_2 \times N$  interactions on key processes determining grain N acquisition and thus grain N concentration, which are N remobilization (Nrem) and post-anthesis grain N acquisition (Nabs). The data show that under widely differing N levels, comprising a N nutrition index (NNI) between 0.4 and 1.1, e  $[CO_2]$  improved Nrem and tended to increase Nabs that resulted in increased grain N yield and only slightly reduced grain N concentration.



Fig. 4. Effect of the two  $CO_2$  and three N levels on relative greenness (SPAD) of the flag leaf during grain filling. Shown are mean values ( $\pm$  S.E.M; n = 3) and the F-test results of the significant effects.

### 4.1. Allometry between plant N concentration and biomass

In the present study, tissue N concentration at anthesis was slightly reduced by e[CO2] which corresponds to previous FACE studies (Han et al., 2015; Tausz et al., 2017). If the negative allometric relation between N concentration and biomass (Justes et al., 1994; Sadras and Lemaire, 2014) is considered, where plants are compared at common biomass, then N concentration was unaffected by e[CO2]. Consistent results were also found in a growth chamber study with annual C3 weed (Abutilon theophrasti) where decrease of N concentration by e[CO2] disappeared when N concentration was compared at common biomass with regard to CO<sub>2</sub> level (Coleman et al., 1993). Similarly, in the present experiment green leaf N concentration was not decreased by e [CO<sub>2</sub>] when this variable was compared at common leaf area index (Dier et al., 2018b). Thus, there is indication that the decrease of N concentration by e[CO<sub>2</sub>] before anthesis results only from increased growth under e[CO2], but not from direct physiological effects on N acquisition such as downregulation of RuBisCO gene expression in leaf

(Stitt and Krapp, 1999). Consequently, it might not be necessary to adjust the critical N concentration to  $e[CO_2]$  in wheat growth models as it was previously discussed by Vanuytrecht and Thorburn (2017).

### 4.2. N acquisition

Aboveground plant N acquisition up to anthesis was not significantly increased by  $e[CO_2]$ . This result contrasts with other FACE studies where significant increases of 13–17% were found (Han et al., 2015; Tausz et al., 2017). In the present study, N allocation to the different organs was altered by  $e[CO_2]$  because  $e[CO_2]$  increased the proportion of stem in aboveground N but decreased that of leaf. This effect is consistent with Han et al. (2015) and could be explained by the larger stimulation of stem compared to leaf growth by  $e[CO_2]$  (Dier et al., 2018b).

At maturity, a FACE study conducted under subtropical climate and well-watered conditions found increased grain N yield of 17% under e [CO<sub>2</sub>] at ample N supply (about 460 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>), but grain N yield was

Fig. 5. Effect of the two  $CO_2$  and three N levels on (a) post-anthesis N uptake (Nabs) based on the apparent method and (b) mineral N in the 0-40 cm soil layer (Nmin) at maturity. Shown are mean values (  $\pm$  S.E.M; n = 3) and the Ftest result of the significant effects. The lines indicate the amount of N applied at anthesis (solid = Nd; dashed = Nad; dotted = Nex). Different small letters indicate significant differences between the marginal N means (mean over both CO<sub>2</sub> treatments). With a significant Y x N interaction different capital letters indicate significant differences between both years of the marginal N means within each N level and different small letters significant differences between the marginal N means within each year.  $^{*}P < 0.05 ^{***}P < 0.001$ .



Kapitel 3: Wirkung von e[CO<sub>2</sub>] auf N-Aneignung, N-Remobilisierung und postanthetische N-Aufnahme



**Fig. 6.** Linear regression of grain number on variables describing N acquisition at maturity (aboveground and grain N yield; grain N concentration; Nrem; Nabs and; mean N content per grain). Shown are the mean values ( $\pm$  S.E.M; n = 3) for each CO<sub>2</sub> x N x year combination. Open symbols and dashed regression line = ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>]; closed symbols and solid regression line = e[CO<sub>2</sub>]. Each diagram includes the result of the analysis of covariance. <sup>(\*)</sup>P < 0.1 <sup>\*\*\*</sup>P < 0.001.

uninfluenced under N deficiency (about 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Sinclair et al., 2000; Kimball et al., 2001). However, other FACE studies conducted under similar conditions (Ma et al., 2007; Han et al., 2015) and in a semi-arid environment where water availability is particularly low at grain filling (Tausz et al., 2017) showed increased aboveground N yield of 9–21% under a low (100–180 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 9–22% under a high N treatment (170–240 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>). In the present study under temperate climate and well-watered conditions,  $e[CO_2]$  increased grain and total aboveground N yield under all N levels, but the  $e[CO_2]$  effect on grain N yield was slightly less pronounced under *Nd* (8%) compared to *Nad* (10%) and *Nex* (12%). The very strong linear relation ( $r^2 = 0.98$ ) between grain number and grain N yield (Fig. 6) indicates that increase of grain number by  $e[CO_2]$ , which was 8% under *Nd* and 12% under *Nad* and *Nex*, respectively (Dier et al., 2018b), was the reason for the enhanced grain N yield.

The finding that  $e[CO_2]$  increased N use efficiency primarily through the increase of N uptake efficiency, being consistent with the FACE study of Tausz et al. (2017), can be attributed to the enhanced N acquisition of stem and grain.

4.3. Nitrogen sources contributing to the increased grain N acquisition under e[CO<sub>2</sub>]

#### 4.3.1. Nitrogen remobilization

In the present study, rising N supply increased Nrem but decreased the efficiency of Nrem (NRE). While the former effect is consistent with other studies (Barbottin et al., 2005; Gaju et al., 2014), various effects of rising N supply on NRE were found (Barbottin et al., 2005; Gaju et al., 2011, 2014). It is established that a high post-anthesis plant N status delays senescence, being a major N source for Nrem (Kong et al., 2016), which might explain the decrease of NRE by rising N supply. Moreover, grain sink strength might be a reason because N yield at anthesis per individual grain decreased with decreasing N supply and linear regression resulted in a stronger negative correlation between this variable and NRE ( $r^2$ =–0.76) compared to N yield at anthesis (Pask et al., 2012; Gaju et al., 2014) leaves had the highest NRE (76%) followed by chaff (73%) and stems (61%).

It was suggested that the decrease of vegetative tissue N concentration by  $e[CO_2]$  at anthesis result in a decrease of Nrem (Kimball et al.,

2001; Rubio-Asensio and Bloom, 2016). However, a FACE study showed no  $e[CO_2]$  effect on total plant Nrem (Tausz et al., 2017). In the present study,  $e[CO_2]$  did not affect leaf Nrem and enhanced Nrem of stem and chaff as well as NRE of stem and aboveground plant. While the increase of Nrem is consistent with the increased stem and ear N yield at anthesis, the  $e[CO_2]$  effect on NRE of stem and total aboveground plant indicate that  $e[CO_2]$  enhanced mobilization of vegetative N during grain filling. Indication that  $e[CO_2]$  increased the rate of mobilization of N from vegetative tissue was found in other FACE studies, where  $e[CO_2]$  accelerated the decline of leaf proteins (Nie et al., 1995; Osborne et al., 1998) and of N in stem tissue during grain filling (Lam et al., 2012) and stimulated the gene expression of glutamine synthetase (Buchner et al., 2015), whose activity correlates with Nrem (Kichey et al., 2007).

Under *Nd* and *Nad*, stem and aboveground plant NRE as well as NHI were increased by  $e[CO_2]$  only in 2014, while NHI and mean N content per grain were generally larger in 2015. These effects could be associated with larger post-anthesis soil N availability in 2015, whereby in 2014 it was possibly insufficient to serve an increased grain N sink strength due to the grain number increase by  $e[CO_2]$ . Reasons for increased post-anthesis soil N availability per grain in 2015 are the increased N fertilizer supply at anthesis under *Nad* (Table 1) and the lower grain number in 2015 (Dier et al., 2018b) but similar Nabs between both years under *Nd*.

### 4.3.2. Post-anthesis N uptake

Judging from relative greenness of the flag leaf during grain filling, senescence was not accelerated by e[CO2], which contrasts with previous studies (Osborne et al., 1998; Brooks et al., 2000; Fangmeier et al., 2000) possibly due to differences in climatic conditions and the effect on leaf temperature. Correspondingly, Nabs was not significantly affected, but tended to be increased by e[CO2], which is consistent with the significantly lower soil mineral N content at maturity under e[CO<sub>2</sub>] compared to ambient [CO2]. Moreover, e[CO2] enhanced N acquisition more at maturity compared to anthesis, indicating that Nabs was important to cover an increased grain N sink demand under e[CO2]. The fact that Nabs considerably exceeded the amount of N applied at anthesis under Nd and Nad suggests that there was a source limit of postanthesis soil N availability under these N levels. It is difficult to conclude, therefore, whether higher N application at anthesis would have led to a significant increase of Nabs by e[CO2] under Nd and Nad. However, there is indication from a glasshouse study that e[CO2] can strongly increase Nabs, leading to no decrease of grain N concentration under e[CO<sub>2</sub>], when wheat is grown under relatively low pre-anthesis, but high post-anthesis N availability (Fernando et al., 2017). Because water availability is critical for N uptake. Nabs might be unimportant to serve an increased grain N demand under e[CO2] in a semi-arid environment despite sufficient N availability in the soil at grain filling.

Under *Nex*, the relative low Nabs despite non-limiting N availability might be explained by decreased post-anthesis root N uptake. It is established that root  $NO_3^-$  uptake is decreased under a high plant N status (Glass et al., 2002; Barneix, 2007). That the plant N status was high under *Nex* is indicated by the NNI of 1.1. Moreover, individual grain weight was smaller under *Nex* compared to *Nd* and *Nad* (Dier et al., 2018b), suggesting limited C assimilation per grain and thus limited energy supply for this process. Energy costs are higher for N than C assimilation (Munier-Jolain and Salon, 2005) and thus increased competition between N and C assimilation for energy might also be a reason for the relatively low Nabs.

The high Nabs under Nd and Nad suggest that  $NO_3^-$  assimilation was not affected by  $e[CO_2]$ , which is consistent with the finding that  $e[CO_2]$  did not reduce gene expression and activity of  $NO_3^-$  reductase before and at grain filling (Dier et al., 2018a).

### 4.4. Grain N concentration

In previous FACE studies under subtropical and semi-arid conditions,  $e[CO_2]$  decreased grain protein concentration by -9 and -10% under N

deficiency (Kimball et al., 2001; Walker et al., 2017). Those results contrast with the present study, in which hardly any reduction of grain N concentration (-1%) was found under *Nd* (NNI: 0.4). The decrease under *Nad* (NNI: 0.8) (-6%) is consistent with other FACE studies using adequate N levels in which reductions were found to be -5 to -9% (Kimball et al., 2002; Erbs et al., 2010; Lam et al., 2012; Tausz et al., 2017). The decrease under *Nex* (NNI: 1.1) (-4%) contrasts with the FACE study of Kimball et al. (2001) where no reduction was found under ample N supply.

Grain N concentration was considerably larger in 2015 than 2014, which strongly exceeded the  $e[CO_2]$  effect. This could be partly explained under *Nd* and *Nad* by the increased post-anthesis N availability per grain due to the smaller grain number under *Nd* (Dier et al., 2018b) and the higher N fertilizer supply at anthesis under *Nad* in 2015. Moreover, under *Nad* and *Nex*, grain number was increased but individual grain weight was decreased in 2015 (Dier et al., 2018b). Therefore, dilution of N content per grain by grain growth was decreased in 2015 compared to 2014.

The smaller relative reduction of grain N concentration by  $e[CO_2]$ under *Nd* compared to high N supply could be attributed to the smaller grain yield stimulation under *Nd* (Dier et al., 2018b) and that grain N accumulation is partly sink regulated, especially under low grain N concentration (Martre et al., 2003). The contrasting results of grain N concentration under N deficiency between the present (-1%) and the Kimball et al. (2001) study (-9%) could be explained by differences in post-anthesis soil N availability. While a large proportion of grain N originated from Nabs in the present study, post-anthesis soil N availability was possibly deficient in the study of Kimball et al. (2001) as, unlike the present study, flag leaf senescence was accelerated under e [CO<sub>2</sub>] (Brooks et al., 2000).

The decrease of grain N concentration by  $e[CO_2]$  could be attributed to several factors. As indicated by the regression of grain number on aboveground and grain N yield (Fig. 6), the N source per grain (i.e. vegetative N) was reduced under  $e[CO_2]$ . Secondly, the finding that grain N concentration was more strongly affected by  $e[CO_2]$  than mean N content per grain while individual grain weight was increased (Dier et al., 2018b) indicate that growth dilution was another reason. Moreover, the  $e[CO_2]$  induced increase of the proportion of stem in aboveground N at the expense of leaf, having much larger NRE than stem, possibly led to a decreased whole-plant Nrem potential.

### 4.5. Recommendation of N fertilization in future

The results herein show that grain N yield increased with rising grain number and this relation was not affected by  $e[CO_2]$  (Fig. 6). Hence, increased N fertilization in the phase between flag leaf emergence and anthesis, when NNI (Ravier et al., 2017) and  $e[CO_2]$  (Fisher and Aguilar, 1976) have their greatest influence on grain number, might enhance the grain number and thus N yield increase by  $e[CO_2]$ . Moreover, as indicated by the positive  $e[CO_2]$  effect on Nabs, supply of additional N at anthesis could enable the plant to increase grain N concentration under  $e[CO_2]$ , provided that the plant N status before anthesis is not excessive.

#### Acknowledgements

P. Braunisch, A. Fuehrer, A. Kremling, A. Luig, E. Schummer, R. Staudte, C. Trenkler and the experimental station of the Friedrich-Loeffler Institute is acknowledged for excellent technical assistance. We thank the team of D. Ziehe of the Thuenen-Institute of Climate-Smart Agriculture for measurements of N concentration and team of A. Giesemann and R. Well for measurement of <sup>15</sup>N in plant and soil samples. This work was partly funded by the German Science Foundation DFG (grant no. MA 1736/5-1).

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2019.02.013.

#### References

- Ainsworth, E.A., Long, S.P., 2005. What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO2 enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising CO2. New Phytol. 165, 351-371.
- neg, S., Martre, P., Maiorano, A., Rötter, R.P., O'Leary, G.J., Fitzgerald, G.J., Girousse, C., Motzo, R., Giunta, F., Babar, M.A., Reynolds, M.P., Kheir, A.M.S., Thorburn, P.J., Waha, K., Ruane, A.C., Aggarwal, P.K., Ahmed, M., Balkovič, J., Basso, B., Biernath,
- C., Bindi, M., Cammarano, D., Challinor, A.J., De Sanctis, G., Dumont, B., Rezaei, E.E., Fereres, E., Ferrise, R., Garcia-Vila, M., Gayler, S., Gao, Y., Horan, H., Hoogenboom, G., Izaurralde, R.C., Jabloun, M., Jones, C.D., Kassie, B.T., Kersebaum, K.-C., Klein, C., Koehler, A.-K., Liu, B., Minoli, S., San Martin, M.M., Müller, C., Kumar, S.N., Nendel, C., Olesen, J.E., Palosuo, T., Porter, J.R., Priesack, E., Ripoche, V. J., Semenov, M.A., Stöckle, C., Stratonovitch, P., Streck, T., Supit, I., Tao, F., Van der Velde, M., Wallach, D., Wang, E., Webber, H., Wolf, J., Xiao, L., Zhang, Z., Zhao, Z., Zhu, Y., Ewert, F., 2019. Climate change impact and adaptation for wheat protein.
- Glob. Chang. Biol. 25 (1), 155-173. Bancal, P., 2009. Decorrelating source and sink determinism of nitrogen remobilization during grain filling in wheat. Ann. Bot. 103 (8), 1315-1324
- Barbottin, A., Lecomte, C., Bouchard, C., Jeuffroy, M.H., 2005. Nitrogen remobilization during grain filling in wheat. Crop Sci. 45 (3), 1141–1150. Barneix, A.J., 2007. Physiology and biochemistry of source-regulated protein accumula-
- tion in the wheat grain. J. Plant Physiol. 164 (5), 581-590.
- Bloom, A.J., Burger, M., Rubio-Asensio, J.S., Cousins, A.B., 2010. Carbon dioxide enrichment inhibits nitrate assimilation in wheat and Arabidopsis. Science 328 899-903.
- Brooks, T.J., Wall, G.W., Pinter, P.J., Kimball, B.A., LaMorte, R.L., Leavitt, S.W., Matthias, A.D., Adamsen, F.J., Hunsaker, D.J., Webber, A.N., 2000. Acclimation response of spring wheat in a free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (FACE) atmosphere with variable soil nitrogen regimes. 3. Canopy architecture and gas exchange. Photosyn. Res. 66, 97-108.
- Buchner, P., Tausz, M., Ford, R., Leo, A., Fitzgerald, G.J., Hawkesford, M.J., Tausz-Posch, S., 2015. Expression patterns of C- and N-metabolism related genes in wheat are changed during senescence under elevated CO2 in dry-land agriculture. Plant Sci. 236, 239-249.
- Cai, C., Yin, X., He, S., Jiang, W., Si, C., Struik, P.C., Luo, W., Li, G., Xie, Y., Xiong, Y., Pan, G., 2016. Responses of wheat and rice to factorial combinations of ambient and elevated CO2 and temperature in FACE experiments. Glob. Chang. Biol. 22, 856-874.
- Coleman, J.S., McConnaughay, K.D.M., Bazzaz, F.A., 1993. Elevated CO2 and plant nitrogen-use: is reduced tissue nitrogen concentration size-dependent? Oecologia 93. 195-200.
- Cotrufo, M.F., Ineson, P., Scott, A., 1998. Elevated CO2 reduces the nitrogen concentration of plant tissues. Glob. Chang. Biol. 4, 43–54. Dier, M., Meinen, R., Erbs, M., Kollhorst, L., Baillie, C.K., Kaufholdt, D., Weigel, H.J.,
- Zörb, C., Hänsch, R., Manderscheid, R., 2018a. Effects of Free Air Carbon Dioxide Enrichment (FACE) on nitrogen assimilation and growth of winter wheat under ni-trate and ammonium fertilization. Glob. Chang. Biol. 24, e40–e54. Dier, M., Sickora, J., Erbs, M., Weigel, H.J., Zörb, C., Manderscheid, R., 2018b. Decreased
- wheat grain yield stimulation by Free air CO2 Enrichment under N deficiency is strongly related to decreased radiation use efficiency enhancement. Eur. J. Agron. 101, 38-48.
- Erbs, M., Manderscheid, R., Jansen, G., Seddig, S., Pacholski, A., Weigel, H.J., 2010. Effects of free-air  $CO_2$  enrichment and nitrogen supply on grain quality parameters and elemental composition of wheat and barley grown in a crop rotation. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 136 (1), 59-68.
- Fangmeier, A., Chrost, B., Högy, P., Krupinska, K., 2000. CO2 enrichment enhances flag leaf senescence in barley due to greater grain nitrogen sink capacity. Environ. Exp. Bot. 44 (2), 151-164.
- Feng, Z., Rütting, T., Pleijel, H., Wallin, G., Reich, P.B., Kammann, C.I., Newton, P.C.D., Kobayashi, K., Luo, Y., Uddling, J., 2015. Constraints to nitrogen acquisition of ter-restrial plants under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Glob. Change Biol. 21 (8), 3152–3168.Fernando, N., Hirotsu, N., Panozzo, J., Tausz, M., Norton, R.M., Seneweera, S., 2017. )
- Lower grain nitrogen content of wheat at elevated  $CO_2$  can be improved through post-anthesis NH4<sup>+</sup> supplement. J. Cereal Sci. 74, 79–85. Fisher, R.A., Aguilar, I., 1976. Yield potential in a dwarf spring wheat and effect of
- carbon-dioxide fertilization. Agron. J. 68, 749-752.
- Gaju, O., Allard, V., Martre, P., Snape, J.W., Heumez, E., LeGouis, J., Moreau, D., Bogard, M., Griffiths, S., Orford, S., Hubbart, S., Foulkes, M.J., 2011. Identification of traits to improve the nitrogen-use efficiency of wheat genotypes. Field Crops Res. 123 (2), 139-152.
- Gaju, O., Allard, V., Martre, P., Le Gouis, J., Moreau, D., Bogard, M., Hubbart, S., Foulkes, M.J., 2014. Nitrogen partitioning and remobilization in relation to leaf senescence grain yield and grain nitrogen concentration in wheat cultivars. Field Crops Res. 155, 213-223.
- Glass, A.D., Britto, D.T., Kaiser, B.N., Kinghorn, J.R., Kronzucker, H.J., Kumar, A., Okamoto, M., Rawat, S., Siddiqui, M.Y., Unkles, S.E., Vidmar, J.J., 2002. The regulation of nitrate and ammonium transport systems in plants. J. Exp. Bot. 53 (370), 855-864.
- Han, X., Hao, X., Lam, S.K., Wang, H., Li, Y., Wheeler, T., Ju, H., Lin, E., 2015. Yield and nitrogen accumulation and partitioning in winter wheat under elevated CO2: a 3-year

free-air CO2 enrichment experiment. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 209, 132-137.

- IPCC, 2013. In: Stocker, T.F.D., Qin, G.K., Plattner, M., Tignor, S.K., Allen, J., Boschung, A., Nauels, Y., Xia, V., Bex, P.M., Midgley (Eds.), Climate Change (2013): The Physical Science Basis Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Asso Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA 1535 pp.
- Jamieson, P.D., Semenov, M.A., 2000. Modelling nitrogen uptake and redistribution in wheat. Field Crops Res. 68 (1), 21-29.
- Jenner, C.F., Ugalde, T.D., Aspinall, D., 1991. The physiology of starch and protein de-position in the endosperm of wheat. Funct. Plant Biol. 18 (3), 211–226.
- Justes, E., Mary, B., Meynard, J.M., Machet, J.M., Thelier-Huché, L., 1994. Determination of a critical nitrogen dilution curve for winter wheat crops. Ann. Bot. 74 (4), 397-407.
- Kichey, T., Hirel, B., Heumez, E., Dubois, F., Le Gouis, J., 2007. In winter wheat (Triticum aestivum L.), post-anthesis nitrogen uptake and remobilisation to the grain correlates with agronomic traits and nitrogen physiological markers. Field Crops Res. 102,
- Kimball, B.A., Morris, C.F., Pinter, P.J., Wall, G.W., Hunsaker, D.J., Adamsen, F.J. LaMorte, R.L., Leavitt, S.W., Thompson, T.L., Matthias, A.D., Brooks, T.J., 2001. Elevated CO2, drought and soil nitrogen effects on wheat grain quality. New Phytol. 150 (2), 295-303.
- Kimball, B.A., Kobayashi, K., Bindi, M., 2002. Responses of agricultural crops to free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. Adv. Agron. 77, 293–368. Kong, L., Xie, Y., Hu, L., Feng, B., Li, S., 2016. Remobilization of vegetative nitrogen to

developing grain in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Field Crops Res. 196, 134-144.

- Lam, S.K., Han, X., Lin, E., Norton, R., Chen, D., 2012. Does elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration increase wheat nitrogen demand and recovery of nitrogen applied at stem elongation? Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 155, 142-146.
- Lewin, K.F., Hendrey, G.R., Kolber, Z., 1992. Brookhaven National Laboratory free-air carbon-dioxide enrichment facility. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci. 11, 135-141.
- Loladze, I., 2002. Rising atmospheric CO2 and human nutrition: toward globally imbalanced plant stoichiometry? Trends Ecol. Evol. (Amst.) 17 (10), 457-461.

Ma, Y.Z., MacKown, C.T., Van Sanford, D.A., 1995. Kernel mass and assimilate accumulation of wheat: cultivar responses to 50% spikelet removal at anthesis. Field Crops Res. 42, 93-99.

- Ma, H.L., Zhu, H.G., Liu, G., Xie, Z.B., Wang, Y.L., Yang, L.X., Zeng, Q., 2007. Availability of soil nitrogen and phosphorus in a typical rice-wheat rotation system under ele-vated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. Field Crops Res. 100, 44–51.
- Manderscheid, R., Dier, M., Erbs, M., Sickora, J., Weigel, H.J., 2018. Nitrogen supply A determinant in water use efficiency of winter wheat under free air  $CO_2$  enrichment. Agric. Water Manag. 210, 70–77.
- Martre, P., Porter, J.R., Jamieson, P.D., Triboï, E., 2003. Modeling grain nitrogen accumulation and protein composition to understand the sink/source regulations of ni-trogen remobilization for wheat. Plant Physiol. 133 (4), 1959–1967.

Moll, R.H., Kamprath, E.J., Jackson, W.A., 1982. Analysis and interpretation of factors which contribute to efficiency of nitrogen utilization 1. Agron. J. 74 (3), 562-564.

Munier-Jolain, N.G., Salon, C., 2005. Are the carbon costs of seed production related to the quantitative and qualitative performance? An appraisal for legumes and other crops. Plant Cell Environ. 28 (11), 1388-1395.

Myers, S.S., Zanobetti, A., Kloog, I., et al., 2014. Increasing CO2 threatens human nutrition. Nature 510, 139-142.

- Nie, G.Y., Long, S.P., Garcia, R.L., Kimball, B.A., Lamorte, R.L., Pinter, P.J., Wall, G.W., Webber, A.N., 1995. Effects of Free-Air CO2 enrichment on the development of the photosynthetic apparatus in wheat, as indicated by changes in leaf proteins. Plant Cell Environ. 18, 855–864.
- Osborne, C.P., La Roche, J., Garcia, R.L., Kimball, B.A., Wall, G.W., Pinter, P.J., LaMorte, R.L., Hendrey, G.R., Long, S.P., 1998. Does leaf position within a canopy affect acclimation of photosynthesis to elevated  $CO_2$ ? Analysis of a wheat crop under free-air CO2 enrichment. Plant Physiol. 117 (3), 1037-1045.
- Pacholski, A., Manderscheid, R., Weigel, H.J., 2015. Effects of free air CO2 enrichment on root growth of barley, sugar beet and wheat grown in a rotation under different nitrogen supply. Eur. J. Agron. 63, 36-46.
- Panozzo, J.F., Walker, C.K., Partington, D.L., Neumann, N.C., Tausz, M., Seneweera, S., Fitzgerald, G.J., 2014. Elevated carbon dioxide changes grain protein concentration and composition and compromises baking quality. A FACE study. J. Cereal Sci. 60 (3), 461-470.
- Pask, A.J.D., Sylvester-Bradley, R., Jamieson, P.D., Foulkes, M.J., 2012. Quantifying how winter wheat crops accumulate and use nitrogen reserves during growth. Field Crops Res. 126, 104-118.

Pleijel, H., Uddling, J., 2012. Yield vs. Quality trade-offs for wheat in response to carbon dioxide and ozone. Glob. Change Biol. 18, 596-605. Ravier, C., Meynard, J.M., Cohan, J.P., Gate, P., Jeuffroy, M.H., 2017. Early nitrogen

- deficiencies favor high yield, grain protein content and N use efficiency in wheat. Eur. J. Agron. 89, 16-24.
- Rubio-Asensio, J.S., Bloom, A.J., 2016. Inorganic nitrogen form: a major player in wheat and Arabidopsis responses to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. J. Exp. Bot. 68, 2611–2625.Sadras, V.O., Lemaire, G., 2014. Quantifying crop nitrogen status for comparisons of

- agronomic practices and genotypes. Field Crops Res. 164, 54–64. Shewry, P.R., Hey, S.J., 2015. The contribution of wheat to human diet and health. Food Energy Secur. 4, 178–202.
- Simoni, S., 2009. World cereal production brief aspecte privind productia mondiala de cereale. Lucrari Stiintifice, Universitatea de Stiinte Agricole Si Medicina Veterinara a Banatului, Timisoara, Seria I, Management Agricol. 11 (4), 183–188. Sinclair, T.R., Pinter, P.J., Kimball, B.A., Adamsen, F.J., LaMorte, R.L., Wall, G.W.,

Hunsaker, D.J., Adam, N., Brooks, T.J., Garcia, R.L., Thompson, T., Leavitt, S Matthias, A., 2000. Leaf nitrogen concentration of wheat subjected to elevated [CO2]

and either water or N deficits. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 79, 53-60.

- Stitt, M., Krapp, A., 1999. The interaction between elevated carbon dioxide and nitrogen nutrition: the physiological and molecular background. Plant Cell Environ. 22, 583-622.
- Taub, D.R., Miller, B., Allen, H., 2008. Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on the protein concentration of food crops: a meta-analysis. Glob. Change Biol. 14, 565–575.
   Tausz, M., Norton, R.M., Tausz-Posch, S., Löw, M., Seneweera, S., O'Leary, G., Armstrong,
- R., Fitzgerald, G.J., 2017. Can additional N fertiliser ameliorate the elevated Co<sub>2</sub>-induced depression in grain and tissue N concentrations of wheat on a high soil N background? J. Agron. Crop. Sci. 203, 574–583.

Vanuytrecht, E., Thorburn, P.J., 2017. Responses to atmospheric CO2 concentrations in

- crop simulation models: a review of current simple and semicomplex representations and options for model development. Glob. Change Biol. 23, 1806–1820. Walker, C., Armstrong, R., Panozzo, J., Partington, D., Fitzgerald, G., 2017. Can nitrogen
- Warker, C., Armstrong, K., Parlozzo, J., Parlington, D., Fridgeraid, C., 2017. Can introgen fertiliser maintain wheat (Triticum aestivum) grain protein concentration in an elevated CO2 environment? Soil Res. 55 (6), 518–523.
   Wang, L., Feng, Z.Z., Schjoerring, J.K., 2013. Effects of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on physiology and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestrum* L.): a meta-analytic test of current
- hypotheses. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 178, 57–63.
   Wieser, H., Manderscheid, R., Erbs, M., Weigel, H.J., 2008. Effects of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations on the quantitative protein composition of wheat grain. J. Agric. Food Chem. 56, 6531-6535.

118

## 3.6 Anhang

**Table S1**: Result of analysis of covariance of the effect of  $CO_2$  levels and aboveground biomass (W) on aboveground N concentration (N%). Analysis was conducted with the data of both years.

		Effect	
N level	$CO_2$	W	CO <sub>2</sub> x W
Nd	ns	***	ns
Nad	ns	***	ns
Nex	ns	***	ns

Analysis is based on the linearized relation of  $N\% = aW^{-b}$  that is logN% = log(a) - b log(W). a= parameter corresponding to the CO<sub>2</sub> effect; b= parameter corresponding to the W and CO<sub>2</sub> x W effect.

The significance threshold is at P = 0.1; \*\*\* P < 0.001.

**Table S2**: Effect of the two CO<sub>2</sub> and three N levels on tissue N concentration at anthesis and maturity. Shown are mean values (n=3) and the percentage effect of  $e[CO_2]$  ( $\Delta$  (%)). Different small letters indicate significant differences among the marginal means (mean over both CO<sub>2</sub> levels) of the N treatments. If the F-test (**Table 2**) resulted in a significant CO<sub>2</sub> x N interaction, then different capital letters indicate significant differences for CO<sub>2</sub> means separate for each N treatment and different small letters significant differences for N means separate for each CO<sub>2</sub> treatment (letters are in bold for  $e[CO_2]$ ). All letters refer to the mean over both years.

			2014			2015	
		Nd	Nad	Nex	Nd	Nad	Nex
Nconc stem (n	ng N $g^{-1}$ )						
Anthesis	$a[CO_2]$	5.15 c	8.53 b	11.7 a	6.18 c	8.57 b	12.4 a
	e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	4.98 c	8.16 b	11.7 a	5.89 c	8.09 b	13.9 a
	$\Delta$ (%)	-3	-4	0	-5	-6	12
Maturity	a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	1.70 c	3.16 b	6.19 a	2.33 c	3.64 b	5.57 a
	e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	1.55 c	2.63 b	5.27 a	2.37 c	3.49 b	5.51 a
	$\Delta$ (%)	-9	-17	-15	2	-4	-1
Nconc leaf (m	$g N g^{-1}$ )						
Anthesis	a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	18.0 c	27.6 b	32.5 a	18.5 c	29.3 b	35.2 a
	e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	16.8 c	26.6 b	32.3 a	16.5 c	26.7 b	34.5 a
	$\Delta$ (%)	-7	-4	-1	-11	-9	-2
Maturity	a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	6.10 A c	12.1 A b	16.2 A a	5.40 A c	9.10 A b	14.4 A a
	e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	5.47 A <b>c</b>	10.0 B <b>b</b>	15.5 B <b>a</b>	5.20 A <b>c</b>	7.77 B <b>b</b>	12.1 B <b>a</b>
	$\Delta$ (%)	-10	-18	-4	-4	-15	-16
Nconc ear, char	$ff (mg N g^{-1})$						
Anthesis	a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	14.3 A c	18.6 A b	19.6 A a	14.6 A c	17.7 A b	19.3 A a
(Ear)	e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	13.6 A <b>c</b>	17.5 B <b>b</b>	20.4 A <b>a</b>	13.9 A <b>c</b>	16.8 B <b>b</b>	19.9 A <b>a</b>
	$\Delta$ (%)	-5	-6	4	-4	-5	3
Maturity	a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	3.79 c	4.67 b	6.33 a	3.45 c	4.67 b	5.99 a
(Chaff)	e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	3.70 c	4.07 b	5.80 a	3.61 c	4.51 b	5.69 a
	$\Delta$ (%)	-2	-13	-8	5	-4	-5

**Table S3**: Effect of the two CO<sub>2</sub> and three N levels on N yield of the vegetative organs. Shown are mean values (n=3) and the percentage effect of  $e[CO_2]$  ( $\Delta$  (%)). Different small letters indicate significant differences among the marginal means of the N treatments. If the F-test (**Table 2**) resulted in a significant CO<sub>2</sub> x N interaction, then different capital letters indicate significant differences for CO<sub>2</sub> means separate for each N treatment and different small letters significant differences for N means separate for each CO<sub>2</sub> treatment (letters are in bold for  $e[CO_2]$ ). All letters refer to the mean over both years.

			2014			2015	
	-	Nd	Nad	Nex	Nd	Nad	Nex
N yield stem (	g N m <sup>-2</sup> )						
Anthesis	a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	2.33 c	5.13 b	7.55 a	2.51 c	5.69 b	8.35 a
	e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	2.63 c	5.89 b	8.09 a	2.42 c	6.22 b	9.80 a
	$\Delta$ (%)	13	15	7	-4	9	17
Maturity	$a[CO_2]$	0.58 c	1.76 b	3.70 a	0.62 c	1.97 b	3.43 a
	$e[CO_2]$	0.62 c	1.72 b	3.58 a	0.75 c	2.32 b	3.89 a
	$\Delta$ (%)	8	-2	-3	21	18	13
N yield leaf (g	$N m^{-2}$ )						
Anthesis	$a[CO_2]$	1.80 c	5.24 b	7.73 a	1.77 c	5.49 b	7.86 a
	$e[CO_2]$	1.94 c	5.59 b	7.63 a	1.55 c	5.29 b	8.29 a
	$\Delta$ (%)	8	7	-1	-12	-4	5
Maturity	$a[CO_2]$	0.42 c	1.36 b	2.28 a	0.25 c	0.88 b	1.77 a
	$e[CO_2]$	0.37 c	1.25 b	2.23 a	0.28 c	0.92 b	1.61 a
	$\Delta$ (%)	-10	-8	-2	14	4	-9
N yield ear, cha	$aff (g N m^{-2})$						
Anthesis	$a[CO_2]$	1.40 c	2.87 b	3.46 a	1.55 c	2.98 b	3.60 a
(Ear)	e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	1.54 c	3.26 b	3.85 a	1.37 c	3.28 b	4.06 a
	$\Delta$ (%)	10	14	11	-11	10	13
Maturity	$a[CO_2]$	0.38 c	0.76 b	1.11 a	0.30 c	0.83 b	1.20 a
(Chaff)	e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	0.43 c	0.75 b	1.15 a	0.37 c	0.96 b	1.29 a
	$\Delta$ (%)	11	-2	4	22	16	8



**Fig. S1**: Effect of the two  $CO_2$  and three N levels on the proportion of stem (black), leaf (white) and ear (grey) in total aboveground plant N at anthesis. Shown are the mean values pooled across both years. e[CO<sub>2</sub>] significantly increased the proportion in aboveground N of stem by 4, 4 and 5%, but decreased the one of leaf by -4, -6 and -7% under *Nd*, *Nad* and *Nex*, respectively.



**Fig. S2**: Effect of the two CO<sub>2</sub> and three N levels on total plant N remobilization (Nrem) and postanthesis N uptake (Nabs) based on <sup>15</sup>N labelling that was only conducted in 2015. Shown are the mean values ( $\pm$  S.E.M; n=3) and F-test results. Different letters indicate significant differences between the marginal N means. The lines indicate the amount of N fertilizer applied at anthesis (solid = *Nd*, dashed = *Nad* and dotted =*Nex*).



**Fig. S3**: Comparison of N remobilization (Nrem) and post-anthesis N uptake (Nabs) determined either by the apparent method or by <sup>15</sup>N labelling. Open symbols = ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>]; closed symbols =  $e[CO_2]$ .

## 4. Kapitel: Wirkung von e[CO<sub>2</sub>] auf NO<sub>3</sub>-Assimilation und Wachstum bei NO<sub>3</sub>- und NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-

## basierter N-Düngung

Received: 17 May 2017 Accepted: 21 June 2017 DOI: 10.1111/gcb.13819

## PRIMARY RESEARCH ARTICLE

WILEY Global Change Biology

## Effects of free air carbon dioxide enrichment (FACE) on nitrogen assimilation and growth of winter wheat under nitrate and ammonium fertilization

Markus Dier<sup>1,2\*</sup> | Rieke Meinen<sup>3\*</sup> | Martin Erbs<sup>4</sup> | Lena Kollhorst<sup>1</sup> | Christin-Kirsty Baillie<sup>3</sup> | David Kaufholdt<sup>3</sup> | Martin Kücke<sup>5</sup> | Hans-Joachim Weigel<sup>1</sup> | Christian Zörb<sup>2</sup> | Robert Hänsch<sup>3</sup> | Remy Manderscheid<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Thünen Institute of Biodiversity, Braunschweig, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Crop Science, Quality of Plant Products, University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Plant Biology, Technische Universität, Braunschweig, Germany

<sup>4</sup>Deutsche Agrarforschungsallianz (DAFA) German Agricultural Research Alliance, c/o Thünen Institute, Braunschweig, Germany

<sup>5</sup>Julius Kühn Institute, Institute of Crop & Soil Science, Braunschweig, Germany

#### Correspondence

Remy Manderscheid, Thünen Institute of Biodiversity, Braunschweig, Germany. Email: remy.manderscheid@thuenen.de

**Funding information** 

Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Grant/ Award Number: MA 1736/5-1; German Science Foundation (DFG)

#### Abstract

A 2-year Free Air CO2 Enrichment (FACE) experiment was conducted with winter wheat. It was investigated whether elevated atmospheric CO2 concentration (e[CO2]) inhibit nitrate assimilation and whether better growth and nitrogen acquisition under e[CO<sub>2</sub>] can be achieved with an ammonium-based fertilization as it was observed in hydroponic culture with wheat. Under e[CO2] a decrease in nitrate assimilation has been discussed as the cause for observed declines in protein concentration in C<sub>3</sub> cereals. Wheat was grown under ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>] and e[CO<sub>2</sub>] (600 ppm) with three levels (deficiency, optimal, and excessive) of nitrate-based fertilization (calcium ammonium nitrate; CAN) or with optimal ammonium-based fertilization. Ammonium fertilization was applied via injection of an ammonium solution into the soil in the 1st year and by surface application of urea combined with nitrification inhibitors (UNI) in the 2nd year. Results showed that ammonium-based fertilization was successfully achieved in the 2nd year with respect to nitrification control, as soil ammonium concentration was considerably higher over the growing season for UNI fertilized plots compared to optimal CAN plots. Also, stem nitrate concentration, flag leaf nitrate reductase activity, and transcript levels were lower in UNI fertilized plants compared to optimal CAN. Regarding the e[CO<sub>2</sub>] effect on nitrate reductase activity and transcript levels, no alteration could be observed for any nitrogen fertilizer treatment. Flag leaf growth was stimulated under e[CO<sub>2</sub>] leading to an enhanced nitrate reductase activity referred to m<sup>2</sup> ground area at late flowering being in line with a higher nitrogen acquisition under e[CO<sub>2</sub>]. Moreover, nitrogen acquisition was considerably higher in nitrate fertilized plants compared to ammonium fertilized plants under e[CO2]. Our results obtained under field conditions show that a change from nitrate- to ammonium-based fertilization will not lead to a better growth and nitrogen acquisition of winter wheat under future e[CO<sub>2</sub>].

#### KEYWORDS

ammonium fertilization, climate change, free air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment, N acquisition, nitrate assimilation, nitrate fertilization, nitrate reductase, *Triticum aestivum* 

\*Both authors contributed equally to this work.

Glob Change Biol. 2017;1-15.

wileyonlinelibrary.com/journal/gcb

© 2017 John Wiley & Sons Ltd | 1

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

Atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration ( $[CO_2]$ ) is predicted to continuously rise from currently 400 ppm  $[CO_2]$  up to a level of 790– 1020 ppm by the end of this century (IPCC, 2013). C<sub>3</sub> cereals react to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (e $[CO_2]$ ) with an increase in (i) photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> fixation (Ainsworth & Long, 2005), (ii) above- and belowground biomass production (Ma et al., 2007; Pacholski, Manderscheid, & Weigel, 2015; Ziska, Morris, & Goins, 2004), and (iii) grain yield (Han et al., 2015; Weigel & Manderscheid, 2012). However, CO<sub>2</sub> stimulation effects are generally accompanied by a considerable decrease in nitrogen (N) concentrations of plant tissue (Ainsworth & Long, 2005; Cotrufo, Ineson, & Scott, 1998; Taub, Miller, & Allen, 2008). The possible mechanisms behind this decrease remain elusive but have been linked to an altered nitrate ( $NO_3^-$ ) assimilation (Bloom, Burger, Rubio-Asensio, & Cousins, 2010; Pleijel & Uddling, 2012).

NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is the main N form taken up by cereals from agricultural soils (Andrews, Raven, & Lea, 2013). At low soil NO3- concentrations, NO3<sup>-</sup> is primarily assimilated in the roots, but with increasing soil NO3<sup>-</sup> concentration, the NO3<sup>-</sup> reduction is shifted toward the leaves (Andrews, Morton, Lieffering, & Bisset, 1992). Ammonium (NH4+) is another important N source for cereals, however, with lower uptake and assimilation compared to NO3<sup>-</sup> due to rapid nitrification in the soil which converts  $NH_4^+$  into  $NO_3^-$  (Andrews et al., 2013; Subbarao et al., 2006). Nitrification occurs in two consecutive steps, whereby the first step is the reduction in NH4<sup>+</sup> to nitrite (NO2<sup>-</sup>) that acidifies the soil solution triggered by bacteria from the Nitrosomonas genera and the second step is the reduction in NO2to NO3<sup>-</sup> triggered by bacteria from the Nitrobacter genera. High nitrification rates occur at a neutral soil solution pH (Suzuki, Dular, & Kwok, 1974). As cereal  $NH_4^+$  uptake acidifies the soil solution and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> uptake alkalifies it, nitrification is therefore decreased by NH4<sup>+</sup> but stimulated by plant NO3<sup>-</sup> uptake. NH4<sup>+</sup> is mainly assimilated in roots via the glutamine synthetase/glutamate synthase pathway and incorporated into amino acids. NO3- assimilation follows the same pathway, but requires two consecutive upstream reactions. The first one is the reduction in NO3<sup>-</sup> to NO2<sup>-</sup> catalyzed by the enzyme nitrate reductase (NR) in the cytoplasm. The second reaction is the reduction in  $NO_2^-$  to  $NH_4^+$  via nitrite reductase inside the chloroplasts.

Recent evidence indicates that  $e[CO_2]$  might interfere with  $NO_3^-$  assimilation by impeding  $NO_3^-$  and  $NO_2^-$  reduction in  $C_3$  plants (Bloom, 2015a). For instance, it has been observed in wheat and *Arabidopsis thaliana* that  $e[CO_2]$  lowered the incorporation of <sup>15</sup>N labeled  $NO_3^-$  into organic N compounds (Bloom et al., 2010) and decreased the NR activity (NRA) of wheat leaves (Bloom, Smart, Nguyen, & Searles, 2002). Reduced  $NO_3^-$  assimilation of  $C_3$  plants is thought to be strongly linked to a lower photorespiration rate under  $e[CO_2]$  (Bloom, 2015a; Rachmilevitch, Cousins, & Bloom, 2004) and a subsequent decreased supply of the reductant nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) which powers the  $NO_3^-$  reduction (Bloom, 2015b). Photorespiration drives the malate transport from the

chloroplast into the cytoplasm where it is oxidized to oxalacetic acid for the generation of NADH (Bloom, 2015b; Rachmilevitch et al., 2004). This "malate valve" represents an important player in the complex network between N and C metabolism and is involved in supplying energy for NR (Scheibe, 2004; Taniguchi & Miyake, 2012).

The process of photorespiration is favored by the oxygenase function of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBisCO) under ambient [CO2] and high temperatures. Because e[CO<sub>2</sub>] shifts the activity of RuBisCO toward CO<sub>2</sub> fixation at high temperatures, inhibition of NO3<sup>-</sup> assimilation by e[CO2] is thought to take place primarily at elevated temperatures (Bloom, 2015b; Jauregui et al., 2015). However, the oxidation of triose phosphates, derived from CO<sub>2</sub> fixation, might also increase NADH availability and is therefore an important energy source for  $NO_3^-$  assimilation (Foyer, Bloom, Queval, & Noctor, 2009; Kaiser, Kandlbinder, Stoimenova, & Glaab, 2000). Consequently, the inhibition of NO3assimilation induced by e[CO2] as cited above is assumed to be the reason for a decrease in plant N and in particular declining leaf and cereal grain protein concentrations (Bloom, 2015a; Bloom et al., 2010). A decline in leaf protein could negatively affect animal feedstuff quality and hence animal production including changes in livestock grazing patterns (Sinclair et al., 2000). Furthermore, a reduction in cereal grain protein might decrease flour baking quality (Wieser, Manderscheid, Erbs, & Weigel, 2008) and, particularly, could exacerbate protein deficiency in developing countries (Myers et al., 2014). Therefore, food and feed qualities are predicted to be severely affected by e[CO<sub>2</sub>] if cereals are continuously fertilized with NO3<sup>-</sup>-dominated N fertilizer regimens (Bloom et al., 2010). To prevent these adverse effects of e[CO2] on NO3- assimilation and its resulting negative consequences for crop growth and food as well as feed quality it has been proposed to use NH4+-based N fertilizers as an alternative. This recommendation is based on laboratory experiments in hydroponics under e[CO<sub>2</sub>] conditions where several C<sub>3</sub> species showed enhanced growth and N acquisition when they received NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> instead of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> as a sole N source (Bloom et al., 2002, 2012; Carlisle, Myers, Raboy, & Bloom, 2012).

Thus far, nearly all experiments investigating  $e[CO_2]$  effects on crop NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> assimilation were conducted in hydroponic cultures under laboratory conditions with a restricted rooting volume (Bloom et al., 2002, 2010, 2012). These restrictions are known to strongly alter  $e[CO_2]$  responses on growth and N acquisition (Arp, 1991; Long, Ainsworth, Leakey, & Morgan, 2005), which limits the reliability of predictions of  $e[CO_2]$  effects on N assimilation in field-grown cereals based on such experiments. While there is only one field experiment under free air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (FACE) where an inhibition of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> assimilation by  $e[CO_2]$  has been shown (Bloom, Burger, Kimball, & Pinter, 2014), there are no FACE experiments where NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-based fertilization has been compared directly with NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+-</sup> based N fertilization under  $e[CO_2]$ .

To test whether  $e[CO_2]$  decreases  $NO_3^-$  assimilation in the field, a 2-year FACE experiment was carried out with winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) supplied with three levels of a  $NO_3^-$  and one level of an NH4<sup>+</sup>-based fertilization. The NH4<sup>+</sup>-based fertilization was applied in the 1st year by Controlled Uptake Long Term Ammonium Nutrition (CULTAN) fertilization, a method where nitrification immune NH4<sup>+</sup> depots are injected into the root space at the beginning of the growing season (Petersen, Hansen, & Sorensen, 2004; Wetselaar, Passioura, & Singh, 1972). However, it is still a matter of discussion whether nitrification is effectively inhibited with CULTAN (Deppe et al., 2016). Therefore, in the 2nd year a NH4<sup>+</sup>-based fertilization was carried out by applying N as urea combined with nitrification inhibitors directly into the soil. There, urea is guickly transformed to NH4<sup>+</sup>, but the nitrification inhibitors impede the first nitrification step (Subbarao et al., 2006) and additionally prevent toxic NO2<sup>-</sup> accumulation in the soil (Ma, Shan, & Yan, 2015). Based on a sound field trial with three different quantities of NO3<sup>-</sup>-based fertilization (deficiency, optimal, and excessive) together with an optimal quantity of NH4<sup>+</sup> based fertilization the following hypotheses were tested: (i) Does e[CO<sub>2</sub>] inhibit leaf NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> assimilation in the field by decreasing NR activity and thus influencing NR transcript levels? and (ii) Is there an intensified growth stimulation without a decline in N acquisition if plants take up N primarily in form of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> instead of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>?

## 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Experimental design and crop management

The experiment was conducted on a field site (52°18'N, 10°26'E, 79 ma.s.l.) at the Thünen Institute in Braunschweig, Germany, in 2014 and 2015. The mean annual temperature is 9.1°C and the mean annual precipitation is 617 mm. The soil profile has a depth of about 60 cm (-30 cm Ap, -15 cm Al, -15 cm Bt, and >60-70 cm CII). The lower layers, in particular >70 cm, are characterized by a coarser soil texture (almost pure sand) and are structured by the succession of thin silt/clay layers. The soil in the plough horizon (0-40 cm) is a luvisol of loamy sand texture (69% sand, 24% silt, and 7% clay). Measuring of soil variables in each subplot (mean $\pm$ SD; n = 24) in March 2015 resulted in a pH of 6.88  $\pm$  0.39 and a carbon and nitrogen content of 1.00  $\pm$  0.04% and 0.09  $\pm$  0.00%, respectively. The lower (-1.5 MPa) and upper limits (-0.01 MPa soil water tension) of plant-available soil water are a volumetric soil water content of 5 and 23%, respectively. Altogether, the soil has low-to-intermediate fertility with a shallow rooting zone.

Winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. variety "*Batis*") was grown at ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>] of about 390 ppm and e[CO<sub>2</sub>] of 600 ppm. The CO<sub>2</sub> treatments were carried out on circular plots with a diameter of 20 m, in which four subplots (3 m × 5 m) as N treatments were randomly established. Altogether, the experiment consisted of eight different  $CO_2 \times N$  fertilization combinations, which were replicated three times. The CO<sub>2</sub> plots were placed at the same position each year.

CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment was carried out by a FACE system constructed according to the Brookhaven National Laboratory design (Lewin, Hendrey, & Kolber, 1992). CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment started at the four leaf stage on March the 31st in 2014 and at the three leaf stage on March the 12th in 2015. CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment took place during the daytime hours and was interrupted if wind speed exceeded 6 m/s or if air temperature fell below 5°C. The 1 min average [CO<sub>2</sub>] was within the range of 600 ppm  $\pm$  10% for 95.6% and 95.7% for the operation time in 2014 and 2015, respectively. The average daytime [CO<sub>2</sub>] at the ambient air plots was 394 ppm in 2014 and 392 ppm in 2015.

Winter wheat was sown at the end of October with a density of 380 kernels/m<sup>2</sup>. Crop management measures were performed according to local farm practice with adequate nutrient supply and pesticide applications. N fertilizer was applied as summarized in Table 1. Three different NO3<sup>-</sup>-based N fertilization regimes were implemented with calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN, 27% N) with a deficient (CAN40/ CAN35), an optimal (CAN180/ CAN200) and an excessive (CAN320/ CAN320) quantity for 2014 and 2015, respectively. The optimal level corresponds to the present common agricultural practice. For CULTAN fertilization in 2014 (CUL180), a water solution of ammonium sulfate (8% N) was manually injected into the soil in a depth of 7 cm with a density of 24 injection holes per m<sup>2</sup>. For the combined urea (46.5% N) and nitrification inhibitors treatment in 2015 (UNI200) the commercial urea fertilizer Alzon M+ (SKW Stickstoffwerke Piesteritz, Germany) was applied, containing 1.4% nitrification inhibitors (dicyandiamide + triazole). Supplementary nitrification inhibitors (5% triazole + methylpyrazole) were applied on three other dates to control nitrification (April 29th, May 11th, and June 15th 2015). The used amounts were equivalent to the quantity of inhibitors contained in Alzon M+ for the application of 10, 35, and 40 kg N/ha, respectively. To prevent drought stress and nitrate leaching, soil water content was kept in the range of 50-90% of plant-available water content by manual irrigation. Figure 1 presents daily mean temperature, precipitation, and irrigation over the main

**TABLE 1** N fertilizer treatments with application dates and quantities. CAN refers to fertilization with calcium ammonium nitrate, CUL to CULTAN fertilization, and UNI to the application of urea + nitrification inhibitors

2014							2015					
Quantity (kg N/ha)							Quantity (kg N/ha)					
	Mar 17th	Mar 19th	Apr 14th	May 4th	June 2nd	Total		Mar 18th	Apr 28th	May 11th	June 11th	Total
Treatment							Treatment					
CAN40		20	20			40	CAN35	15	15		5	35
CAN180		70	35	35	40	180	CAN200	70	35	35	60	200
CAN320		120	60	60	80	320	CAN320	120	60	60	80	320
CUL180	180					180	UNI200	70	35	35	60	200

52

WILEY Global Change Biology

growing season. Average temperature of the main growing season (April–July) in 2014 (15.2°C) and in 2015 (14.0°C) was similar to the long-term mean (1980–2010: 14.1°C). For monitoring plant water supply, volumetric soil water content in the 40 cm soil profile was measured twice a week with time domain reflectometry (TDR) sensors.

## 2.2 | Determination of soil $NO_3^--N$ and $NH_4^+-N$ and stem $NO_3^-$ concentration

For winter wheat growth at this site, it was found that 90% of the root biomass was located in the 0–30 cm soil profile (Pacholski et al., 2015). Therefore, soil samples from the 30 cm soil profile were taken on a weekly basis from CAN200- and UNI200-treated subplots in 2015. At each sampling, subsamples with approx. 40 g soil were taken from six random positions of each subplot and the subsamples were pooled for further analysis. Soil samples were extracted with CaCl<sub>2</sub> (0.01 M) and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>--</sup>N and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N determinations were carried out photometrically with a Continuous-Flow Analyzer (Model SA3000/5000, Scalar, Netherlands).

Stems from 30 plants were randomly chosen from four destructive harvests (0.5 m<sup>2</sup> ground area) at early stem elongation, early heading, flowering, and milk-ripe stage. Stem NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration was determined according to Padgett and Leonard (1993) with some modifications. Stem material was ground to a fine powder in a rotor mill (Brabender, Duisburg, Germany) after drying at 105°C. For each sample, 50 mg stem material was mixed with 25 ml distilled water and incubated in a 45°C water bath for 4 hr. The extracts and water blanks were gravity filtered through Whatman No.1 filters and analyzed photometrically as described above.

## 2.3 | Flag leaf sampling for nitrate reductase activity and growth parameter determination

Flag leaves were sampled twice before flowering in 2014. In 2015 flag leaves were sampled before flowering and at late flowering. The sampling dates and the related environmental conditions are shown in Table 2. At each sampling 10 flag leaf blades were randomly selected from all experimental plots and N treatments, respectively. They were immediately frozen and ground to a fine powder in liquid N<sub>2</sub> for further analyses. In addition, 20 flag leaf blades were sampled to measure fresh weight, leaf area with a leaf area meter (Model LI-3100, LICOR, USA), and dry weight after drying at 105°C. With these data dry weight/fresh weight ratio, individual flag leaf area (FLA), individual flag leaf biomass, and specific flag leaf weight (SFLW) were calculated.

Flag leaf number (FLN) was derived from ear number counted in destructive plant harvest of 0.5 m<sup>2</sup> ground area at flowering and milk-ripe stage and of 1.8 m<sup>2</sup> ground area at grain maturity. Flag leaf biomass per m<sup>2</sup> ground area (FLB) was determined by multiplying individual flag leaf biomass with FLN. Flag leaf area index (FLAI) was calculated as the quotient from FLB and SFLW.

# 2.4 | Total canopy sampling and green area index determination of ear and residual leaves

Three destructive harvests were taken at early heading, flowering, and milk-ripe stage (0.5  $m^2$  ground area). Green area of leaf blades and ears was determined with a leaf area meter (Model LI-3100

**TABLE 2** Dates of flag leaf sampling for NRA determination and environmental conditions during sampling. Values are indicated as daily average and for the hour of sampling. Samples were always taken at midday between 1 and 2 p.m.

	2014		2015	
Date	May 19th	May 22th	May 29th	June 15th
Daily mean temperature (°C)	14.4	22.8	13.2	13.5
Temperature at sampling (°C)	16.7	27.6	16.5	18.2
Daily mean irradiance (W/m <sup>2</sup> )	214	290	223	322
Irradiance at sampling (W/m <sup>2</sup> )	791	762	383	898



FIGURE 1 Course of daily mean temperature, precipitation, and irrigation over the main growing season

from LICOR) of subsamples of 25% of the harvests. In addition, the same subsample was used to determine biomass partitioning to leaf blades, ears, and stems after drying at 105°C. The rest of the sample was directly dried at 105°C followed by dry weight determination. Green area indices were determined on the basis of the measured green areas and dry weights. Leaf area index (LAI) was calculated as the mean over the three harvests and ear area index as the mean over the two final harvests. Residual leaf area index (RLAI) was computed by subtracting FLAI from LAI.

## 2.5 | Calculation of radiation-adjusted flag leaf and residual leaf area index

Irradiance (% of value at the top of canopy) that reached a certain canopy fraction (*I*) was calculated with the equation of Monsi and Saeki (2005):

$$I = e^{-k * GAI}$$

where *k* is the extinction coefficient and GAI the cumulative green area index at the bottom of the considered canopy fraction. The extinction coefficient was assumed to be 0.53 prior and 0.58 from flowering stage onward according to Shearman, Sylvester-Bradley, Scott, and Foulkes (2005). The plant canopy was divided into three layers (ear, flag leaf, and residual leaves). Irradiance (relative irradiance with regard to the value at the top of the canopy) on a certain canopy layer was calculated by the mean of the value on top (i.e., the bottom of the overlying canopy layer) and on the bottom of the considered canopy layer. A value was calculated for the time before (minus ear) and after the flowering stage (plus ear) and both values were averaged. Radiation-adjusted flag leaf and residual leaf area indices were calculated by multiplying the irradiance on the flag leaf and residual leaf fraction with FLAI and RLAI, respectively.

## 2.6 | Measurement of aboveground biomass and N acquisition

Data of leaf blade, ear, stem, and total aboveground biomass at the milk-ripe stage were collected as described in 2.4. Dried plant material of leaf blades, ears, and stems was ground to a fine powder in a rotor mill (Brabender, Germany) and this material was analyzed for its N concentration (N conc) using an element analyzer (Leco TruSpec CNS, USA). N acquisition was calculated for each plant fraction by multiplying the N conc with the aboveground biomass. Total aboveground N acquisition was calculated by adding up the N acquisition of leaf blade, ear, and stem.

# 2.7 | Determination of flag leaf nitrate reductase activity (NRA)

Flag leaf NRA per gram fresh weight was measured with an in vitro assay according to Scheible, Lauerer, Schulze, Caboche, and Stitt (1997) with modifications. One hundred milligram of the liquid  $N_2$ 

powdered material was thoroughly mixed with four volumes of icecold extraction buffer (100 mm HEPES-KOH (pH 7.5), 5 mm magnesium acetate, 1 mm EDTA, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 0.5% (w/v) BSA, 0.1% Triton X-100, 1% polyvinylpolypyrrolidone (PVPP), 5  $\mu$ m NaMoO<sub>4</sub>, 0.5 mm phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 5 mm DTT, 20  $\mu$ m FAD, and 25  $\mu$ m leupeptin).

Only the active state of NR was measured, so one volume of extract was mixed with five volumes of prewarmed (25.5°C) assay buffer in a heat block (100 mm HEPES-KOH, 6 mm KNO<sub>3</sub>, 12 mm MgOAc<sub>2</sub>, 0.6 mm NADH, 20  $\mu$ m leupeptin, 12  $\mu$ m FAD, 0.3 mm DTT, 6  $\mu$ m NaMoO<sub>4</sub>). After 5, 10, and 15 min at 25.5°C, respectively, the reaction was stopped by removing a 300  $\mu$ l aliquot of the assay mixture and adding each aliquot to 25  $\mu$ l 600 mm zinc acetate. To remove unreacted NADH, 75  $\mu$ l 0.25 mm phenazine methosulfate was added and incubated for 15 min in the dark. Formed NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> was determined colorimetrically as described by Scheible et al. (1997). Each sample was run in triplicates.

NRA per gram fresh weight was referred to m<sup>2</sup> ground area basis by using the dry weight/fresh weight ratio and FLB.

### 2.8 | Nitrate reductase gene expression analysis

Total wheat RNA of flag leaves from the second sampling at late flowering in 2015 (Table 2) was isolated using the innuPREP Plant RNA Kit (Analytik Jena, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocol with an additional DNase I digest (innuPREP DNase I-Mix (Analytik Jena, Germany)). Two hundred nanogram of total RNA was reverse transcribed via the innuSCRIPT reverse transcriptase kit (Analytik Jena, Germany). Quantitative reverse transcription PCR reactions were performed using the TOptical Thermocycler (Analytik Jena, Germany) and the innuMIX qPCR SyGreen MasterMix (Analytik Jena, Germany) with 1:50 cDNA dilutions. Nitrate reductase (nia) gene sequences on chromosomes 6AS, 6DS, and 7DS were identified via BLAST analysis of the International Wheat Genome Sequencing Consortium and Ensembl plants database. Primer sequences and gene accessions are listed in Table S1 in the supporting information. ADP-ribosylation factor and translation elongation factor 1 alpha were used as reference genes (Gimenez, Piston, & Atienza, 2011; Paolacci, Tanzarella, Porceddu, & Ciaffi, 2009). Primer efficiency was analyzed in cDNA dilution series and primer combinations with efficiencies between 95% and 105% were used. For calculation of relative fold changes and to test the statistical significance of the gene expression ratios, the Relative Expression Software Tool 2009 (REST 2009) (Pfaffl, Horgan, & Dempfle, 2002) was used.

## 2.9 Statistics

Each  $CO_2 \times N$  combination was replicated three times. As an exception to this procedure for the measurement of NRA the samples in 2014 CUL180 ambient, CAN180 e[CO<sub>2</sub>], and CAN320 e[CO<sub>2</sub>] were tested in duplicates, and both CAN40 and CUL180 e[CO<sub>2</sub>] as a singular probe due to tube breakage.

Wald *F*-tests were done with sAs (version 9.4) proc mixed using the following mixed model:

$$y=\mu+CO_2+N+CO_2\times N+\textit{R}+e$$

where y is the dependent variable,  $\mu$  the overall mean, CO<sub>2</sub> the CO<sub>2</sub> effect, N the N fertilizer effect, CO<sub>2</sub> × N the interaction effect, R the main plot error, and *e* the residual error. When sampling time was added as a third factor to the mixed model, *F*-tests were carried out as repeated measurements. In this case, sampling time was treated as a fixed effect and the UN(1) covariance model was used to model the correlation among samplings at different time points for the random main plot and residual error. The UN(1) model applies no covariance for sampling time, but allows different error variances for the different samplings. Least square difference (LSD) tests were carried out with SAS pro glimmix after removing the nonsignificant effects from the model. Mean values were regarded as significantly different if p < .05.

Each year was considered as an individual experiment. To take possible legacy effects with respect to soil mineral N availability into account, total soil mineral N in the 30 cm plough horizon was measured in all plots in March 2014 and 2015. Statistical analysis did not show a significant  $CO_2$ , N, and  $CO_2 \times N$  effect on total soil mineral N as well as the  $NO_3^{-}$ -N and  $NH_4^{+}$ -N content. Averaged over all  $CO_2$  and N treatments the total soil mineral N was 14 kg N/ha in 2014 and 22 kg N/ha in 2015.

## 3 | RESULTS

## 3.1 | Pool size of mineral N in soil and wheat stem $NO_3^-$ concentration

In 2015,  $NO_3^{-}-N$  and  $NH_4^{+}-N$  were monitored over the whole growing season in the uppermost 30 cm soil profile of the  $NO_3^{-}$ based fertilization (CAN200) and the  $NH_4^{+}$ -based fertilization plots (UNI200). Statistical analysis showed neither a significant CO<sub>2</sub> effect nor an interaction with CO<sub>2</sub> for all tested parameters (Table 3). Therefore, mean values of  $NO_3^{-}-N$ ,  $NH_4^{+}-N$ , and total soil mineral N over both CO<sub>2</sub> treatments were used and are depicted in Figure 2. Statistical analysis comparing the time course of NO3--N as well as NH4+-N between the CAN200 and the UNI200 plots showed a significant N and N  $\times$  sampling effect (Table 3). As depicted in Figure 2a soil NO3-N concentration of the CAN200 plots was higher compared to soil NH4++N concentration over the whole growing season in 2015. In the UNI200 plots soil NH4+-N concentration was higher compared to soil NO3-N concentration over the growing season, except for one sampling at the end of April (Figure 2b). Averaged over the two CO2 treatments and all samplings the soil NO3--N concentration was 21.3 kg/ha in the CAN200 plots and 9.0 kg/ha in the UNI200 plots. The soil NH4+-N concentration was 8.6 kg/ha in the CAN200 plots and 17.0 kg/ha in the UNI200 plots. However, no significant differences in total soil mineral N between the CAN200 and the UNI200 plots were observed (Table 3, Figure 2c).

Stem NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration was significantly lower in the UNI200 compared to the CAN200 treatment at two of four samplings in 2015 (Table 3, Figure 3). In contrast, no significant differences in stem NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration between the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-based CAN180 treatment and the CUL180 treatment were observed in 2014. Furthermore, CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment had no significant effect on stem NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration in both years.

## 3.2 | Leaf and ear growth

Table 4 presents the percentage effect of  $e[CO_2]$  and the statistics of the CO<sub>2</sub>, N, and CO<sub>2</sub> × N effect on variables describing leaf and ear growth and Tables S2 and S3 the corresponding mean values. e  $[CO_2]$  significantly increased the following variables: flag leaf biomass per m<sup>2</sup> ground area, flag leaf area index, and radiation-adjusted flag leaf area index in all N treatments in both years; flag leaf number per m<sup>2</sup> ground area and ear area index in all N treatments in 2014; and flag leaf number per m<sup>2</sup> ground area and ear area index in all N treatments except under N deficiency (CAN35) in 2015. No significant CO<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> × N effect was observed for specific flag leaf

**TABLE 3** Result of *F*-tests comparing the time course of stem  $NO_3^-$  concentration and of soil  $NO_3^-$ -N,  $NH_4^+$ -N, and total mineral N concentration in the uppermost 30 cm soil layer. Results show stem  $NO_3^-$  concentration under two N (CAN180/CUL180) and two  $CO_2$  treatments (ambient  $[CO_2]/e[CO_2]$ ) in 2014 and soil  $NO_3^-$ -N,  $NH_4^+$ -N, and total mineral N as well as stem  $NO_3^-$  concentration under two N (CAN200/UNI200) and two  $CO_2$  treatments (ambient  $[CO_2]/e[CO_2]/e[CO_2]/e[CO_2]$ ) in 2015. Prior to analysis the data were log-transformed to comply with variance homogeneity

	2014	2015	2015							
	Stem NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> (mg/g)	Soil NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N (kg/ha)	Soil NH4 <sup>+</sup> -N (kg/ha)	Soil total N (kg/ha)	Stem NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> (mg/g)					
CO <sub>2</sub>	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns					
Ν	ns	**	**	ns	**					
$\text{CO}_2 \times \text{N}$	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns					
Sampling (S)	**	**	**	**	**					
$\rm CO_2 \times S$	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns					
$N \times S$	ns	**	*	ns	ns					
$CO_2 \times N \times S$	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns					

ns, not significant; \*p < .01; \*\*p < .001.



**FIGURE 2** Time course of soil NO<sub>3</sub><sup>--</sup>N and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+-</sup>N in the uppermost 30 cm soil profile of the CAN200 (a) and UNI200 treatment (b) during winter wheat growth. In (c), the amount of total soil mineral N in the CAN200 and UNI200 treatment is shown. Dates of N fertilization are indicated by arrows and data points around the N fertilizer applications are not interconnected. The data points represent mean values ( $\pm$  standard error of mean) over the two CO<sub>2</sub> treatments (n = 6)

weight, individual flag leaf area, residual leaf area index, and radiation-adjusted residual leaf area index.

## 3.3 | Flag leaf nitrate reductase activity and gene expression

Flag leaf nitrate reductase activity (NRA) referred to gram fresh weight was strongly influenced by N fertilization throughout all

Global Change Biology –WILEY

samplings in both 2014 and 2015 (Table 5). It was observed that NRA increased with increasing N fertilization (Figure 4), but neither a significant  $CO_2 \times N$  interaction nor a significant  $CO_2$  effect was observed in both years. Statistical analysis comparing flag leaf NRA between the two samplings within a particular year revealed no significant  $CO_2 \times$  sampling and no  $CO_2 \times N \times$  sampling interaction in both years. Despite the large temperature difference of 11°C between the first and second sampling in 2014 the measured NRA of the ambient and  $e[CO_2]$  treatments of each N fertilizer treatment showed no significant difference between the two samplings (Table 2, Figure 4). The calculation of flag leaf NRA per m<sup>2</sup> ground area revealed no  $e[CO_2]$  effect for the time before flowering in both years (Figure 5a,b). However, a higher NRA under  $e[CO_2]$  was observed at late flowering in 2015 (Figure 5c).

To test whether there are differences in flag leaf NRA between NO3<sup>--</sup> and NH4<sup>+</sup>-based fertilization marginal N means (mean over both CO2 treatments) between the CUL180 and the CAN180 treatment in 2014 as well as the UNI200 and the CAN200 treatment in 2015 were compared. No significant difference for the marginal N means of flag leaf NRA between the CUL180 and the CAN180 treatment was detected for both samplings in 2014 (Figure 4a). In 2015 on the other hand, the marginal N means of flag leaf NRA of the UNI200 and CAN200 treatments were similar at the first sampling, but were significantly lower for the UNI200 compared to the CAN200 treatment at the second sampling (Figure 4b). Furthermore, when referred to m<sup>2</sup> ground area significant differences in flag leaf NRA between the CAN200 and the UNI200 treatment were detected for both samplings in 2015 (Figure 5b,c), but no differences between the CAN180 and the CUL180 treatment were observed in 2014 (Figure 5a). Averaged over the two CO<sub>2</sub> treatments and both samplings flag leaf NRA referred to m<sup>2</sup> ground area of the CUL180 treatment was 98% of the NRA of the CAN180 treatment in 2014. In 2015, flag leaf NRA per m<sup>2</sup> ground area of the UNI200 treatment was 70% of the NRA of the CAN200 treatment.

Investigation of flag leaf NR (*nia*) gene expression of three NR genes localized on chromosome 6AS, 6DS, and 7DS revealed a considerable influence of N fertilization with significantly lower *nia* transcript levels for plants grown under CAN35 and UNI200 compared to plants grown under CAN200 and CAN320 (Fig. S1). However, no e[CO<sub>2</sub>] effect on *nia* transcription was observed for any N fertilization treatments (Fig. S2).

### 3.4 Total aboveground biomass and N acquisition

Aboveground biomass was increased by  $e[CO_2]$  with relative effects of 4 up to 20% in 2014 and 6 up to 24% in 2015, respectively (Figure 6a). Tissue N concentrations of stem, leaf, ear, and total plant were not significantly influenced by  $e[CO_2]$  in 2014 (Tables S5 and S6). In 2015,  $e[CO_2]$  significantly reduced N concentration of leaf (-15%) and total plant (-9%) of the CAN200 treatment as well as N concentration of leaf (-20%), ear (-11%), and total plant (-19%) of the UNI200 treatment. In 2014, N acquisition of the CAN180, CAN320, as well as CUL180 treatment was increased by  $e[CO_2]$ 

56

7



**FIGURE 4** Effect of two  $CO_2$  and four N treatments on flag leaf NRA referred to gram fresh weight. (a) Samplings in 2014 and (b) samplings in 2015 (mean $\pm$  standard error of mean; for number of replicates see 2.9). Grey bars represent ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>] and black bars e [CO<sub>2</sub>]. Different letters indicate significant differences among the marginal means of the N treatments

with relative effects of 4 up to 13%, but this increase was not significant (Figure 6b). A significant CO<sub>2</sub>  $\times$  N interaction was only observed in 2015. Here, e[CO<sub>2</sub>] significantly increased N acquisition of the CAN200 and the CAN320 treatment by 14 and 16%, respectively. However, there was no e[CO<sub>2</sub>] effect on N acquisition of the CAN35 and the UNI200 treatment (Figure 6b).

## 4 DISCUSSION

A decline in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> assimilation and consequently lower protein concentrations in cereals under  $e[CO_2]$  would have unpredictable effects on future human and animal nutrition. Therefore, the effect of rising  $[CO_2]$  concentrations on N status of crops has been studied intensively (Ainsworth & Long, 2005; Taub & Wang, 2008). NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is the major plant-available N form in agricultural soils due to nitrification (Andrews et al., 2013; Subbarao et al., 2006) and consequently, the well-studied enzyme NR is of high interest with respect to its response to  $e[CO_2]$ . However, its regulation under these conditions is not completely understood as transcriptional regulation as well as

posttranslational inhibition play important roles in the highly connected N and C metabolism (Stitt & Krapp, 1999). In this study it was tested whether  $NO_3^-$  assimilation is inhibited by e[CO<sub>2</sub>] and whether an intensified growth and a higher N acquisition can be achieved with an NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-based fertilization in field-grown wheat.

# 4.1 | Leaf growth and estimation of flag leaf contribution to $NO_3^-$ assimilation

The leaf canopy provides the main contribution to  $NO_3^-$  assimilation of crops (Andrews et al., 1992). In order to infer the  $e[CO_2]$  effect on the leaf canopy's  $NO_3^-$  assimilation the  $e[CO_2]$  effect on leaf growth has to be considered. In previous studies with wheat plants grown in pots with an artificial belowground environment, for instance, a restricted rooting volume or changed soil temperature as compared to the field (Arp, 1991; Long et al., 2005) was observed that specific leaf weight tended to be higher under  $e[CO_2]$  (Thilakarathne et al., 2013; Ziska et al., 2004). Furthermore, a slight increase in individual leaf area has been detected under restricted rooting volume (Seneweera & Conroy, 2005). In contrast, in the WILEY-Global Change Biolog



FIGURE 5 Effect of two CO<sub>2</sub> and four N treatments on flag leaf NRA referred to m<sup>2</sup> ground area. (a) Sampling before flowering in 2014, (b) sampling before flowering in 2015, (c) sampling at late flowering in 2015 (mean $\pm$  standard error of mean; n = 3). Grey bars represent ambient [CO2] and black bars e[CO2]. F-test results are included in each diagram: ns: not significant; (\*)p < .10; \*p < .05; \*\*\*p < .001. Different letters indicate significant differences among the marginal means of the N treatments

present field study specific flag leaf weight as well as individual flag leaf area were not significantly altered by e[CO2]. The observed significant increase in flag leaf biomass and flag leaf area per m<sup>2</sup> ground area under e[CO2] resulted from a higher number of leaves as a result of an increased tiller number per plant. Higher tiller number per plant has also been found in another FACE study (Cai et al., 2016) as well as in a growth chamber study (Ziska et al., 2004).

NO3<sup>-</sup> assimilation and in particular nitrate reductase activity (NRA) depend on photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD). The importance of flag leaves for NO3- assimilation was estimated on the basis of the PPFD distribution within the canopy because in this study only the NRA of the flag leaf was measured. Flag leaf area index accounted for only 28 up to 45% of the total leaf area index (Table S3) which might indicate a minor importance of the flag leaf for NO3<sup>-</sup> assimilation compared to the residual leaves. However, by taking into account that flag leaves were exposed to a higher PPFD, the radiation-adjusted flag leaf area index (raFLAI) was calculated. Based on this calculation flag leaves accounted for 38% at N deficiency (CAN40/35) up to 65% at N excess (CAN320) of the total leaf radiation-adjusted area index. Consequently, the flag leaf layer may constitute the major part of leaf NO3<sup>-</sup> assimilation under optimal and excess N fertilization. The importance of flag leaves is likely to increase until late grain filling as senescence proceeds from the bottom to the top of the canopy (Bertheloot, Martre, & Andrieu, 2008).

#### 4.2 Nitrate reductase activity and gene expression

In the present 2-year FACE experiment no significant inhibition of NRA under e[CO<sub>2</sub>] was observed at four sampling dates. Therefore, the hypothesized decrease in NRA in wheat from other e[CO<sub>2</sub>] studies conducted in hydroponic cultures (Bloom et al., 2002, 2010) cannot be supported with these results gained in this field experiment. Other FACE experiments with different plant species showed variable results regarding NRA. Natali, Sanudo-Wilhelmy, and Lerdau (2009) observed an inhibition of NRA in Loblolly pine (Pinus taeda), but no e[CO2] effect for American sweetgum (Liquidambar styraciflua). Hu, Wang, Yang, Zhou, and Zhu (2006) found consistently higher NRA under  $e[CO_2]$  over the growing season for rice (Oryza sativa).

The NO3<sup>-</sup> assimilation is hypothesized to be inhibited under e[CO2] due to a lower photorespiration rate and a subsequent lower supply of the reductant NADH for the NR caused by a decreased malate export from the chloroplast (Bloom, 2015a; Rachmilevitch et al., 2004). The malate valve represents an important player in the complex network between N and C metabolism and is involved in supplying energy in form of NADH for nitrate reduction (Scheibe, 2004). However, oxidation from triose phosphate sugars also increases cytosolic NADH availability and was long thought to be the ultimate energy source for NR as several intermediates and photosynthetic metabolites migrate from chloroplasts into the cytosol (Foyer et al., 2009; Kaiser et al., 2000). As cytosolic NADH concentration is generally estimated as very low it is uncertain to which amount the NADH for nitrate reduction is supplied by malate and to which amount from triose phosphate sugars originating from Calvin cycle. To address the dependency of NRA on photorespiration NRA was measured at moderate (16.7°C) and warm (27.6°C) temperature conditions before flowering in 2014. Between both temperatures no difference in NRA was observed. If this dependency would have been supported with this experiment, an inhibition of NRA by



**FIGURE 6** Effect of two CO<sub>2</sub> and four N treatments on aboveground biomass (a) and aboveground N acquisition (b) at the milk-ripe stage (mean $\pm$  standard error of mean; n = 3). Grey bars represent ambient [CO<sub>2</sub>] and black bars e[CO<sub>2</sub>]. *F*-test results are included in each diagram: ns: not significant; (\*)p < .1; \*p < .05; \*\*p < .01; \*\*p < .001. With no significant interaction from the *F*-test different lower case letters indicate significant differences among the marginal means of the N treatments. With significant interaction from the *F*-test different capital letters indicate significant differences for CO<sub>2</sub> means separate for each N treatment and different lower case letters show significant differences for N means separate for each CO<sub>2</sub> treatment (letters are in bold for e[CO<sub>2</sub>])

e[CO<sub>2</sub>] should be detectable at warm temperatures. This has been previously observed in a growth chamber study where e[CO<sub>2</sub>] inhibited both photorespiration and NRA in wheat only at temperatures above 24°C (Jauregui et al., 2015). Nevertheless, the results of this study indicate no dependency of NRA on photorespiration in the field and suggest that rising temperatures will not induce or exacerbate inhibition of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> assimilation in wheat under future e[CO<sub>2</sub>].

A FACE study indicated an inhibition of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> assimilation based on data of increased ratio of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> to total N concentration due to accumulation of unassimilated NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in leaf tissue (Bloom et al., 2014). In addition, less <sup>15</sup>N-enriched organic N and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in leaf tissue under  $e[CO_2]$  was observed which the authors have claimed to result from declined NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> assimilation relative to replenishment. Although no similar measurements were conducted in this study and vice versa, this study disagrees with the study of Bloom et al. (2014) because no declines in NRA as well as nitrate reductase (*nia*) transcript levels under  $e[CO_2]$  were observed. An explanation for the differing results might be that the experiment of Bloom et al. (2014) was conducted under subtropical climate and excess N fertilization with 350 kg N/ha. Under these conditions NRA might have been very high due to (i) the excess N fertilization as it was observed in this study and (ii) the theoretically high NADH supply from very high photorespiration rates owing to high daytime temperatures. Consequently, the described and hypothesized  $e[CO_2]$ -induced NADH shortage for NR due to reduced photorespiration (Bloom, 2015a; Bloom, 2015b) might therefore occur in the field only under extreme conditions that facilitate very high NRA, namely, very high photorespiration rates and excess N fertilization, but not under moderate climate and adequate N supply. Moreover, in the study of Bloom et al. (2014)  $e[CO_2]$  did not decrease N concentrations implying that despite inhibition of  $NO_3^-$  assimilation N acquisition of wheat was not strongly affected.

The regulation of the nitrate reductase (*nia*) transcript is rather complex but follows a light-dependent diurnal expression pattern and is generally positively influenced by increasing cellular  $NO_3^-$  and repressed by high glutamine levels (Stitt & Krapp, 1999). In this

WILEY- Global Change Biology

study, the levels of nia transcript were significantly lower in the deficient NO3<sup>-</sup> (CAN35)- and optimal NH4<sup>+</sup> (UNI200)-based fertilization treatments compared to the optimal (CAN200) and excessive (CAN320) NO3<sup>-</sup>-based ones. These results support that increasing NO3<sup>-</sup> concentrations induced the nia transcription and suggest that an adaption to the lower NO3<sup>-</sup> status under N deficiency and NH4<sup>+</sup>based fertilization took place. Regarding the e[CO2] effect on flag leaf nia transcription Buchner et al. (2015) observed 1.6- to 1.8-fold higher nia transcript levels under e[CO2] in spring wheat flag leaves at flowering. In contrast, in this study no significant differences in nia transcript levels were observed which is consistent with no e[CO<sub>2</sub>] effect on flag leaf NRA. This discrepancy could be attributed to the different growing conditions between the two study sites. For example, in this study the irrigation was rigorously controlled, whereas in the study of Buchner et al. (2015) wheat was grown in dry-land agriculture.

## 4.3 Establishment of an NH4<sup>+</sup>-based fertilization

In order to establish an NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-dominated fertilization, CULTAN fertilization was used in the 1st year and surface application consisting of a combination of urea with nitrification inhibitors in the 2nd year. Particularly in the first two growing stages, stem NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration was considerably higher in 2014 compared to 2015. This could indicate generally higher nitrification rates in spring 2014, which might be connected with the higher average temperature in April in 2014 (11.5°C) compared to 2015 (8.2°C). Net mineralization, which is another important NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> source, was estimated based on total N fertilizer applied and aboveground N acquisition in the N deficiency treatment (CAN40/35). This estimation resulted in no large difference between 2014 (35 kg N/ha) and 2015 (41 kg N/ha).

With CULTAN, depots containing high concentrations of  $NH_4^+$  are injected into the soil next to the plants. The high  $NH_4^+$  concentration of these depots is assumed to be toxic for microorganisms thus preventing nitrification and forcing plants to take up  $NH_4^+$  (Petersen et al., 2004; Wetselaar et al., 1972). Consequently, rhizospere azidification by plant  $NH_4^+$  uptake should further decrease nitrification because high nitrification rates occur at a neutrale soil pH (Suzuki et al., 1974). In this study in 2014, however, no differences in stem  $NO_3^-$  concentration as well as flag leaf NRA were observed, suggesting similar soil  $NO_3^-$ -N supply for the CUL180 and CAN180 fertilization. Inadequate control of nitrification with CULTAN was also observed in another study because soil  $NO_3^-$ -N content did not differ between CULTAN and surface spreading of ammonium sulfate (Deppe et al., 2016).

In contrast, in 2015, soil NO<sub>3</sub><sup>--</sup>N concentration, stem NO<sub>3</sub><sup>--</sup> concentration, flag leaf NRA, as well as flag leaf *nia* transcript levels were lower for plants grown under UNI200 compared to plants grown under CAN200, whereas total soil mineral N supply was similar in both N treatments. This result indicates lower NO<sub>3</sub><sup>--</sup> but similar mineral N availability in the UNI200 compared to the CAN200 plots and suggests that nitrification was substantially controlled over the

growing season in the UNI200 plots. Nevertheless, soil pH did not differ significantly between the CAN200 and UNI200 plots (data not shown), even if the soil pH decreased by 0.5 units from March until middle of June probably due to nitrification and/or plant  $NH_4^+$  uptake. At the end of April 2015, a short-term increase in soil  $NO_3^-$ -N occurred in the UNI200 plots which can be explained by the short half-live of nitrification inhibitors (Subbarao et al., 2006). Furthermore, unusual heavy rainfalls with 50 mm occurred between the end of March and the beginning of April. This may have caused leaching of nitrification inhibitors, which could have further decreased the inhibition efficiency. Apart from that, soil  $NO_3^-$ -N concentration in the UNI200 plots remained constantly at a low level, but never fell to zero suggesting a base  $NO_3^-$ -N level even under good nitrification control.

Averaged over CO<sub>2</sub> treatments and samplings, the soil NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentration in the UNI200 plots was only 40% of the soil NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N concentration in the CAN200 plots with similar soil mineral N supply for both N treatments. However, flag leaf NRA per m<sup>2</sup> ground area of plants grown with UNI200 fertilization was 70% compared to CAN200 fertilization. This indicates that wheat strongly favors NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N over NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> assimilation even at high soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and low NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N supply. However, an ultimate proof which N form is preferred by the plant might provide the application of <sup>15</sup>N-labeled NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> or NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> fertilizers and the subsequent tracing of the <sup>15</sup>N-labeled N compounds. In addition, the high NRA in the UNI200 treatment indicates that the largest portion of the urea applied in the UNI200 plots was nitrified.

Based on the preference of wheat to take up  $NO_3^-$  and the difficulty of keeping high  $NH_4^+$ -N concentrations in the soil, it can be predicted that the establishment of an agricultural system based on primary uptake of  $NH_4^+$  as major N form is not readily manageable.

# 4.4 | Growth and N acquisition under $NO_3^-$ - and $NH_4^+$ -based fertilization

In this study aboveground biomass was significantly increased by  $e[CO_2]$  under optimal (CAN180/200) and excessive (CAN320)  $NO_3^-$ -based fertilization as well as under CUL180 and  $NH_4^+$ -based fertilization (UNI200), but not under the severe N deficiency treatment (CAN40/35). Dependency on N availability and in particular declining growth stimulation by  $e[CO_2]$  under N deficiency has been reported for wheat (Wolf, 1996) and other species in growth chamber (Stitt & Krapp, 1999) and FACE studies (Ainsworth & Long, 2005). However, a  $NH_4^+$ -based fertilization did not enhance the stimulating effect of  $e[CO_2]$  on aboveground biomass any further compared to  $NO_3^-$ -based fertilization as it was proposed by Bloom et al. (2002).

Previous meta-analyses that are primarily based on enclosure studies reported tissue N concentration decreases under  $e[CO_2]$  in wheat with an average of -16% for vegetative tissue (Cotrufo et al., 1998) and -10% for grain (Taub et al., 2008). However, previous FACE studies with wheat showed no decrease in N concentration of ear (Tausz-Posch et al., 2015) and only small decreases in stem and

leaf (Bloom et al., 2014; Tausz-Posch et al., 2015). In this FACE study under NO3--based fertilization, e[CO2] did not significantly reduce stem and ear N concentration and the reduction in leaf N concentration was only moderate. For example, e[CO2] decreased total plant tissue N concentration by only -5% in 2014 and -9% in 2015 in plants grown under optimal NO3-based fertilization. In addition to the milk-ripe stage, which is presented herein, four other growth stages analyzed in this study showed similarly moderate e[CO<sub>2</sub>] effects on tissue N concentration (data not shown). It can therefore be concluded that the reduction in tissue N concentrations in wheat grown under e[CO2] is generally lower in FACE compared to enclosure studies. In this study in plants grown under optimal NH4<sup>+</sup>-based fertilization (UNI200), e[CO2] decreased total plant tissue N concentration by -19% and this reduction is considerably stronger compared to one observed in plants grown under NO3-based fertilization.

Due to the growth stimulation and only a slight decrease in tissue N concentration of plants grown under e[CO2], the effect of e[CO2] did not lead to a decrease but to an increase in aboveground N acquisition under NO3--based fertilization. This result is well reflected by the positive effects of e[CO2] on NRA per m2 ground area. The results with regard to the e[CO2] effect on NRA, biomass, and aboveground N acquisition were similar between both years. Therefore, these results can be considered as representative at least for locations with similar conditions with regard to climate and soil. Higher N acquisition under e[CO2] was also observed in other FACE experiments with NO3--based fertilization using the same wheat cultivar (Weigel & Manderscheid, 2012) as well as with other winter wheat cultivars (Cai et al., 2016; Han et al., 2015; Ma et al., 2007). Hence, a stimulation of NRA per m<sup>2</sup> ground area by e[CO<sub>2</sub>] might have occurred in these studies. However, e[CO<sub>2</sub>] did not increase N acquisition with an optimal NH4+-based fertilization (UNI200) and this result disagrees with the results of hydroponic experiments of Bloom et al. (2002) and Carlisle et al. (2012). Besides the physiological differences in terms of plant  $NO_3^-$  and NH4<sup>+</sup> acquisition, it is important to consider the differences between a NO3<sup>-</sup>- and NH4<sup>+</sup>-based fertilization in terms of N losses to the environment (Masclaux-Daubresse et al., 2010). In this study under all N treatments but excess fertilization (CAN320), the plants acquired about 90% of the soil mineral N deriving from fertilization and mineralization. This indicates generally high nitrogen use efficiency in our study as well as no difference between the N fertilizer forms (CAN180/200 vs. CUL180/UNI200) in terms of N losses to the environment.

In conclusion, our results suggest that NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-based fertilization is superior to an NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-based one under e[CO<sub>2</sub>] for field-grown wheat. Therefore, a change from NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>- to NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-based fertilization might not be beneficial under future e[CO<sub>2</sub>]. Furthermore, our results suggest that an inhibition of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> assimilation by e[CO<sub>2</sub>], as postulated in prior research, is not the reason for the decrease in tissue N concentration under e[CO<sub>2</sub>] and that the reduction in e[CO<sub>2</sub>] on tissue N concentration in wheat is much smaller in field studies with FACE compared to enclosure studies.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank P. Braunisch, A. Fuehrer, A. Kremling, E. Schummer R. Staudte, and the experimental station of the Friedrich-Loeffler Institute for excellent technical assistance with the FACE experiment. We are grateful to H. Reuper and the students of the Institute for Plant Biology of the Technische Universität Braunschweig for their support in the NRA assay. Dr. H. Hahn and the company SKW Piesteritz are greatly acknowledged for the provision of Alzon M+ and their helpful hints. We thank the reviewers for their valuable comments and helpful suggestions to improve the original manuscript. This work was partly funded by the German Science Foundation (DFG).

### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None of the authors has any conflict of interest to declare.

### REFERENCES

- Ainsworth, E. A., & Long, S. P. (2005). What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising CO<sub>2</sub>. New Phytologist, 165, 351–371.
- Andrews, M., Morton, J. D., Lieffering, M., & Bisset, L. (1992). The partitioning of nitrate assimilation between root and shoot of a range of temperate cereals and pasture grasses. *Annals of Botany*, 70, 271– 276.
- Andrews, M., Raven, J. A., & Lea, P. J. (2013). Do plants need nitrate? The mechanisms by which nitrogen form affects plants. *Annals of Applied Biology*, 163, 174–199.
- Arp, W. J. (1991). Effects of source-sink relations on photosynthetic acclimation to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Plant Cell and Environment*, 14, 869– 875.
- Bertheloot, J., Martre, P., & Andrieu, B. (2008). Dynamics of light and nitrogen distribution during grain filling within wheat canopy. *Plant Physiology*, 148, 1707–1720.
- Bloom, A. J. (2015a). The increasing importance of distinguishing among plant nitrogen sources. Current Opinion in Plant Biology, 25, 10–16.
- Bloom, A. J. (2015b). Photorespiration and nitrate assimilation: A major intersection between plant carbon and nitrogen. *Photosynthesis Research*, 123, 117–128.
- Bloom, A. J., Burger, M., Kimball, B. A., & Pinter, P. J. Jr (2014). Nitrate assimilation is inhibited by elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in field-grown wheat. *Nature Climate Change*, 4, 477–480.
- Bloom, A. J., Burger, M., Rubio-Asensio, J. S., & Cousins, A. B. (2010). Carbon dioxide enrichment inhibits nitrate assimilation in wheat and Arabidopsis. *Science*, 328, 899–903.
- Bloom, A. J., Rubio-Asensio, J. S., Randall, L., Rachmilevitch, S., Cousins, A. B., & Carlisle, E. A. (2012).  $CO_2$  enrichment inhibits shoot nitrate assimilation in  $C_3$  but not  $C_4$  plants and slows growth under nitrate in  $C_3$  plants. *Ecology*, *93*, 355–367.
- Bloom, A. J., Smart, D. R., Nguyen, D. T., & Searles, P. S. (2002). Nitrogen assimilation and growth of wheat under elevated carbon dioxide. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 99, 1730–1735.
- Buchner, P., Tausz, M., Ford, R., Leo, A., Fitzgerald, G. J., Hawkesford, M. J., & Tausz-Posch, S. (2015). Expression patterns of C- and Nmetabolism related genes in wheat are changed during senescence under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in dry-land agriculture. *Plant Science*, 236, 239–249.

61

14

-WILEY- Global Change Biology

- Cai, C., Yin, X., He, S., Jiang, W., Si, C., Struik, P. C., ... Pan, G. (2016). Responses of wheat and rice to factorial combinations of ambient and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature in FACE experiments. *Global Change Biology*, 22, 856–874.
- Carlisle, E., Myers, S., Raboy, V., & Bloom, A. (2012). The effects of inorganic nitrogen form and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on wheat yield and nutrient accumulation and distribution. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 3, 1–13.
- Cotrufo, M. F., Ineson, P., & Scott, A. (1998). Elevated  $CO_2$  reduces the nitrogen concentration of plant tissues. *Global Change Biology*, 4, 43–54.
- Deppe, M., Well, R., Kuecke, M., Fuss, R., Giesemann, A., & Flessa, H. (2016). Impact of CULTAN fertilization with ammonium sulfate on field emissions of nitrous oxide. Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment, 219, 138–151.
- Foyer, C. H., Bloom, A. J., Queval, G., & Noctor, G. (2009). Photorespiratory metabolism: Genes, mutants, energetics, and redox signaling. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 60, 455–484.
- Gimenez, M. J., Piston, F., & Atienza, S. G. (2011). Identification of suitable reference genes for normalization of qPCR data in comparative transcriptomics analyses in the Triticeae. *Planta*, 233, 163–173.
- Han, X., Hao, X., Lam, S. K., Wang, H., Li, Y., Wheeler, T., ... Lin, E. (2015). Yield and nitrogen accumulation and partitioning in winter wheat under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>: A 3-year free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment experiment. Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment, 209, 132–137.
- Hu, J., Wang, Y., Yang, L., Zhou, J., & Zhu, J. (2006). Effect of free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (FACE) on leaf nitrate reductase activity of *Oryza sativa* L. cultivar Wuxianjing 14. *Ying Yong Sheng Tai Xue Bao*, 17, 2179–2184.
- IPCC (2013). Climate Change 2013: The physical science basis. In T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S. K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex & P. M. Midgley (Eds.), Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1535 pp.
- Jauregui, I., Aroca, R., Garnica, M., Zamarreno, A. M., Garcia-Mina, J. M., Serret, M. D., ... Aranjuelo, I. (2015). Nitrogen assimilation and transpiration: Key processes conditioning responsiveness of wheat to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 155, 338–354.
- Kaiser, W. M., Kandlbinder, A., Stoimenova, M., & Glaab, J. (2000). Discrepancy between nitrate reduction rates in intact leaves and nitrate reductase activity in leaf extracts: What limits nitrate reduction in situ? *Planta*, 210, 801–807.
- Lewin, K. F., Hendrey, G. R., & Kolber, Z. (1992). BROOKHAVEN Brookhaven National Laboratory free-air carbon-dioxide enrichment facility. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, 11, 135–141.
- Long, S. P., Ainsworth, E. A., Leakey, A. D. B., & Morgan, P. B. (2005). Global food insecurity. Treatment of major food crops with elevated carbon dioxide or ozone under large-scale fully open-air conditions suggests recent models may have overestimated future yields. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*, 360, 2011–2020.
- Ma, L., Shan, J., & Yan, X. Y. (2015). Nitrite behavior accounts for the nitrous oxide peaks following fertilization in a fluvo-aquic soil. *Biology* and Fertility of Soils, 51, 563–572.
- Ma, H.-L., Zhu, H.-G., Liu, G., Xie, Z.-B., Wang, Y.-L., Yang, L.-X., & Zeng, Q. (2007). Availability of soil nitrogen and phosphorus in a typical rice-wheat rotation system under elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *Field Crops Research*, 100, 44–51.
- Masclaux-Daubresse, C., Daniel-Vedele, F., Dechorgnat, J., Chardon, F., Gaufichon, L., & Suzuki, A. (2010). Nitrogen uptake, assimilation and remobilization in plants: Challenges for sustainable and productive agriculture. *Annals of Botany*, 105, 1141–1157.
- Monsi, M., & Saeki, T. (2005). On the factor light in plant communities and its importance for matter production. *Annals of Botany*, 95, 549– 567.
- Myers, S. S., Zanobetti, A., Kloog, I., Huybers, P., Leakey, A. D. B., Bloom, A. J., ... Usui, Y. (2014). Increasing CO<sub>2</sub> threatens human nutrition. *Nature*, 510, 139–142.

- Natali, S. M., Sanudo-Wilhelmy, S. A., & Lerdau, M. T. (2009). Effects of elevated carbon dioxide and nitrogen fertilization on nitrate reductase activity in sweetgum and loblolly pine trees in two temperate forests. *Plant and Soil*, 314, 197–210.
- Pacholski, A., Manderscheid, R., & Weigel, H. J. (2015). Effects of free air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment on root growth of barley, sugar beet and wheat grown in a rotation under different nitrogen supply. *European Journal* of Agronomy, 63, 36–46.
- Padgett, P. E., & Leonard, R. T. (1993). Contamination of ammoniumbased nutrient solutions by nitrifying organisms and the conversion of ammonium to nitrate. *Plant Physiology*, 101, 141–146.
- Paolacci, A. R., Tanzarella, O. A., Porceddu, E., & Ciaffi, M. (2009). Identification and validation of reference genes for quantitative RT-PCR normalization in wheat. BMC Molecular Biology, 10, 11.
- Petersen, J., Hansen, B., & Sorensen, P. (2004). Nitrification of N-15ammonium sulphate and crop recovery of N-15-labelled ammonium nitrates injected in bands. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 21, 81–92.
- Pfaffl, M. W., Horgan, G. W., & Dempfle, L. (2002). Relative expression software tool (REST) for group-wise comparison and statistical analysis of relative expression results in real-time PCR. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 30, e36.
- Pleijel, H., & Uddling, J. (2012). Yield vs. Quality trade-offs for wheat in response to carbon dioxide and ozone. *Global Change Biology*, 18, 596–605.
- Rachmilevitch, S., Cousins, A. B., & Bloom, A. J. (2004). Nitrate assimilation in plant shoots depends on photorespiration. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 101, 11506–11510.
- Scheibe, R. (2004). Malate valves to balance cellular energy supply. Physiologia Plantarum, 120, 21–26.
- Scheible, W. R., Lauerer, M., Schulze, E. D., Caboche, M., & Stitt, M. (1997). Accumulation of nitrate in the shoot acts as a signal to regulate shoot-root allocation in tobacco. *Plant Journal*, 11, 671–691.
- Seneweera, S. P., & Conroy, J. P. (2005). Enhanced leaf elongation rates of wheat at elevated CO<sub>2</sub>: Is it related to carbon and nitrogen dynamics within the growing leaf blade? *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 54, 174–181.
- Shearman, V. J., Sylvester-Bradley, R., Scott, R. K., & Foulkes, M. J. (2005). Physiological processes associated with wheat yield progress in the UK. Crop Science, 45, 175–185.
- Sinclair, T. R., Pinter, P. J., Kimball, B. A., Adamsen, F. J., Lamorte, R. L., Wall, G. W., ... Matthias, A. (2000). Leaf nitrogen concentration of wheat subjected to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and either water or N deficits. Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment, 79, 53–60.
- Stitt, M., & Krapp, A. (1999). The interaction between elevated carbon dioxide and nitrogen nutrition: The physiological and molecular background. Plant Cell and Environment, 22, 583–621.
- Subbarao, G. V., Ito, O., Sahrawat, K. L., Berry, W. L., Nakahara, K., Ishikawa, T., ... Rao, I. M. (2006). Scope and strategies for regulation of nitrification in agricultural systems-challenges and opportunities. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, 25, 303–335.
- Suzuki, I., Dular, U., & Kwok, S. C. (1974). Ammonia or ammonium ion as substrate for oxidation by nitrosomonas-europaea cells and extracts. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 120, 556–558.
- Taniguchi, M., & Miyake, H. (2012). Redox-shuttling between chloroplast and cytosol: Integration of intra-chloroplast and extra-chloroplast metabolism. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 15, 252–260.
- Taub, D. R., Miller, B., & Allen, H. (2008). Effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on the protein concentration of food crops: A meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology*, 14, 565–575.
- Taub, D. R., & Wang, X. (2008). Why are nitrogen concentrations in plant tissues lower under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>? A critical examination of the hypotheses. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology*, 50, 1365–1374.
- Tausz-Posch, S., Dempsey, R. W., Seneweera, S., Norton, R. M., Fitzgerald, G., & Tausz, M. (2015). Does a freely tillering wheat cultivar

62

15

benefit more from elevated  $CO_2$  than a restricted tillering cultivar in a water-limited environment? *European Journal of Agronomy*, 64, 21–28.

- Thilakarathne, C. L., Tausz-Posch, S., Cane, K., Norton, R. M., Tausz, M., & Seneweera, S. (2013). Intraspecific variation in growth and yield response to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in wheat depends on the differences of leaf mass per unit area. *Functional Plant Biology*, 40, 185–194.
- Weigel, H.-J., & Manderscheid, R. (2012). Crop growth responses to free air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment and nitrogen fertilization: Rotating barley, ryegrass, sugar beet and wheat. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 43, 97– 107.
- Wetselaar, R., Passioura, J. B., & Singh, B. R. (1972). Consequences of banding nitrogen fertilizers in soil. 1. Effects on nitrification. *Plant* and Soil, 36, 159–175.
- Wieser, H., Manderscheid, R., Erbs, M., & Weigel, H. J. (2008). Effects of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations on the quantitative protein composition of wheat grain. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 56, 6531–6535.
- Wolf, J. (1996). Effects of nutrient supply (NPK) on spring wheat response to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. Plant and Soil, 185, 113–123.
- Ziska, L. H., Morris, C. F., & Goins, E. W. (2004). Quantitative and qualitative evaluation of selected wheat varieties released since 1903 to

increasing atmospheric carbon dioxide: Can yield sensitivity to carbon dioxide be a factor in wheat performance? *Global Change Biology*, 10, 1810–1819.

### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article.

How to cite this article: Dier M, Meinen R, Erbs M, et al. Effects of free air carbon dioxide enrichment (FACE) on nitrogen assimilation and growth of winter wheat under nitrate and ammonium fertilization. *Glob Change Biol*. 2017;00:1–15. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13819

## 4.6 Anhang

Table S1: Listed target genes for quantitative reverse transcription PCR gene expression analysis with forward and reverse oligonucleotide primer sequences

Gene	Source	Forward primer	Reverse primer
Nitrate	TRIAE_CS42_6AS_TGACv1_486190_AA1557980**	GCCGAGTCCGACAACTACTA	TATGCGTATCCCTTGATGGT
reductase 6AS			
Nitrate	TRIAE_CS42_6DS_TGACv1_543770_AA1744010**	GTACTGGTGCTGGTGCTTCT	ACGTTCACCTTGACCTTGAA
reductase 6DS			
Nitrate	TRIAE_CS42_7DS_TGACv1_622737_AA2044470**		
reductase 7DS			
ADP-	AY736124*, AB050957*	ACCATCGGGTTTAATGTTGA	TTCAACAACACGGTCCCTAT
ribosylation			
factor			
Translation	M90077*	CCGCTGAGATGAACAAGAGGT	CGATGATGAGAACAGCACAG
elongation			
factor 1 alpha			

(\*NCBI GeneBank accession, \*\* EnsemblPlants database)

**Table S2**: Effects of four N and two CO<sub>2</sub> treatments on flag leaf growth variables. Shown are mean values ( $\pm$ standard error of mean; n=3) and the percentage effect ( $\Delta$ ) of elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>]. Amb [CO<sub>2</sub>] stands for the ambient CO<sub>2</sub> of about 390 ppm and e[CO<sub>2</sub>] for the elevated [CO<sub>2</sub>] of 600 ppm. With no significant interaction from the F-test different lower case letters indicate significant differences among the marginal means (mean values over both CO<sub>2</sub> treatments) of the N treatments. With a significant interaction from the F-test different capital letters indicate significant differences for CO<sub>2</sub> means separate for each N treatment and different lower case letters show significant differences for N means separate for each CO<sub>2</sub> treatment (letters for e[CO<sub>2</sub>]) are in bold). For explanation of the abbreviations see legend to Table 4.

		20	14			20	015	
	CAN40	CAN180	CAN320	CUL180	CAN35	CAN200	CAN320	UNI200
SFLW (g $m^{-2}$ )								
Amb [CO <sub>2</sub> ]	59.3±2.3a	55.5±1.9b	54.9±1.2b	54.4±1.4b	70.9±1.5a	67.6±0.1b	$66.9 \pm 2.0b$	66.5±1.2b
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	63.0±1.5a	55.5±2.8b	53.3±1.3b	$55.1 \pm 1.3b$	$70.1 \pm 0.5a$	66.5±0.7b	64.5±1.0b	66.2±0.7b
$\Delta$	6	0	-3	1	-1	-2	-4	-1
$FLA (cm^2 leaf^1)$								
Amb [CO <sub>2</sub> ]	17.2±1.4c	26.1±3.5b	31.5±2.5a	26.2±3.3ab	11.6±1.0c	21.0±1.8b	23.0±1.5a	19.4±1.2b
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	20.4±1.4c	25.0±2.5b	33.0±1.9a	29.8±2.5ab	13.2±1.7c	22.2±1.8b	$24.5 \pm 1.4a$	21.3±1.7b
$\Delta$	19	-4	5	14	14	6	6	9
$FLN(m^{-2})$								
Amb [CO <sub>2</sub> ]	294±23c	432±22 b	470±30b	522±14a	282±13A c	393±5B b	489±17B a	378±13B b
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	299±20c	473±23b	492±7b	571±29a	267±7A <b>c</b>	453±22A <b>b</b>	534±8A <b>a</b>	419±4A <b>b</b>
$\Delta$	2	9	5	9	-5	15	9	11
FLB (g $m^{-2}$ )								
Amb [CO <sub>2</sub> ]	29.7±1.7c	62.4±9.4b	80.4±1.6a	73.8±1.7a	23.3±2.2d	54.3±1.1b	73.9±5.0a	47.2±2.0c
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	38.2±1.9c	65.9±7.9b	87.2±8.9a	93.2±3.2a	24.7±0.9d	66.0±3.8b	82.3±1.1a	56.4±2.0c
Δ	29	6	8	26	6	22	11	20

**Table S3**: Effects of four N and two  $CO_2$  treatments on green area index of flag leaves, residual leaves and ears as well as radiation adjusted green area index of flag and residual leaves. Shown are mean values (±standard error of mean; n=3) and the percentage effect ( $\Delta$ ) of e[CO<sub>2</sub>]. Different letters indicate significant differences among the marginal means of the N treatments. For explanation of the abbreviations see legend to Table 4.

	2014				2015			
	CAN40	CAN180	CAN320	CUL180	CAN35	CAN200	CAN320	UNI200
$FLAI (m^2 m^{-2})$								
Amb [CO <sub>2</sub> ]	0.502±0.036c	1.14±0.17b	1.47±0.01a	1.36±0.03a	0.329±0.028c	$0.798 \pm 0.022b$	1.11±0.10a	0.713±0.039c
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	0.610±0.036c	1.19±0.10b	1.63±0.11a	1.69±0.06a	0.353±0.012c	0.993±0.066b	1.29±0.02a	0.861±0.036c
$\Delta$	21	4	11	24	7	24	16	21
$RLAI (m^2 m^{-2})$								
Amb [CO <sub>2</sub> ]	0.842±0.110b	1.85±0.17a	2.15±0.55a	2.13±0.45a	0.771±0.075d	$2.05 \pm 0.07 b$	2.42±0.11a	1.67±0.05c
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	0.812±0.093b	2.30±0.18a	2.45±0.14a	2.04±0.17a	0.737±0.073d	2.21±0.05b	$2.41 \pm 0.22a$	1.72±0.14c
$\Delta$	-4	24	14	-5	-4	8	0	3
$EAI (m^2 m^{-2})$								
Amb [CO <sub>2</sub> ]	$0.207 \pm 0.007 c$	0.350±0.031b	0.413±0.007a	0.399±0.003a	0.191±0.005c	0.338±0.012b	0.401±0.009a	0.315±0.012b
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	$0.217 \pm 0.008c$	0.413±0.010b	0.438±0.014a	0.449±0.026a	0.189±0.003c	0.389±0.016b	0.446±0.027a	$0.362 \pm 0.005 b$
$\Delta$	5	18	6	13	-1	15	11	15
raFLAI								
Amb [CO <sub>2</sub> ]	0.42±0.03c	0.79±0.11b	0.95±0.08a	$0.90 \pm 0.07 b$	$0.20 \pm 0.02d$	$0.60\pm0.04~b$	0.76±0.08a	0.55±0.04c
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	0.49±0.03c	$0.80 \pm 0.08b$	1.02±0.10a	1.04±0.10b	0.30±0.01d	$0.70\pm0.06~b$	$0.85 \pm 0.08a$	0.63±0.05c
$\Delta$	18	2	7	16	7	18	11	16
raRLAI								
Amb [CO <sub>2</sub> ]	$0.49 \pm 0.05 b$	0.62±0.11a	0.55±0.1ab	0.59±0.11b	0.50±0.05c	0.80±0.07a	0.75±0.0ab	$0.72 \pm 0.06b$
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	$0.44 \pm 0.05 b$	0.69±0.10a	0.56±0.0ab	$0.47 \pm 0.07 b$	$0.48 \pm 0.04c$	0.74±0.07a	0.66±0.0ab	$0.67 \pm 0.06b$
$\Delta$	-8	11	2	-19	-5	-6	-11	-7

Table S4: Effects of four N and two CO<sub>2</sub> treatments on mean irradiance (% of value at top of canopy) on the flag leaf (% irr on FL) and residual leaf fraction (%

	2014				2015			
	CAN40	CAN180	CAN320	CUL180	CAN35	CAN200	CAN320	UNI200
% irr on FL								
Amb [CO <sub>2</sub> ]	$82.9 \pm 0.9$	$69.9\pm3.4$	$64.5\pm0.3$	$66.0\pm0.4$	$86.9\pm0.6$	$74.9\pm0.5$	$69.2\pm1.4$	$76.7\pm0.9$
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	$80.6\pm0.8$	$68.0\pm1.6$	$62.6 \pm 1.4$	$61.7\pm0.6$	$86.4\pm0.3$	$71.0\pm1.2$	$66.1\pm0.6$	$73.4\pm0.5$
$\Delta$	-3	-3	-3	-6	-1	-5	-4	-4
% irr on RL								
Amb [CO <sub>2</sub> ]	$58.3\pm2.5$	$33.3\pm3.7$	$26.6\pm2.3$	$28.0\pm1.3$	$65.3\pm0.1$	$38.8\pm0.6$	$30.8 \pm 1.4$	$43.3\pm1.2$
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	$55.1\pm1.8$	$29.9 \pm 1.5$	$22.9 \pm 1.8$	$23.2\pm0.4$	$65.0\pm1.0$	$33.8 \pm 1.6$	$27.7\pm1.2$	$39.1 \pm 1.4$
Δ	-6	-10	-14	-17	-1	-13	-10	-10

irr on RL). Shown are mean values ( $\pm$ standard error of mean; n=3) and the percentage effect ( $\Delta$ ) of e[CO<sub>2</sub>].

**Table S5**: F-test results of the effect of four N and the two  $CO_2$  treatments on stem, leaf, ear and totalplant N concentration at the milk-ripe stage of winter wheat. ns: not significant; \*: p<0.05; \*\*: p<0.01;</td>\*\*\*\*:p<0.001</td>

	2014				2015			
	$CO_2$	Ν	CO <sub>2</sub> x N	$CO_2$	Ν	CO <sub>2</sub> x N		
N conc stem (mg $g^{-1}$ )	ns	***	ns	ns	***	ns		
N conc leaf (mg $g^{-1}$ )	ns	***	ns	**	***	*		
N conc ear (mg $g^{-1}$ )	ns	***	ns	ns	***	*		
N conc total (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	ns	***	ns	*	***	**		

**Table S6**: Effects of four N and two CO<sub>2</sub> treatments on stem, leaf, ear and total plant N concentration at the milk-ripe stage of winter wheat. Shown are mean values ( $\pm$ standard error of mean; n=3) and the percentage effect ( $\Delta$ ) of e[CO<sub>2</sub>]. With no significant interaction from the F-test different lower case letters indicate significant differences among the marginal means (mean values over both CO<sub>2</sub>-treatments) of the N treatments. With a significant interaction from the F-test differences for CO<sub>2</sub> means separate for each N treatment and different lower case letters show significant differences for N means separate for each CO<sub>2</sub>-treatment (letters for e[CO<sub>2</sub>]) are in bold). For explanation of the abbreviations see legend to Table 4.

	2014				2015			
-	CAN40	CAN180	CAN320	CUL180	CAN35	CAN200	CAN320	UNI200
N conc stem (mg $g^{-1}$ )								
Amb [CO <sub>2</sub> ]	3.4±0.2c	6.4±1.0b	10.3±0.1a	6.0±0.1b	3.7±0.3c	8.0±0.5b	10.2±0.3a	7.7±0.6b
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	2.8±0.2c	6.3±0.3b	9.6±0.5a	6.2±0.2b	4.0±0.5c	7.6±0.8b	10.2±0.2a	6.0±0.2b
$\Delta$	-17	-2	-6	3	10	-5	0	-22
N conc leaf (mg $g^{-1}$ )								
Amb [CO <sub>2</sub> ]	13.1±0.3c	23.0±2.6b	29.7±2.2a	23.0±0.8b	13.3±0.1A b	29.9±0.5A a	31.9±0.7A a	29.2±0.5A a
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	10.4±0.5c	23.0±0.2b	28.4±0.8a	22.9±0.0b	14.8±2.4A <b>c</b>	25.3±0.7B <b>b</b>	30.0±1.0A <b>a</b>	23.3±1.3B <b>b</b>
$\Delta$	-20	0	-4	-1	11	-15	-6	-20
N conc ear (mg $g^{-1}$ )								
Amb [CO <sub>2</sub> ]	11.8±1.2d	16.3±1.0b	17.1±1.0a	14.2±0.3c	14.3±0.4A b	17.6±0.3A a	17.8±0.6A a	18.5±0.3A a
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	11.8±0.4d	$15.4 \pm 0.4b$	17.1±0.4a	14.7±0.3c	14.4±0.3A <b>c</b>	17.0±0.5A <b>ab</b>	17.5±0.3A <b>a</b>	16.4±0.4B <b>b</b>
$\Delta$	0	-5	0	4	1	-3	-2	-11
N conc total (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )								
Amb [CO <sub>2</sub> ]	7.5±0.6c	12.0±1.2b	15.4±0.6a	11.1±0.2b	8.4±0.2A c	14.0±0.4A b	15.8±0.1A a	14.0±0.3A b
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	7.0±0.3c	11.4±0.5b	14.9±0.1a	11.2±0.4b	8.6±0.5A <b>d</b>	12.8±0.5B <b>b</b>	15.2±0.2A <b>a</b>	11.3±0.1B c
Δ	-7	-5	-3	1	3	-9	-4	-19



**Fig. S1**: Expression ratio results given in whisker-box plots regarding the influence of  $e[CO_2]$  on nitrate reductase 6AS (light gray box plot), 6DS and 7DS (dark gray box plot) mRNA levels from the  $2^{nd}$  sampling of 2015 in winter wheat. Colored box area encompass 50% of all observations, the whiskers represent the outer 50% of observations, the dotted line represents the sample median and the mean expression ratio is depicted as a white triangle. A) CAN35. B) UNI200 C) CAN200. D) CAN320. Listed probabilities of the hypothesis that differences in expression levels are due to chance.



**Fig. S2**: Expression ratio results given in whisker-box plots regarding the influence of N fertilization treatment and N amount on nitrate reductase 6AS (light gray box plot), 6DS and 7DS (dark gray box plot) mRNA levels in winter wheat. Colored box area encompass 50% of all observations, the whiskers represent the outer 50% of observations, the dotted line represents the sample median and the mean expression ratio is depicted as white triangle. A) CAN35 compared to UNI200. B) CAN35 compared to CAN200. C) CAN35 compared to CAN320. D) UNI200 compared to CAN200. Listed probabilities of the hypothesis that differences in expression levels are due to chance.

## AGRICULTURAL AND FOOD CHEMISTRY

pubs.acs.org/JAFC

Elevated Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> Concentration Has Limited Effect on Wheat Grain Quality Regardless of Nitrogen Supply

Markus Dier,\* Liane Hüther, Waltraud X. Schulze, Martin Erbs, Peter Köhler, Hans-Joachim Weigel, Remy Manderscheid, and Christian Zörb



**ABSTRACT:** Elevated atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentrations (e[ $CO_2$ ]) can decrease the grain quality of wheat. However, little information exists concerning interactions between e[ $CO_2$ ] and nitrogen fertilization on important grain quality traits. To investigate this, a 2-year free air  $CO_2$  enrichment (FACE) experiment was conducted with two  $CO_2$  (393 and 600 ppm) and three (deficiency, adequate, and excess) nitrogen levels. Concentrations of flour proteins (albumins/globulins, gliadins, and glutenins) and key minerals (iron, zinc, and sulfur) and baking quality (loaf volume) were markedly increased by increasing nitrogen levels and varied between years. e[ $CO_2$ ] resulted in slightly decreased albumin/globulin and total gluten concentration under all nitrogen conditions, whereas loaf volume and mineral concentrations remained unaffected. Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis revealed strong effects of nitrogen supply and year on the grain proteome. Under adequate nitrogen, the grain proteome was affected by e[ $CO_2$ ] with 19 downregulated and 17 upregulated protein spots. The downregulated proteins comprised globulins but no gluten proteins. e[ $CO_2$ ] resulted in decreased crude protein concentration at maximum loaf volume. The present study contrasts with other FACE studies showing markedly stronger negative impacts of e[ $CO_2$ ] on chemical grain quality, and the reasons for that might be differences between genotypes, soil conditions, or the extent of growth stimulation by e[ $CO_2$ ].

**KEYWORDS:** baking quality, free-air  $CO_2$  enrichment, grain quality, iron concentration, nitrogen fertilization, proteomics, Triticum aestivum, zinc concentration

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) is the second most important staple crop in the world, providing globally about 20% of protein and 20-40% of minerals for human nutrition.<sup>1,2</sup> Grains of wheat are mostly processed into baked products, and thus, chemical grain quality is important for both nutritional value and end use quality. The atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration is predicted to continue to rise from currently 408 to 730-1020  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> (=ppm) by the end of this century.<sup>3</sup> Free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (FACE) studies of wheat and other C3 crops have shown that elevated atmospheric CO2 concentrations (e- $[CO_2]$  increase photosynthesis and grain yield,<sup>4–7</sup> while water use is reduced by decreased stomatal conductance.<sup>8,9</sup> However, these crops often exhibit decreased grain nutrient concentrations, especially of crude protein and of sulfur (S), iron (Fe), and zinc (Zn) under  $e[CO_2]^{4,10-13}$  For wheat, a metaanalysis and a modeling study have suggested that crude protein, Fe, and Zn concentrations will decrease globally on average by 6-9% under  $e[CO_2]$  of 550 ppm.<sup>14,15</sup> In view of current Fe and Zn deficiencies in human diets and of high grain quality requirements for baking, negative consequences for human health<sup>16,17</sup> and for the baking industry<sup>18,19</sup> therefore expected in the future.

Baking quality strongly depends on the concentrations and composition of grain proteins. Based on their solubility in various solvents, wheat grain proteins are classified into three main groups: albumins/globulins (ALGL), gliadins (GLIA), and glutenins (GLUT).<sup>20</sup> Whereas ALGL often have structural and metabolic functions, GLIA and GLUT are storage proteins that are responsible for baking quality. GLIA are monomers and are subdivided into S-poor  $\omega$ - and S-rich  $\alpha$ -, and  $\gamma$ -GLIA, which are responsible for dough viscosity.<sup>21</sup> GLUT are protein aggregates of disulfide-linked subunits of high (HMW-GS) and low (LMW-GS) molecular weight and are responsible for the elasticity and strength of the dough. The concentrations and composition of GLIA and GLUT, which are summarized as gluten, strongly depend on the genotype and growth conditions, especially the N and S availability in the soil. According to Martre et al.,<sup>22</sup> the accumulation of gluten proteins is regulated by the N source (i.e., N availability for the grains), whereas the accumulation of ALGL is regulated by the N sink (i.e., grains).

A decrease of grain protein concentration by  $e[CO_2]$  has been associated with a decrease of gluten concentration, with the concentration of ALGL being unaffected, in previous FACE studies.<sup>4,23,24</sup> However, results with regard to the  $e[CO_2]$  effect on gluten subfractions are inconsistent, possibly attributable to genotype<sup>25</sup> or environmental conditions such as soil N availability.<sup>26,27</sup> In Australian wheat cultivars grown

Received:	December 9, 2019
Revised:	February 26, 2020
Accepted:	February 27, 2020
Published:	February 27, 2020



Article
under semi-arid conditions, e[CO<sub>2</sub>] strongly decreases HMW-GS contents,<sup>19</sup> but in another study,  $\gamma$ -GLIA concentrations have been shown to decrease in three cultivars with gluten proteins being unaffected in one cultivar.<sup>25</sup> In German wheat cultivars grown under well-watered conditions and a temperate climate,<sup>23</sup> studies have found strong reductions (by 12–35%) of all GLIA ( $\omega$ ,  $\alpha$ , and  $\gamma$ ) and of GLUT fractions (HMW-GS and LMW-GS) in winter wheat. However, in spring wheat grown under these conditions, only a significant decrease of the GLIA content occurs.<sup>4,24</sup>

Loaf volume, being the most integrative factor of baking quality, has been found to be decreased under  $e[CO_2]$  (by 5–17%) in Australia.<sup>12,18,19,28</sup> The strong decrease of all gluten fractions observed by Wieser et al.<sup>23</sup> suggests that  $e[CO_2]$  might also reduce the loaf volume under Central European growth conditions. However, apart from one study in which no significant effects have been demonstrated,<sup>29</sup> no information is available on the  $e[CO_2]$  effect on the loaf volume under such conditions.

Despite the lack of an  $e[CO_2]$  effect on the concentration of ALGL<sup>4,23,24</sup>  $e[CO_2]$  induces changes in the composition of albumins and globulins. These changes include the up- and downregulation of proteins with metabolic and stress-related functions and of storage globulins. <sup>19,30,31</sup>

The S, Fe, and Zn acquisitions of the grains are complex processes involving root uptake and subsequent xylem translocation, remobilization from vegetative organs, and deposition in the grains.<sup>32</sup> The mechanism and environmental conditions leading to the reductions of grain S, Fe, and Zn concentrations by e[CO<sub>2</sub>] are unclear. Two essential mechanisms have been hypothesized: (i) growth dilution, implying that grain nutrient acquisition cannot keep pace with the grain yield increase by  $e[CO_2]^{33}$  and (ii) reduced mass flow of nutrients to the roots because of reduced stomatal conductance.<sup>34</sup> Moreover, in wheat, S is an important component of gluten proteins,<sup>21</sup> and at least in durum wheat, grain protein is a potential sink for Fe and Zn,<sup>35</sup> and Fe and Zn remobilization to the grains might be linked to that of N.<sup>36,37</sup> Thus, in wheat, a decrease of S, Fe, and Zn concentrations in grains could be a consequence of an  $e[CO_2]$ induced decrease of grain protein concentration.

Based on the open questions addressed above and the lack of field studies simultaneously investigating the  $e[CO_2]$  effect on the wheat grain proteome and baking quality, a two-year FACE experiment was conducted. In this experiment, in which winter wheat was grown under well-watered conditions and two CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (393 and 600 ppm) and three N supply levels ranging from 35 to 320 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $e[CO_2]$  led to decreased grain N concentrations by 1-6%.<sup>38</sup> Based on this result, we asked the following questions: (i) does  $e[CO_2]$  affect gluten proteins, and does this result in diminished loaf volume, (ii) does  $e[CO_2]$  decrease Fe and Zn concentration, and in this regard, is there a correlation between mean protein content per grain and that of Fe and Zn, and (iii) is there a CO<sub>2</sub> × N interaction with respect to the chemical quality traits of the grains?

#### 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

**2.1. Experimental Design and Crop Management.** The experiment was conducted on a field site  $(52^{\circ}18'N, 10^{\circ}26'E, 79 \text{ m} \text{ a.s.l.})$  at the Thünen-Institut in Braunschweig, Germany, during 2014 and 2015. Winter wheat (*T. aestivum L.*, variety "Batis") was grown under the ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (a[CO<sub>2</sub>]) (393 ppm) and

under e[CO<sub>2</sub>] (600 ppm) and under three N levels comprising deficient, adequate, and excess treatments. The CO2 treatments were conducted in circular plots (diameter of 20 m), in which the N treatments were randomly established at three rectangular subplots (3 m  $\times$  5 m). In total, the experiment comprised six different CO<sub>2</sub>  $\times$  N treatments that were replicated three times each in both years. Wheat was grown under well-watered conditions and, according to local farm practice, with adequate crop management and nutrient supply. Detailed descriptions of the study site, climatic conditions, crop management,  $CO_2$  enrichment, N fertilization, and irrigation can be found elsewhere.<sup>7,9,38</sup> The amount of N fertilizer was 40 and 35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> for the N deficiency level (Nd), 180 and 200 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> for the adequate N level (Nad), and 320 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> for the excess N level (Nex) during 2014 and 2015. Additionally, S (20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>) fertilization occurred on March 20 in 2014 and April 7 in 2015. A specific Zn fertilization was not conducted. Based on the measurements from previous years, the Zn concentration in the topsoil (0-30)cm) amounted to 7 mg Zn kg<sup>-1</sup>. 2.2. Determination of Crude Protein, S, Fe, and Zn

2.2. Determination of Crude Protein, S, Fe, and Zn Concentrations in Grain. Grain samples were ground to pass through a 0.75 mm sieve in an ultracentrifugal mill (type ZM1, Retsch) after being dried at 105 °C. The N and S concentrations of the ground samples were determined with an element analyzer (TruSpec CNS, Leco), and the crude protein concentration was determined by multiplying the N concentration with a conversion factor of 5.7. Concentrations of Fe and Zn were determined by optical emission spectrometry with inductively coupled plasma after incineration, followed by disintegration with hydrochloric acid according to method number 10.8.2 of VDLUFA.<sup>39</sup>

2.3. Determination of ALGL, GLIA, and GLUT Concentrations. Grains were ground in a falling number mill (Laboratory Mill 120, Perten) and then in a ball mill (MM 400, Retsch) to a fine powder. ALGL, GLIA, and GLUT were stepwise extracted from 100 mg of whole grain flour according to Wieser and Seilmeier.<sup>24</sup> extraction, the three different fractions were centrifuged (15 min, 15,000g, 20 °C), and the upper 1 ml was used for the photometric determination of the protein concentration according to Bradford.<sup>4</sup> Isolated fractions of ALGL, GLIA, and GLUT were used as protein references. ALGL were isolated according to Pflaum et al.,41 and GLIA and GLUT were isolated according to Thanhaeuser et al.4 References and samples were diluted 1:5 with H<sub>2</sub>O, and 10  $\mu$ L of the mixture was mixed with 200 µL of 1:5-diluted Bradford solution (Roti-Quant, Roth) followed by measurement of the extinction at 595 nm and 25 °C with a microplate reader (TriStar<sup>2</sup> LB942, Berthold). Calibration curves were prepared with five different concentrations that ranged from 0.5 to 4  $\mu$ g  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup>. Six technical replications were used for each sample and reference, and the measurements were repeated if the coefficient of variation was above 5%.

2.4. Proteomics of Wheat Grain. 2.4.1. Protein Extraction. Proteins were extracted by a dithiothreitol (DTT)-trichloroacetic acid (TCA)-acetone precipitation method according to Zörb et al.4 with some modifications. Whole kernel flour (100 mg) was suspended in 1.6 mL of acetone (chilled to 4 °C) containing 10% (w/v) TCA and 50 mM DTT and was incubated at -20 °C overnight. After centrifugation (15 min, 15,000g, 4 °C), the pellet was resuspended in 1.5 mL of 90% acetone (chilled to 4 °C) containing 50 mM DTT and incubated at -20 °C for 2 h followed by centrifugation (15 min, 15,000g, 4 °C). Both precipitation steps were initiated by vortexing (1 min), sonication (15 min, 4 °C), and re-vortexing (1 min). The pellet was then washed with 1.5 mL of 90% acetone (chilled to 4 °C) containing 50 mM DTT, centrifuged (15 min, 15,000g, 4 °C), and dried in a speedvac (SPD121P, Thermo Fisher). The pellet was resuspended in an aqueous solution containing 7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 0.5% IPG-buffer (Serva Servalyt 3-10 Isodalt), 3% (w/v) CHAPS, 30 mM DTT, and 0.1% (v/v) protease inhibitor followed by magnetic stirring for 2 h at 30 °C. After sonication for 10 min and centrifugation (30 min, 15,000g, 10 °C), the upper 0.5 mL of the supernatant was used for two-dimensional gel electrophoresis. The protein concentration was determined by means of the 2D Quant Kit (GE-Healthcare) according to the instruction of the manufacturer.

https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.9b07817 J. Agric. Food Chem. XXXX, XXX, XXX–XXX

Article

Table 1. F-Test Result of the Effect of the Two  $CO_2$  and Three N Levels on Grain Elemental Concentrations; Grain N-to-S Ratio; Grain Albumin/Globulin (ALGL), Gliadin (GLIA), Glutenin (GLUT), and Total Gluten Concentrations; Dough Water Absorption, Development Time, and Loaf Volume<sup>a</sup>

variable	CO <sub>2</sub>	Ν	year (Y)	$CO_2 \times N$	$CO_2 \times Y$	$N \times Y$	$CO_2 \times N \times Y$
crude protein conc (%)	(*)	***	***	*	ns	* * *	ns
S conc (mg $g^{-1}$ )	ns	***	*	ns	ns	ns	ns
Fe conc $(mg kg^{-1})$	ns	***	***	*	ns	***	*
Zn conc (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	ns	***	ns	ns	(*)	ns	ns
N/S ratio	ns	***	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
ALGL conc (mg $g^{-1}$ )	$(*)^{b}$	***b	ns <sup>b</sup>	ns <sup>b</sup>	nsb	***	ns <sup>b</sup>
GLIA conc (mg $g^{-1}$ )	ns	***	***	ns	ns	ns	ns
GLUT conc (mg $g^{-1}$ )	ns <sup>c</sup>	***C	****C	ns <sup>c</sup>	ns <sup>c</sup>	**C	ns <sup>c</sup>
gluten conc $(mg g^{-1})^d$	(*)	***	***	ns	ns	**	ns
GLIA/GLUT ratio	ns	ns	ns	(*)	ns	(*)	ns
dough water absorption (mL)	(*)	***	(*)	ns	(*)	***	ns
dough development time (min)	ns <sup>b</sup>	***b	*6	ns <sup>b</sup>	ns <sup>b</sup>	**b	ns <sup>b</sup>
loaf volume (mL)	ns	***	ns	ns	ns	***	ns

 $a^{(*)}P < 0.1$ , \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001. <sup>b</sup>Data were log-transformed to ensure variance homogeneity and normal distribution of the residual error. <sup>c</sup>Data were square root-transformed to ensure variance homogeneity and normal distribution of the residual error. <sup>d</sup>Sum of GLIA and GLUT concentrations.

2.4.2. Two-Dimensional Gel Electrophoresis. IPG strips (11 cm, pH 3–10, Serva) were placed into a 200  $\mu$ L protein solution containing 50  $\mu$ g of protein and were incubated for 14 h at ~20 °C. Isoelectric focusing (IEF) was conducted with an IEF system (Protean i12, Bio-Rad) under the following conditions: 250 V for 0.5 h, 1000 V for 1 h, and 6000 V for 3 h at 20 °C. After IEF, the strips were incubated stepwise in equilibration buffer [30% (v/v) glycerol, 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.8; 6 M urea, 2% (w/v) SDS] containing 1% DTT and then in equilibration buffer containing 4% (w/v) iodoacetamide, under slight shaking for 15 min each.

SDS-PAGE was conducted in a vertical second dimension electrophoresis system (SE900, Hoefer) by using 12.5% (v/v) polyacrylamide gels. The strips were briefly incubated in running buffer [25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycin, 0.1% (w/v) SDS], and after application onto the gel, the strips were sealed with 0.5% (w/v) molten agarose containing a small amount of bromophenol blue. The running conditions of each gel were 20 °C at 20 mA for the first 2 h and at 80 mA until the dye front left the gel. After the run, gels were fixed with 40% ethanol and 10% acetic acid overnight followed by staining with hot (80–90 °C) Coomassie R 250 for 10 min. Gels were destained with 10% acetic acid for 24 h.

2.4.3. Image and Data Analysis. Gels were scanned in a transmission and grayscale mode (300 dpi, 16 bits per pixel), analyzed with the software Delta2D (version 4.4, Decodon), and warped with a group warping strategy to generate a fusion gel by means of average fusion algorithms. The fusion gel was used to detect and edit spots and to delete artefacts. The same set of spots was used across all analyzed  $CO_2 \times N \times$  year treatments. Spot intensity was regarded as significantly different between treatments if the pairwise *t*-test resulted in P < 0.05. Spots were disregarded if average relative spot intensity was below 0.02 or the coefficient of variation was above 40%. One technical gel replication was used.

2.4.4. Protein Identification. Spots were manually excised from the gels and were subjected to in-gel trypsin digestion according to Olsen et al.<sup>44</sup> with modifications. The gel pieces were incubated stepwise in an aqueous solution with 20 mM NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub> and in acetonitrile, each for 5 min, and this was repeated at least twice. The samples were then stepwise incubated for 20 min in an aqueous solution with 20 mM NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub> and 10 mM DTT at 56 °C and in a solution with 20 mM NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub> and 55 mM iodoacetamide at about 20 °C. Trypsin (modified sequencing grade, Promega) digestion was conducted overnight at a concentration of 12.5 ng  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup>, and the tryptic peptides were extracted from the gels by acidification with trifluoroacetic acid to the concentration of 0.1%. The supernatant was concentrated in a speedvac (SPD121P, Thermo Fisher) to a volume of 20–40  $\mu$ L and

desalted by using reversed-phase  $C_{18}$  tips (Thermo Scientific) according to the instruction of the manufacturer.

Tryptic peptide mixtures were analyzed by LC/MS/MS by using a nanoflow Easy-nLC1000 (Thermo Scientific) as a high-performance liquid chromatography system and a Quadrupole-Orbitrap hybrid mass spectrometer (Q-Exactive Plus, Thermo Scientific) as a mass analyzer. Peptides were eluted from a 75  $\mu$ m × 50 cm analytical column (Thermo Scientific) on a linear gradient running from 4 to 64% acetonitrile over 240 min and were sprayed directly into an LTQ-Orbitrap mass spectrometer. Proteins were identified by MS/MS by using information-dependent acquisition of fragmentation spectra of multiple charged peptides. Up to 12 data-dependent MS/MS spectra were acquired for each full-scan spectrum acquired at 60,000 full-width half-maximum resolution. Overall cycle time was approximately 1 s.

Protein identification within each spot of interest was carried out by MaxQuant version 1.4.0.1.<sup>45</sup> Spectra were matched against the wheat proteome (Taestivum\_296\_v2.2, 293053 entries) by using Andromeda.<sup>46</sup> Thereby, carbamidomethylation of cysteine was set as a fixed modification; oxidation of methionine was set as a variable modification. Mass tolerance for the database search was set to 20 ppm on full scans and 0.5 Da for fragment ions. Multiplicity was set to 1. For label-free quantitation, retention time matching between runs was chosen within a time window of 2 min. Peptide false discovery rate (FDR) and protein FDR were set to 0.01, whereas site FDR was set to 0.05. Hits with regard to contaminants (e.g., keratins) and reverse hits identified by MaxQuant were excluded from further analysis. Spot intensity quantitation was performed by image analysis.

2.5. Micro-Scale Baking Test. Grains were ground in a falling number mill (Laboratory Mill 120, Perten). Before usage, the whole grain flour was stored for 2 weeks at ~20 °C. Dough water absorption and development time were determined for each sample by mixing 10 g of flour, 0.2 g of NaCl, and water at 22 °C for 20 min in a microfarinograph (Brabender). For the baking test, 10 g of whole grain flour, 0.7 g of yeast, 0.2 g of NaCl, 0.2 g of sucrose, 0.1 g of coconut fat, 0.3 mL of ascorbic acid solution (0.67 g  $L^{-1}$ ), and water according to the determined water absorption were mixed in the microfarinograph, and the dough was kneaded according to the determined dough development time.<sup>47</sup> The dough was then weighed and allowed to ferment at 100% relative humidity at 30 °C for 20 min. After rounding, the dough was again allowed to ferment at 100% relative humidity at 29 °C for 35 min followed by baking at 120, 180, and 250 °C for 10 min. Loaf volume was measured in a Volscan Profiler 600 (Stable Micro Systems) 1 h after baking.

https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.9b07817 J. Agric. Food Chem. XXXX, XXX, XXX–XXX **2.6. Statistical Analysis.** The *F*-test was implemented with SAS (version 9.4) proc mixed and mean comparison with proc glimmix as previously described.<sup>7,38</sup>

The relationship between loaf volume and concentration of crude protein, GLIA, or GLUT was calculated by quadratic regression with SAS proc mixed under the following mixed model

$$\nu = \mu + CO_2 + Pp + CO_2 \times Pp + P \times Pp^2$$
$$+ CO_2 \times P \times Pp^2 + Y + R + N \times R + Y \times R$$

 $+ N \times Y \times R$ 

where v is loaf volume; p is crude protein, GLIA, or GLUT concentration;  $\mu$  is the overall *y*-intercept; CO<sub>2</sub> is the parameter of CO<sub>2</sub> treatment altering the *y*-intercept; *P* and *P* × *P* are the general parameters of crude protein, GLIA, or GLUT concentration; CO<sub>2</sub> × *P* and CO<sub>2</sub> × *P* × *P* are the parameters of CO<sub>2</sub> treatment altering the concentration parameters; *Y*, *R*, *N* × *R*, and *Y* × *R* are the random effect of year, main plot, subplot, and year × main plot interaction, respectively; and *N* × *Y* × *R* is the residual error.

#### 3. RESULTS

**3.1. Concentration of Nutrients and N-to-S Ratio of Wheat Grain.** Grain crude protein concentrations ranged from 7.7 to 13.5%, S concentrations from 1.03 to 1.44 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, Fe concentrations from 29.4 to 45.0 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, Zn concentrations from 19.9 to 26.6 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, and N-to-S ratios from 13.1 to 16.7. Crude protein and Zn concentration and N-to-S ratio significantly increased with increasing N supply in both years (Tables 1 and 2). The S concentration increased from the N deficiency (Nd) to the adequate N level (Nad), but

Table 2. Effect of the Two  $CO_2$  and Three N Levels on Grain Crude Protein, S, Fe, and Zn Concentrations and on Grain N-to-S Ratio<sup>*a,b*</sup>

		2014			2015	
	Nd	Na	Ne	Nd	Na	Ne
		Crude P	rotein Co	nc (%)		
$a[CO_2]$	7.9 c	10.6 A b	12.3 a	9.1 c	12.6 A b	13.5 a
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	7.7 c	10.0 B b	11.9 a	9.0 c	11.9 B b	12.9 a
$\Delta$ (%)	-2	-6	-4	-1	-6	-4
		S C	onc (mg g	; <sup>-1</sup> )		
$a[CO_2]$	1.07 b	1.29 a	1.37 a	1.16 b	1.41 a	1.44 a
$e[CO_2]$	1.03 b	1.26 a	1.32 a	1.17 b	1.38 a	1.40 a
$\Delta$ (%)	-3	-3	-4	1	-2	-2
		Fe Co	onc (mg k	$(g^{-1})$		
$a[CO_2]$	35.4 b	35.9 b	44.7 a	29.4 B	33.4	34.1
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	36.8 b	39.7 b	45.0 a	34.7 A	30.5	32.7
$\Delta$ (%)	4	11	1	18	-9	-4
		Zn C	onc (mg l	(g <sup>-1</sup> )		
$a[CO_2]$	19.9 c	21.0 b	23.1 a	20.0 c	21.5 b	25.0 a
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	19.9 c	23.1 b	26.6 a	19.9 c	21.1 b	24.2 a
$\Delta$ (%)	0	10	15	0	-2	-3
		1	N/S Ratio			
$a[CO_2]$	13.1 c	14.4 b	15.8 a	13.9 c	15.7 b	16.7 a
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	13.2 c	13.9 b	15.8 a	13.5 c	15.2 b	16.2 a
$\Delta$ (%)	1	-3	0	-3	-4	-3

<sup>a</sup>Mean values (n = 3) and the percentage effect of  $e[CO_2] [\Delta (\%)]$ are shown. Different small letters indicate significant differences among the marginal means (mean over the two  $CO_2$  treatments) of the N levels within each year. Different capital letters indicate significant differences between the means of the  $CO_2$  treatments within each N level. <sup>b</sup>N treatments: Nd = N deficiency, Nad = adequate N supply, Nex = excess N supply. further rises in excess N supply (Nex) did not result in further increases. Fe concentration was increased under Nex compared with Nd and Nad in 2014. Crude protein and S concentrations were significantly increased by 14 and 9%, respectively, in 2015 compared with 2014, whereas Fe concentration was increased (23%) in 2014.

A correlation was found between mean crude protein content per grain and that of S ( $r^2 = 0.62$ , P < 0.001) but not between mean protein content per grain and that of Fe or Zn (Figure S1).

 $e[CO_2]$  significantly (P < 0.05) decreased crude protein concentration in both years on average by 6% under Nad (Table 2). Fe concentration was significantly (P < 0.05) increased by  $e[CO_2]$  (18%) under Nd in 2015, but otherwise, it was unaffected. A significant (P < 0.1)  $CO_2 \times$  year interaction was detected for Zn concentration; this was attributable to increased values in 2014 compared with 2015 under  $e[CO_2]$  and to no difference between the two years under ambient  $[CO_2]$ . Neither S concentration nor N-to-S ratio was significantly influenced by  $e[CO_2]$ .

The grain yields of S, Fe, and Zn were increased by  $e[CO_2]$  under all N levels by 7–37% (Table S1), but these increases were only significant for Fe (Table S2).

**3.2.** Concentration of Protein Fractions of Wheat Grain. ALGL concentrations ranged from 37.7 to 52.3 mg g<sup>-1</sup>, GLIA concentrations from 15.3 to 37.6 mg g<sup>-1</sup>, GLUT concentrations from 17.3 to 43.2 mg g<sup>-1</sup>, total gluten concentrations from 32.6 to 75.5 mg g<sup>-1</sup>, and GLIA-to-GLUT ratios from 0.8 to 1.1 (Table 3). The ALGL concentration was significantly increased by Nex in 2014 and increased with rising N supply in 2015 (Tables 1 and 3). The GLIA concentration increased with rising N supply in both years. The GLUT and total gluten concentrations increased with rising N level in 2014 but only increased for Nd to Nad in 2015. Over both years, the concentration of ALGL respectively increased by 16 and 10%, GLIA by 65 and 11%, and GLUT by 56 and 10% for Nd to Nad and from Nad to Nex. The GLIA (16%) and GLUT (25%) concentrations were increased in 2015 compared with 2014.

 $e[CO_2]$  significantly (P < 0.1) decreased the ALGL concentration in both years on average by 1, 3, and 3% and total gluten concentration by 7, 7, and 3% under Nd, Nad, and Nex, respectively (Tables 1 and 3). The GLIA-to-GLUT ratio was significantly (P < 0.1) increased in both years on average by 16% under Nad because of the decrease of the GLUT concentration.

**3.3. Baking Quality.** Dough water absorption and loaf volume significantly increased with rising N supply in 2014 and increased only from Nd to Nad in 2015 (Tables 1 and 4). The largest difference in loaf volume was detected between the Nd and Nad levels in 2014: loaf volume was increased by 51% under Nad. Dough development time was significantly increased under Nd compared with Nad and Nex for both years.

 $e[CO_2]$  slightly but significantly (P < 0.1) decreased dough water absorption under all N levels (by 1–3%) in 2015 (Table 4). However, dough development time and loaf volume were unaffected.

**3.4.** Proteomics of Wheat Grain. The Nd and  $a[CO_2]$  treatment of 2014 and the Nad treatment with  $a[CO_2]$  and  $e[CO_2]$  of both years were used further, and 453 common protein spots were analyzed. The comparison between Nd and Nad in 2014 when loaf volume showed the largest difference

Table 3. Effect of the Two  $CO_2$  and Three N Levels on Grain Albumin/Globulin (ALGL), Gliadin (GLIA), Glutenin (GLUT), and Total Gluten Concentrations and on GLIA-to-GLUT Ratio<sup>*a,b*</sup>

		2014		2015			
	Nd	Na	Ne	Nd	Na	Ne	
		ALGL	Conc (mg	g <sup>-1</sup> )			
a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	41.9 b	44.5 b	49.7 a	37.9 c	48.9 b	52.3 a	
$e[CO_2]$	41.2 b	43.8 b	49.2 a	37.7 c	46.6 b	50.0 a	
Δ (%)	-2	-2	-1	0	-5	-4	
		GLIA	Conc (mg	g <sup>-1</sup> )			
$a[CO_2]$	18.1 c	28.6 b	33.3 a	21.1 c	32.3 b	37.6 a	
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	15.3 c	28.0 b	31.0 a	19.6 c	33.6 b	34.5 a	
$\Delta$ (%)	-16	-2	-7	-7	4	-8	
		GLUT	Conc (mg	$(g^{-1})$			
$a[CO_2]$	18.7 c	30.0 b	37.2 a	25.6 b	43.2 a	37.3 a	
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	17.3 c	26.4 b	36.5 a	25.8 b	36.5 a	39.3 a	
$\Delta$ (%)	-8	-12	-2	1	-16	5	
		Gluten	Conc (mg	$(g^{-1})^{c}$			
$a[CO_2]$	36.8 c	58.5 b	70.5 a	46.7 b	75.5 a	74.9 a	
$e[CO_2]$	32.6 c	54.3 b	67.4 a	45.4 b	70.0 a	73.8 a	
$\Delta$ (%)	-12	-7	-4	-3	-7	-2	
		GLIA	/GLUT R	atio			
$a[CO_2]$	0.969	0.964 B	0.901	0.824	0.765 B	1.02	
$e[CO_2]$	0.879	1.06 A	0.861	0.765	0.934 A	0.883	
$\Delta$ (%)	-9	10	-4	-7	22	-14	

<sup>*a*</sup>Mean values (n = 3) and the percentage effect of  $e[CO_2] [\Delta (\%)]$  are shown. Different small letters indicate significant differences among the marginal means of the N levels within each year. Different capital letters indicate significant differences between the means of the CO<sub>2</sub> treatments within each N level. <sup>*b*</sup>N treatments: Nd = N deficiency, Nad = adequate N supply, Nex = excess N supply. <sup>*c*</sup>Sum of GLIA and GLUT concentration.

Table 4. Effect of the Two  $CO_2$  and Three N Levels on Dough Water Absorption and Development Time<sup>*a*,*b*</sup>

		2014		2015				
	Nd	Na	Ne	Nd	Na	Ne		
		Water	Absorption	n (mL)				
$a[CO_2]$	5.67 c	6.54 b	6.80 a	5.84 b	6.66 a	6.74 a		
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	5.64 c	6.45 b	6.79 a	5.78 b	6.53 a	6.57 a		
$\Delta$ (%)	0	-1	0	-1	-2	-3		
		Develop	pment Tim	e (min)				
a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	10.9 a	6.23 b	4.70 b	7.33 a	5.03 b	5.47 ab		
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	9.30 a	6.87 b	5.07 b	7.00 a	5.70 b	5.80 ab		
$\Delta$ (%)	-15	10	8	-5	13	6		
		Loa	f Volume (	mL)				
a[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	23.3 c	35.4 b	37.2 a	27.7 b	35.1 a	36.2 a		
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	22.8 c	34.3 b	38.3 a	27.5 b	35.8 a	35.1 a		
$\Delta$ (%)	-2	-3	3	-1	2	-3		

"Mean values (n = 3) and the percentage effect of  $e[CO_2] [\Delta (\%)]$ are shown. Different small letters indicate significant differences among the marginal means of the N levels within each year. <sup>b</sup>N treatments: Nd = N deficiency, Nad = adequate N supply, Nex = excess N supply.

revealed that the increased N supply resulted in the increased expression of 48 protein spots (20 with an increase of >50%) (Figure 1) and decreased the expression of 117 spots (54 with a decrease of >50%). Under Nad, 127 protein spots were found with increased intensity (71 with an increase of >50%)



**Figure 1.** Exemplary two-dimensional gel image of proteins from plants grown under the adequate N level (Nad) in 2014. Black lines indicate the protein spots that were increased by increasing N supply from Nd to Nad. Red lines indicate the spots that were downregulated by  $e[CO_2]$ , and green lines indicate those that were upregulated. Spot descriptions for which the  $e[CO_2]$  effect was >50% are in bold. The numbers from the left to the right indicate pH values of the isoelectric point, and numbers from top down indicate molecular mass (kDa). The descriptions on the right indicate the positions at which gliadins ( $\omega$ ,  $\alpha$ , and  $\gamma$ ) and glutenins (HMW-glutenin subunits and LMW-glutenin subunits) are expected to lie according to Belitz et al.<sup>21</sup>

and 62 spots showed decreased expression (8 with a decrease of >50%) in 2015 compared with 2014.

 $e[CO_2]$  increased the expression of 4 protein spots (1 with an increase of >50%) and decreased that of 3 spots (2 with a decrease of >50%) in 2014 (Figure 1 and Table 5). In 2015, e[CO<sub>2</sub>] increased 13 protein spots and decreased 16 spots (5 with a decrease of >50%) (Figure 2 and Table 5). Seven out of the 36 protein spots that were influenced by  $e[CO_2]$  also increased under an increased N supply from Nd to Nad (Table 5) and, thus, might have an impact on baking quality. These spots comprised D1 (unidentified), D10, D11, D14 (identified as protein with cupin domain), D13, and D18 (identified as 12 S seed storage protein) that decreased (by 5-71%) and U10 (identified as ATP synthase subunit) that increased under e[CO<sub>2</sub>] (Table 5). Nineteen out of the 36 spots altered by  $e[CO_2]$  were also influenced by the year of the experiment. Thereby, 10 of these proteins were increased and 9 were decreased in 2015 compared with 2014.

Proteins with functions in storage, metabolism, and stress response were detected in 28 out of the 36 protein spots that were influenced by  $e[CO_2]$  (Table 5). As storage proteins, cupin-domain-containing proteins were detected in 12 protein spots with decreased intensity (D4–D12, D14–D16) and in two spots with increased intensity (U1 and U16). 12S seed storage protein was detected in 3 spots with decreased intensity (D13, D17, and D18). The cupin-domain-containing

pubs.acs.org/JAFC

Article

### Table 5. Effect of CO<sub>2</sub> and N Level and Year on Wheat Grain Proteome<sup>*a,d*</sup>

spot ID	year	relative volume a $[CO_2]$	% e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	% N	% year	detected protein	possible function
D1	2014	0.103	-71*	152*** (18)		not identified <sup>b</sup>	
D2		0.032	-55*		32*	not identified <sup>c</sup>	
D3		0.054	-46*		34*	not identified <sup>c</sup>	
U1		0.156	23**			cupin-domain-containing protein	storage
U2		0.060	56**			ATP synthase subunit $\beta$	metabolism
U3		0.286	15*		-25***	serine protease inhibitor	stress response
U4		0.281	31**		-71***	not identified <sup>b</sup>	
D4	2015	0.089	-68*			cupin-domain-containing protein	storage
D5		0.037	-75*			cupin-domain-containing protein	storage
D6		0.035	-127*			cupin-domain-containing protein	storage
D7		0.066	-45*			cupin-domain-containing protein	storage
D8		0.022	-121*			cupin-domain-containing protein	storage
D9		0.023	-97*			cupin-domain-containing protein	storage
D10		0.192	-43**	54*** (22)	-39***	cupin-domain-containing protein	storage
D11		0.258	-34**	21* (23)	-19**	cupin-domain-containing protein	storage
D12		0.191	-8*		$-27^{***}$	cupin-domain-containing protein	storage
D13		0.198	-25**	34*** (21)	-18*	12S seed storage protein	storage
D14		0.221	-19*	23* (24)	22**	cupin-domain-containing protein	storage
D15		0.257	-13*		33***	cupin-domain-containing protein	storage
D16		0.472	-16*		20**	cupin-domain-containing protein	storage
D17		0.567	-9*			12S seed storage protein	storage
						heat shock protein 70kDa	stress response
						elongation factor 1- $\gamma$	protein synthesis
D18		1.178	-5*	20* (40)		12S seed storage protein	storage
D19		1.095	-13*			ATP synthase $\beta$ subunit	metabolism
						mitochondrial carrier protein	metabolism
U5		0.104	35**			$\beta$ amylase	metabolism
U6		0.042	63**		53**	cot identified <sup>c</sup>	
U7		0.206	19*		-29***	stress responsive protein	stress response
U8		0.038	40*			not identified <sup>c</sup>	
U9		0.186	16*		-29***	glucose and ribitol dehydrogenase homolog 1-related	metabolism
U10		1.053	10*	26*** (47)	24***	ATP synthase $\alpha$ subunit	metabolism
U11		0.042	29*			ATP synthase $\beta$ subunit	metabolism
U12		0.242	24*		-16*	triosephosphate isomerase, cytosolic	metabolism
U13		0.063	38***		102***	not identified <sup>c</sup>	
U14		0.284	21**			chitinase family protein precursor	stress response
U15		0.115	20*			ATP synthase $\beta$ subunit	metabolism
U16		0.388	18*		38***	ATP synthase $\beta$ subunit	metabolism
						ATP synthase $\alpha$ subunit	metabolism
						cupin-domain-containing protein	storage
U17		0.090	26*		47*	not identified <sup>c</sup>	

<sup>*a*</sup>Protein spots that were found to be influenced by  $e[CO_2]$  under Nad with mean relative spot volume at ambient  $[CO_2]$  and the percentage effect of  $e[CO_2]$  (%  $e[CO_2]$ ), increasing N supply from Nd to Nad in 2014 (% N) and year (% year) are shown. The numbers in brackets in the fifth column are the numbers of the upregulated protein spots by increased N supply shown in Figure 1. Stars indicate the *t*-test result with regard to mean relative spot volume. Proteins detected by means of tandem mass spectrometry are shown. <sup>*b*</sup>Not identified by tandem mass spectrometry. <sup>*c*</sup>Protein spot was not excised <sup>*d*</sup>\**P* < 0.05, \*\**P* < 0.01, \*\*\**P* < 0.001.

proteins showed most similarity with globulin-3 (80%) and the 12S seed storage proteins with globulin-1 (99%) based on a BLAST search of the NCBI database. ATP synthase was detected in 5 spots with increased intensity (U2, U10, U11, U15, and U16). Other metabolic proteins affected were  $\beta$  amylase (U5), glucose and the ribitol dehydrogenase homolog (U9), and triose phosphate isomerase (U12). A mitochondrial carrier protein was found in a spot with decreased intensity (D19). Serine protease inhibitor (U3), stress responsive protein (U7), chitinase family protein precursor (U14), and heat shock protein 70 kDa (D17) were detected as proteins related to stress response.

**3.5. Relationship between Protein Concentration of Grain and Baking Quality.** Figures 3 and 4 show the regression of loaf volume on crude protein concentration and the regression of loaf volume on GLIA and GLUT concentrations, respectively. These relationships corresponded more to a quadratic function (crude protein,  $r^2 = 0.92$ ; GLIA,  $r^2 = 0.87$ ; GLUT,  $r^2 = 0.83$ ), with the increase of loaf volume at a maximum level and a subsequent decline, rather than to a linear function (crude protein,  $r^2 = 0.77$ ; GLIA,  $r^2 = 0.75$ ; GLUT,  $r^2 = 0.67$ ).

 $e[CO_2]$  significantly (P < 0.1) altered the course of the regression curve of loaf volume on crude protein concentration (Figure 3) by decreasing the protein concentration for



**Figure 2.** Exemplary two-dimensional gel image of proteins in plants grown under Nad in 2015. Red lines indicate the protein spots that were downregulated by  $e[CO_2]$ , and green lines indicate those that were upregulated. Spot descriptions for which the  $e[CO_2]$  effect was >50% are in bold. Numbers from the left to the right indicate pH values of the isoelectric point, and numbers from top down indicate molecular mass (kDa). The descriptions on the right indicate the positions where gliadins ( $\omega$ ,  $\alpha$ , and  $\gamma$ ) and glutenins (HMW-glutenin subunits and LMW-glutenin subunits) are expected to lie according to Belitz et al.<sup>21</sup>



**Figure 3.** Relationship between loaf volume and crude protein concentration. The curves derive from the quadratic regression model described in 2.6. Circles indicate the values of 2014, and squares indicate those of 2015. The vertical lines indicate maximum loaf volume derived from the regression. The diagram includes the statistical results of the parameters of the regression model; CP stands for crude protein concentration. <sup>(\*)</sup>*P* < 0.1 \*\*\**P* < 0.001.

maximum loaf volume from 13.0 to 12.1%.  $e[CO_2]$  did not influence the course of the regression curve of loaf volume on GLIA or GLUT concentrations (Figure 4).



**Figure 4.** Relationship between loaf volume and gliadin and glutenin concentrations, respectively. The curves derive from the quadratic regression model described in 2.6. The diagram includes the statistical results of the parameters of the regression model; Gli and Glu stand for gliadin and glutenin concentrations, respectively. \*\*\*P < 0.001.

#### 4. DISCUSSION

In the present study, interactions between  $e[CO_2]$  and widely differing N fertilizer levels on the important chemical grain quality traits of gluten concentration and composition, baking quality, and Fe and Zn concentrations were investigated in winter wheat grown under German conditions. Furthermore, the  $e[CO_2]$  effect on the grain proteome was analyzed under an adequate N supply. Specifically, it was tested whether  $e[CO_2]$  affected gluten proteins and thereby decreased baking quality and whether  $e[CO_2]$  decreased grain Fe and Zn concentrations.

4.1. Grain Proteome. Increasing N supply increased ALGL, GLIA, and GLUT concentrations with a stronger effect on the gluten fractions than on ALGL. This result agrees with those of Triboi et al.48 and partly with those of Martre et al.22 who have found that the grain accumulation of gluten proteins is regulated by the N source size (i.e., vegetative N at anthesis and availability of N in soil at grain filling), but that the grain accumulation of ALGL is regulated by the N sink size of the grains. However, the ALGL concentration and proteins with a cupin domain showing most similarity with globulin-3 were strongly increased by increased N supply. This result suggests a strongly increased sink demand for N by increased N supply or source regulation for some globulin-like proteins. The high ALGL concentration under Nex might also be associated with the lower individual grain weight under this N level compared with Nd and Nad," implying a smaller dilution of ALGL by grain growth.

https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.9b07817 J. Agric. Food Chem. XXXX, XXX, XXX–XXX  $e[CO_2]$  reduced crude protein concentration in grain by 6% under Nad and by 4% under Nex, results that are consistent with those from other FACE studies (e.g.<sup>10,24,49</sup>). However, the slight decrease under Nd (by 1%) contrasts with FACE studies under subtropical and semiarid conditions in which a strong decrease of the protein concentration (by 9–10%) was found under N deficiency.<sup>26,50</sup> A possible reason for the differing results is larger soil N availability per grain during grain filling in the present study because of a different timing in the main N supply from mineralization. Mineralization depends on temperature, and under a warm climate, main mineralization very likely occurs before anthesis mainly influencing growth, but under moderate climate, it occurs after anthesis influencing grain N supply.

The decrease of crude protein concentration by  $e[CO_2]$  was associated with a decrease of gluten proteins, although the ALGL concentration was shown to be unaffected in previous FACE studies.<sup>4,19,23,24,29,30</sup> For instance, a strong decrease of HMW-GS,<sup>19,30</sup> which is particularly important for baking quality,<sup>51</sup> of all GLIA fractions (by 8-26%),<sup>24</sup> and all GLIA types ( $\omega$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$ ) and GLUT subunits (HMW-GS and LMW-GS) (by 12-35%)<sup>23</sup> have been found. In the present study, however, apart from a slight decrease of total gluten concentration under all N levels and that of GLUT under Nad, no e[CO<sub>2</sub>] effects on concentration and composition of gluten proteins were detected. Nevertheless, an unidentified protein spot (D1) that might be important for baking quality was strongly decreased by e[CO<sub>2</sub>]. This protein spot might be important because of its increased expression under the increased N supply from Nd to Nad and its closest link to loaf volume by cluster analysis (Figure S2). e[CO<sub>2</sub>] affected the total amount of ALGL as indicated by the decrease of the ALGL concentration and the decrease of several proteins that showed most similarity to globulin-1 or globulin-3. This result contrasts with previous FACE studies.<sup>4,23,24</sup>

The contrasting results between the present study and that of Wieser et al.<sup>23</sup> in which  $e[CO_2]$  strongly affected gluten proteins in the same cultivar grown at the same field site as in the present study might be explained by differences in soil S availability. Whereas the grain N-to-S ratio ranged from 13 to 17 in the present study, it was 23–26 in our former FACE experiment.<sup>10</sup> An N-to-S ratio above 17 indicates an S deficiency in the wheat plant and can lead not only to a strong decrease of S-rich  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ -GLIA and LMW-GS but also to a decrease of S-poor HMW-GS.<sup>52</sup> Thus, S deficiency possibly exacerbated the decline of gluten proteins by  $e[CO_2]$ in the former FACE experiment.<sup>23</sup>

The number of protein spots that were decreased under  $e[CO_2]$  differed between the two years, indicating a rather unspecific proteome response to  $e[CO_2]$ , and thus a large environmental influence on this response. This  $CO_2 \times$  year interaction might be associated with increased mean N content per grain (by 13%) in 2015 compared with 2014.<sup>38</sup> Nevertheless, the proteins affected by  $e[CO_2]$  were mainly GLUT and proteins with a cupin domain, all of which preferentially accumulate at late grain filling.<sup>48,53</sup> Thus, a reducing effect of  $e[CO_2]$  on the grain proteome might primarily occur at late grain filling.

Among the proteins that increased under  $e[CO_2]$ , subunits of ATPase were most frequently detected (in one protein spot in 2014 and in 5 spots in 2015). Subunits of ATPase and enzymes of glycolysis are involved in the energy supply for starch synthesis.<sup>54</sup> In the present experiment,  $e[CO_2]$  increased individual grain weight<sup>7</sup> and the rate of grain filling (data not shown). Thus, the increased abundance of ATPase subunits and the enzyme triosephosphate isomerase of glycolysis might be associated with the  $e[CO_2]$  effect on individual grain growth. Consistent with other FACE studies,<sup>19,30</sup> the expression of stress-related proteins such as serine protease inhibitor and chitinase-related protein was increased under  $e[CO_2]$ , although the extent of the increase was much lower in the present study. For instance, the increase of the serine protease inhibitor was more than 10 times greater in the study of Högy et al.<sup>30</sup> than in the present study.

**4.2. Baking Quality.** Loaf volume increased with increasing crude protein concentration in a curvilinear manner with a maximum at a concentration of 13% at a  $[CO_2]$ . This result is consistent with the study of Gabriel et al.<sup>55</sup> who found similar curvilinear trends for 7 out of 13 German wheat cultivars, of which some showed relative large loaf volume at low protein concentration. However, the relationship was linear for the other cultivars. The curvilinear relationship between loaf volume and protein concentration can be attributed to the curvilinear relationships between loaf volume and GLIA or GLUT concentrations (Figure 4), indicating a change of GLIA and GLUT compositions with increasing concentration.

e[CO<sub>2</sub>] did not affect loaf volume, despite decreased crude protein and gluten concentrations. This result is consistent with another German FACE study,<sup>29</sup> but contrasts with Australian FACE studies under semiarid conditions in which decreases of loaf volume from 5 to 17% were found.<sup>12,18,19,28</sup> These contrasting results might be explained by a strong linear relationship existing between loaf volume and protein concentration for Australian cultivars compared with the curvilinear relationship found in the present study. Different water availability seems unlikely to be a reason for the different e[CO<sub>2</sub>] effect on baking quality between the present and Australian studies, as loaf volume was also unaffected by e[CO<sub>2</sub>] in a drought stress treatment in the present study (data not shown). Interestingly, the relationship between loaf volume and protein concentration was slightly influenced by e[CO<sub>2</sub>], decreasing the crude protein concentration for maximum loaf volume from 13 to 12%. An explanation might be the increased GLIA-to-GLUT ratio toward the value of one under Nad. In summary, the use of cultivars showing relatively large loaf volume at low protein concentration and a curvilinear relationship between these variables might be a measure for maintaining baking quality under future e[CO<sub>2</sub>].

**4.3. Grain Iron, Zinc, and Sulfur Concentrations.** Grain Fe, Zn, and S concentrations increased with an increasing N level, a result that is consistent with those of other studies.<sup>35,56</sup> These increases might be attributed to several factors, including increased levels of enzymes and chelates involved in mineral uptake and transport, increased grain sink size for minerals, or association with N remobilization.<sup>35,37,56</sup> However, no correlation between mean protein content per grain and that of Fe and Zn was detected, indicating no necessary association between the remobilization of N and these minerals in winter wheat. A reason for the year effect on grain Fe concentration might be increased bioavailability of Fe in the soil during 2014 compared with 2015.

 $e[CO_2]$  did not affect grain Fe, Zn, and S concentrations but increased the Fe concentration under Nd. In other European FACE studies, Fe,<sup>31</sup> Fe and Zn,<sup>10</sup> and Zn and S concentrations<sup>4,24</sup> were also unaffected, but occasional decreases of Fe (6%),<sup>24</sup> Zn (11%),<sup>31</sup> and S (5%) concentrations<sup>10</sup> were found. In contrast, consistent decreases of Fe, Zn, and S concentrations in wheat (4–17%) were detected in Australian FACE studies.<sup>11–14</sup>

The present study indicates that decreases of Fe, Zn, and S concentrations are not a general response to  $e[CO_2]$ . Moreover, the absence of a correlation between mean protein content per grain and that of Fe and Zn suggests no association between e[CO<sub>2</sub>]-induced decline in grain protein content and these minerals. However, a decline in grain S content could be associated with an e[CO<sub>2</sub>] induced decline in the protein content because of the correlation between the S and protein content per grain. The more consistent decrease of Fe, Zn, and S concentrations in Australian FACE studies under semi-arid conditions compared with the European studies without severe drought stress might be explained by the stronger grain yield increase in the former  $(38-59\%)^{6,11}$  than in the latter studies  $(10-21\%)^{.4,5,7,31}$  Moreover, decreases of Fe and Zn concentrations often seemed to occur at high Fe and Zn contents. For instance, Verrillo et al.<sup>31</sup> found a reduction of Zn concentration of 18% at 35 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> but only of 3% at 22 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, and Högy et al.<sup>24</sup> found a decreased Fe concentration (6%) at 45 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. In comparison, mean Fe and Zn concentrations were 36 and 22 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, in the present study.

Fe and Zn yields were increased by  $e[CO_2]$  in the present (Table S1) and in another FACE study.<sup>12</sup> Thus, besides possibly decreased concentrations, increased grain Fe and Zn yields and N yields<sup>38,49</sup> should be taken into account in assessing the impacts of future  $e[CO_2]$  on human nutrition.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jafc.9b07817.

Regression of mean content per grain of S, Fe, and Zn on mean crude protein content per grain based on all  $CO_2 \times N \times$  year combinations; effect of the two  $CO_2$ and three N levels on grain yield of S, Fe, and Zn and their *F*-test result; and cluster analysis based on the relative protein spot volume of the protein spots increased by the rising N level from Nd to Nad in 2014 and the loaf volume of the Nd and Nad N level of 2014 (PDF)

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

Markus Dier – Institute of Crop Science, Quality of Plant Products, University of Hohenheim, D-70599 Stuttgart, Germany; Thünen Institute of Biodiversity, D-38116 Braunschweig, Germany; o orcid.org/0000-0002-6620-3797; Phone: +49 176 52262103; Email: markus.dier@unihohenheim.de

#### Authors

Liane Hüther – Institute of Animal Nutrition, Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut, Federal Research Institute for Animal Health, D-38116 Braunschweig, Germany

Waltraud X. Schulze – Department of Plant Systems Biology, University of Hohenheim, D-70593 Stuttgart, Germany

Martin Erbs – German Agricultural Research Alliance— Deutsche Agrarforschungsallianz (DAFA), D-38116 Braunschweig, Germany; Thünen Institute of Biodiversity, D-38116 Braunschweig, Germany

- Peter Köhler Biotask AG, D-73728 Esslingen, Germany; orcid.org/0000-0001-7766-9181
- Hans-Joachim Weigel Thünen Institute of Biodiversity, D-38116 Braunschweig, Germany
- **Remy Manderscheid** Thünen Institute of Biodiversity, D-38116 Braunschweig, Germany
- **Christian Zörb** Institute of Crop Science, Quality of Plant Products, University of Hohenheim, D-70599 Stuttgart, Germany

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.9b07817

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

P. Braunisch, A. Fuehrer, A. Kremling, A. Luig, E. Schummer, R. Staudte, C. Trenkler, and the experimental station of the Friedrich-Loeffler Institute are acknowledged for excellent technical assistance with the FACE experiment. We are grateful to Katharina Schiesser for excellent technical assistance in the baking test and Arne Heidkamp for measuring S concentrations. We thank Christiane Beierle, Julia Müller, and Lena Werner for outstanding technical assistance in the grain proteomics. This work was partly funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (grant nos. MA-1736/5-1 and ZO-118/11-1).

#### REFERENCES

(1) Shewry, P. R.; Hey, S. J. The contribution of wheat to human diet and health. *Food Energy Secur.* 2015, *4*, 178–202.

(2) Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). FAOSTAT. Suite of Food Security Indicators; FAO: Rome, Italy http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/FS (accessed September 15, 2019).

(3) IPCC Climate Change. The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, U.K. and New York, U.S.A., 2013.

(4) Högy, P.; Wieser, H.; Köhler, P.; Schwadorf, K.; Breuer, J.; Franzaring, J.; Muntifering, R.; Fangmeier, A. Effects of elevated  $CO_2$  on grain yield and quality of wheat: Results from a 3-year free-air  $CO_2$  enrichment experiment. *Plant Biol.* **2009**, *11*, 60–69.

(5) Weigel, H.-J.; Manderscheid, R. Crop growth responses to free air  $CO_2$  enrichment and nitrogen fertilization: Rotating barley, ryegrass, sugar beet and wheat. *Eur. J. Agron.* **2012**, *43*, 97–107.

(6) Fitzgerald, G. J.; Tausz, M.; O'Leary, G.; Mollah, M. R.; Tausz-Posch, S.; Seneweera, S.; Mock, I.; Löw, M.; Partington, D. L.; McNeil, D.; Norton, R. M. Elevated atmospheric  $[CO_2]$  can dramatically increase wheat yields in semi-arid environments and buffer against heat waves. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **2016**, *22*, 2269–2284.

(7) Dier, M.; Sickora, J.; Erbs, M.; Weigel, H.-J.; Zörb, C.; Manderscheid, R. Decreased wheat grain yield stimulation by Free air  $CO_2$  Enrichment under N deficiency is strongly related to decreased radiation use efficiency enhancement. *Eur. J. Agron.* **2018**, *101*, 38– 48.

(8) Ainsworth, E. A.; Long, S. P. What have we learned from 15 years of free-air  $CO_2$  enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising  $CO_2$ . New Phytol. **2004**, 165, 351–371.

(9) Manderscheid, R.; Dier, M.; Erbs, M.; Sickora, J.; Weigel, H.-J. Nitrogen supply–A determinant in water use efficiency of winter

I

https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.9b07817 J. Agric. Food Chem. XXXX, XXX, XXX–XXX

wheat grown under free air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. Agric. Water Manag. 2018, 210, 70–77.

(10) Erbs, M.; Manderscheid, R.; Jansen, G.; Seddig, S.; Pacholski, A.; Weigel, H.-J. Effects of free-air  $CO_2$  enrichment and nitrogen supply on grain quality parameters and elemental composition of wheat and barley grown in a crop rotation. *Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.* **2010**, *136*, 59–68.

(11) Fernando, N.; Panozzo, J.; Tausz, M.; Norton, R.; Fitzgerald, G.; Seneweera, S. Rising atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration affects mineral nutrient and protein concentration of wheat grain. *Food Chem.* **2012**, *133*, 1307–1311.

(12) Fernando, N.; Panozzo, J.; Tausz, M.; Norton, R. M.; Fitzgerald, G. J.; Myers, S.; Walker, C.; Stangoulis, J.; Seneweera, S. Wheat grain quality under increasing atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentrations in a semi-arid cropping system. J. Cereal. Sci. **2012**, 56, 684–690.

(13) Fernando, N.; Panozzo, J.; Tausz, M.; Norton, R. M.; Neumann, N.; Fitzgerald, G. J.; Seneweera, S. Elevated  $CO_2$  alters grain quality of two bread wheat cultivars grown under different environmental conditions. *Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.* **2014**, *185*, 24–33.

(14) Myers, S. S.; Zanobetti, A.; Kloog, I.; Huybers, P.; Leakey, A. D. B.; Bloom, A. J.; Carlisle, E.; Dietterich, L. H.; Fitzgerald, G.; Hasegawa, T.; Holbrook, N. M.; Nelson, R. L.; Ottman, M. J.; Raboy, V.; Sakai, H.; Sartor, K. A.; Schwartz, J.; Seneweera, S.; Tausz, M.; Usui, Y. Increasing  $CO_2$  threatens human nutrition. *Nature* **2014**, *510*, 139–142.

(15) Asseng, S.; Martre, P.; Maiorano, A.; Rötter, R. P.; O'Leary, G. J.; Fitzgerald, G. J.; Girousse, C.; Motzo, R.; Giunta, F.; Babar, M. A.; Reynolds, M. P.; Kheir, A. M. S.; Thorburn, P. J.; Waha, K.; Ruane, A. C.; Aggarwal, P. K.; Ahmed, M.; Balkovič, J.; Basso, B.; Biernath, C.; Bindi, M.; Cammarano, D.; Challinor, A. J.; De Sanctis, G.; Dumont, B.; Eyshi Rezaei, E.; Fereres, E.; Ferrise, R.; Garcia-Vila, M.; Gayler, S.; Gao, Y.; Horan, H.; Hoogenboom, G.; Izaurralde, R. C.; Jabloun, M.; Jones, C. D.; Kassie, B. T.; Kersebaum, K.-C.; Klein, C.; Koehler, A. K.; Liu, B.; Minoli, S.; Montesino San Martin, M.; Müller, C.; Naresh Kumar, S.; Nendel, C.; Olesen, J. E.; Palosuo, T.; Porter, J. R.; Priesack, E.; Ripoche, D.; Semenov, M. A.; Stöckle, C.; Stratonovitch, P.; Streck, T.; Supit, I.; Tao, F.; Van der Velde, M.; Wallach, D.; Wang, E.; Webber, H.; Wolf, J.; Xiao, L.; Zhang, Z.; Zhao, Z.; Zhu, Y.; Ewert, F. Climate change impact and adaptation for wheat protein. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **2019**, *25*, 155–173.

(16) Smith, M. R.; Myers, S. S. Impact of anthropogenic  $CO_2$  emissions on global human nutrition. *Nat. Clim. Change* **2018**, *8*, 834–839.

(17) Weyant, C.; Brandeau, M. L.; Burke, M.; Lobell, D. B.; Bendavid, E.; Basu, S. Anticipated burden and mitigation of carbondioxide-induced nutritional deficiencies and related diseases: A simulation modeling study. *PLoS Med.* **2018**, *15*, No. e1002586.

(18) Panozzo, J. F.; Walker, C. K.; Partington, D. L.; Neumann, N. C.; Tausz, M.; Seneweera, S.; Fitzgerald, G. J. Elevated carbon dioxide changes grain protein concentration and composition and compromises baking quality. A FACE study. J. Cereal. Sci. 2014, 60, 461–470. (19) Fernando, N.; Panozzo, J.; Tausz, M.; Norton, R.; Fitzgerald, G.; Khan, A.; Seneweera, S. Rising CO<sub>2</sub> concentration altered wheat grain proteome and flour rheological characteristics. Food Chem. 2015, 170, 448–454.

(20) Wieser, H.; Seilmeier, W. The influence of nitrogen fertilisation on quantities and proportions of different protein types in wheat flour. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **1998**, *76*, 49–55.

(21) Belitz, H. D.; Grosch, W.; Schieberle, P. Getreide und Getreideprodukte. *Lehrbuch der Lebensmittelchemie*; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2007, Vol. 6; pp 691–716.

(22) Martre, P.; Porter, J. R.; Jamieson, P. D.; Triboï, E. Modeling grain nitrogen accumulation and protein composition to understand the sink/source regulations of nitrogen remobilization for wheat. *Plant Physiol.* **2003**, *133*, 1959–1967.

(23) Wieser, H.; Manderscheid, R.; Erbs, M.; Weigel, H.-J. Effects of elevated atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentrations on the quantitative protein composition of wheat grain. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2008**, *56*, 6531–6535.

(24) Högy, P.; Brunnbauer, M.; Koehler, P.; Schwadorf, K.; Breuer, J.; Franzaring, J.; Zhunusbayeva, D.; Fangmeier, A. Grain quality characteristics of spring wheat (Triticum aestivum) as affected by free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* **2013**, *88*, 11–18.

(25) Arachchige, P. M. S.; Ang, C. S.; Nicolas, M. E.; Panozzo, J.; Fitzgerald, G.; Hirotsu, N.; Seneweera, S. Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) grain proteome response to elevated  $[CO_2]$  varies between genotypes. J. Cereal. Sci. **2017**, 75, 151–157.

(26) Kimball, B. A.; Morris, C. F.; Pinter, P. J.; Wall, G. W.; Hunsaker, D. J.; Adamsen, F. J.; LaMorte, R. L.; Leavitt, S. W.; Thompson, T. L.; Matthias, A. D.; Brooks, T. J. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, drought and soil nitrogen effects on wheat grain quality. *New Phytol.* **2001**, *150*, 295–303.

(27) Soba, D.; Ben Mariem, S.; Fuertes-Mendizábal, T.; Méndez-Espinoza, A. M.; Gilard, F.; González-Murua, C.; Irigoyen, J. J.; Tcherkez, G.; Aranjuelo, I. Metabolic effects of elevated  $CO_2$  on wheat grain development and composition. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2019**, 67, 8441–8451.

(28) Walker, C. K.; Panozzo, J. F.; Békés, F.; Fitzgerald, G.; Tömösközi, S.; Török, K. Adaptive traits do not mitigate the decline in bread wheat quality under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *J. Cereal. Sci.* **2019**, *88*, 24–30.

(29) Högy, P.; Wieser, H.; Köhler, P.; Schwadorf, K.; Breuer, J.; Erbs, M.; Weber, S.; Fangmeier, A. Does elevated atmospheric  $CO_2$  allow for sufficient wheat grain quality in the future? *J. Appl. Bot. Food Qual.* **2009**, *82*, 114–121.

(30) Högy, P.; Zörb, C.; Langenkämper, G.; Betsche, T.; Fangmeier, A. Atmospheric  $CO_2$  enrichment changes the wheat grain proteome. *J. Cereal. Sci.* **2009**, *50*, 248–254.

(31) Verrillo, F.; Badeck, F.-W.; Terzi, V.; Rizza, F.; Bernardo, L.; Di Maro, A.; Fares, C.; Zaldei, A.; Miglietta, F.; Moschella, A.; Bracale, M.; Vannini, C. Elevated field atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentrations affect the characteristics of winter wheat (cv. Bologna) grains. *Crop Pasture Sci.* **2017**, *68*, 713–725.

(32) Waters, B. M.; Uauy, C.; Dubcovsky, J.; Grusak, M. A. Wheat (Triticum aestivum) NAM proteins regulate the translocation of iron, zinc, and nitrogen compounds from vegetative tissues to grain. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2009**, *60*, 4263–4274.

(33) Loladze, I. Rising atmospheric  $CO_2$  and human nutrition: toward globally imbalanced plant stoichiometry? *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **2002**, *17*, 457–461.

(34) McGrath, J. M.; Lobell, D. B. Reduction of transpiration and altered nutrient allocation contribute to nutrient decline of crops grown in elevated  $CO_2$  concentrations. *Plant Cell Environ.* **2013**, *36*, 697–705.

(35) Kutman, U. B.; Yildiz, B.; Ozturk, L.; Cakmak, I. Biofortification of durum wheat with zinc through soil and foliar applications of nitrogen. *Cereal Chem.* **2010**, *87*, 1–9.

(36) Uauy, C.; Distelfeld, A.; Fahima, T.; Blechl, A.; Dubcovsky, J. A NAC gene regulating senescence improves grain protein, zinc, and iron content in wheat. *Science* **2006**, *314*, 1298–1301.

(37) Havé, M.; Marmagne, A.; Chardon, F.; Masclaux-Daubresse, C. Nitrogen remobilization during leaf senescence: lessons from Arabidopsis to crops. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2017**, *68*, 2513–2529.

(38) Dier, M.; Sickora, J.; Erbs, M.; Weigel, H.-J.; Zörb, C.; Manderscheid, R. Positive effects of free air  $CO_2$  enrichment on N remobilization and post-anthesis N uptake in winter wheat. *F. Crop. Res.* **2019**, 234, 107–118.

(39) Verband Deutscher Landwirtschaftlicher Untersuchungs- und Forschungsanstalten [VDLUFA]. Die chemische Untersuchung von Futtermitteln. Handbuch der Landwirtschaftlichen Versuchs- und Untersuchungsmethodik (VDLUFA-Methodenbuch), Band III; VDLU-FA-Verlag: Darmstadt, 2012.

(40) Bradford, M. M. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal. Biochem.* **1976**, *72*, 248–254.

(41) Pflaum, T.; Konitzer, K.; Hofmann, T.; Koehler, P. Analytical and sensory studies on the release of sodium from wheat bread crumb. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2013**, *61*, 6485–6494.

https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.9b07817 J. Agric. Food Chem. XXXX, XXX, XXX–XXX

J

(42) Thanhaeuser, S. M.; Wieser, H.; Koehler, P. Spectrophotometric and fluorimetric quantitation of quality-related protein fractions of wheat flour. J. Cereal. Sci. 2015, 62, 58–65.

(43) Zörb, C.; Schmitt, S.; Neeb, A.; Karl, S.; Linder, M.; Schubert, S. The biochemical reaction of maize (Zea mays L.) to salt stress is characterized by a mitigation of symptoms and not by a specific adaptation. *Plant Sci.* **2004**, *167*, 91–100.

(44) Olsen, J. V.; Ong, S.-E.; Mann, M. Trypsin cleaves exclusively C-terminal to arginine and lysine residues. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **2004**, *3*, 608–614.

(45) Cox, J.; Mann, M. MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates, individualized p.p.b.-range mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein quantification. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2008**, *26*, 1367–1372.

(46) Cox, J.; Neuhauser, N.; Michalski, A.; Scheltema, R. A.; Olsen, J. V.; Mann, M. Andromeda: a peptide search engine integrated into the MaxQuant environment. *J. Proteome Res.* **2011**, *10*, 1794–1805.

(47) Thanhaeuser, S. M.; Wieser, H.; Koehler, P. Correlation of quality parameters with the baking performance of wheat flours. *Cereal Chem.* **2014**, *91*, 333–341.

(48) Triboi, E.; Martre, P.; Triboï-Blondel, A. M. Environmentallyinduced changes in protein composition in developing grains of wheat are related to changes in total protein content. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2003**, *54*, 1731–1742.

(49) Tausz, M.; Norton, R. M.; Tausz-Posch, S.; Löw, M.; Seneweera, S.; O'Leary, G.; Armstrong, R.; Fitzgerald, G. J. Can additional N fertiliser ameliorate the elevated  $CO_2$ -induced depression in grain and tissue N concentrations of wheat on a high soil N background? *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* **2017**, 203, 574–583.

(50) Walker, C.; Armstrong, R.; Panozzo, J.; Partington, D.; Fitzgerald, G. Can nitrogen fertiliser maintain wheat (Triticum aestivum) grain protein concentration in an elevated  $CO_2$  environment? *Soil Res* **2017**, *55*, 518–523.

(51) Shewry, P. R.; Popineau, Y.; Lafiandra, D.; Belton, P. Wheat glutenin subunits and dough elasticity: findings of the EUROWHEAT project. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **2000**, *11*, 433–441.

(52) Zörb, C.; Steinfurth, D.; Seling, S.; Langenkämper, G.; Koehler, P.; Wieser, H.; Lindhauer, M. G.; Mühling, K. H. Quantitative protein composition and baking quality of winter wheat as affected by late sulfur fertilization. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2009**, *57*, 3877–3885.

(53) Arena, S.; D'Ambrosio, C.; Vitale, M.; Mazzeo, F.; Mamone, G.; Di Stasio, L.; Maccaferri, M.; Curci, P. L.; Sonnante, G.; Zambrano, N.; Scaloni, A. Differential representation of albumins and globulins during grain development in durum wheat and its possible functional consequences. *J. Proteome Res.* **2017**, *162*, 86–98.

(54) Zhang, N.; Chen, F.; Cui, D. Proteomic analysis of middle and late stages of bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) grain development. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 735.

(55) Gabriel, D.; Pfitzner, C.; Haase, N. U.; Hüsken, A.; Prüfer, H.; Greef, J.-M.; Rühl, G. New strategies for a reliable assessment of baking quality of wheat – Rethinking the current indicator protein content. J. Cereal. Sci. 2017, 77, 126–134.

(56) Shi, R.; Zhang, Y.; Chen, X.; Sun, Q.; Zhang, F.; Römheld, V.; Zou, C. Influence of long-term nitrogen fertilization on micronutrient density in grain of winter wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). *J. Cereal. Sci.* **2010**, *51*, 165–170.

Κ



Figure S1: Regression of mean content per grain of S (a), Fe (b), and Zn (c) on mean crude protein content per grain. The values of all  $CO_2 \times N \times$  year treatments are shown. The diagram of the relationship between Fe and Zn content, respectively, and that of crude protein does not contain a regression line because of the lack of significant correlation.



**Figure S2:** Cluster analysis based on the relative protein spot volume and the loaf volume of the deficient (Nd) and adequate N level (Nad) of 2014. The protein spots that were increased by the rising N level from Nd to Nad were used. The numbers represent the protein spots with the same numbers shown in **Figure 1**. The clustering is based on the complete linkage method.

**Table S1:** Effect of the two CO<sub>2</sub> and three N levels on grain yield of S, Fe, and Zn. Mean values (n=3) and the percentage effect of  $e[CO_2] (\Delta (\%))$  are shown.

		2014			2015	
	Nd	Na	Ne	Nd	Na	Ne
S yield (g m <sup>-2</sup> )						
$a[CO_2]$	0.50	1.05	1.12	0.48	1.17	1.19
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	0.55	1.19	1.28	0.52	1.34	1.33
$\Delta$ (%)	9	13	14	8	14	11
Fe yield (mg $m^{-2}$ )						
$a[CO_2]$	16.8	29.3	36.6	12.3	27.8	28.3
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	19.4	37.7	43.7	15.3	29.7	31.0
$\Delta$ (%)	16	29	20	25	7	9
Zn yield (mg m <sup>-2</sup> )						
$a[CO_2]$	9.5	17.2	18.8	8.3	18.0	20.8
e[CO <sub>2</sub> ]	10.4	21.9	25.8	8.9	20.6	23.0
$\Delta$ (%)	10	27	37	7	14	10

Table S 2: F-test result of the effect of the two CO<sub>2</sub> and three N levels on grain yield of S, Fe and Zn

Variable	$CO_2$	Ν	Year (Y)	$\text{CO}_2\times\text{N}$	$\text{CO}_2\times\text{Y}$	$\mathbf{N}  imes \mathbf{Y}$	$CO_2 \times N \times Y$
S yield (g m <sup>-2</sup> )	ns	***	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Fe yield (mg m <sup>-2</sup> )	*	***	**	ns	ns	**	ns
Zn yield (mg m <sup>-2</sup> )	ns	***	ns	ns	(*)	ns	ns

(\*)P <0.1 \*P <0.05 \*\*P <0.01 \*\*\*P <0.001

## 6. Kapitel: Abschließende Diskussion

Ein Anstieg der atmosphärischen  $CO_2$ -Konzentration auf 500–620 ppm ist vorrausichtlich unvermeidlich und solch eine e[ $CO_2$ ] könnte das Wachstum und die Qualität von Nutzpflanzen stark verändern (Broberg et al., 2017, 2019). Während für Weizen Ertragssteigerungen erwartet werden, könnte die Nährstoffkonzentration, insbesondere die von N, Fe und Zn, im Korn abnehmen. Jedoch stammen die meisten Daten zur e[ $CO_2$ ]-Wirkung aus Kammer-Versuchen mit künstlicher Umgebung wie z.B. Klima- oder Open-Top-Kammern, wo ein beschränktes Wurzelwachstum bzw. verändertes Mikroklima eine e[ $CO_2$ ]-Wirkung stark modifizieren kann (Arp, 1991; Long et al, 2005). Dagegen gibt es immer noch wenige FACE-Versuche. Weiterhin ist der genaue Mechanismus der Abnahme der Konzentration von Nährstoffen durch e[ $CO_2$ ] unklar. Es könnten mehrere Prozesse gleichzeitig wirken, wobei das Auftreten eines Prozesses stark von Umweltfaktoren abhängen könnte. Auch könnten verschiedene Mechanismen unterschiedliche Auswirkungen auf die Ernährungssicherheit haben. Z.B. könnte ein Verdünnungseffekt (Loladze, 2002) mit höheren N-Erträgen (Fernando et al., 2014), aber eine Hemmung der  $NO_3$ -Assimilation mit geringeren N-Erträgen verbunden sein (Bloom, 2015).

Die N-Versorgung bestimmt maßgebend die Ertragsbildung und Kornqualität von Weizen und beeinflusst die  $e[CO_2]$ -Wirkung auf diese Merkmale (Kimball et al., 2001, 2002; Pleijel et al., 2019). Trotzdem gibt es kaum FACE-Versuche in denen CO<sub>2</sub> x N-Interaktionen auf die Bildung von Ertrag und Qualität bei Weizen untersucht wurden. Das erste Hauptziel dieser Doktorarbeit war die Prozessanalyse der Reduktion der Korn-N-Konzentration durch  $e[CO_2]$  bei verschiedenen N-Stufen (35–320 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) und N-Formen (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>--</sup> und NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+-</sup> betonte N-Düngung). Das Zweite Hauptziel war die Analyse der  $e[CO_2]$ -Wirkung auf die Kornprotein-Zusammensetzung und auf die Backqualität.

## 6.1 Einfluss der Quantität der N-Düngung auf die Ertragssteigerung durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>]

FACE-Studien mit Weizen und verschiedenen N-Stufen wurden bisher bei gemäßigtem Klima in Deutschland (Weigel und Manderscheid, 2012), bei subtropischem Klima in Arizona und China ohne starken Trockenstress (Kimball et al., 2001; Kou et al., 2007; Ma et al., 2007; Han et al., 2015) und bei semi-ariden Bedingungen in Australien und China (Lam et al., 2012; Fitzgerald et al., 2016) durchgeführt. Wie in diesen Studien steigerte in der vorliegenden Studie e[CO<sub>2</sub>] den Kornertrag in Kapitel 6: Abschließende Diskussion allen N-Stufen (**Kapitel 2**). Jedoch nahm entsprechend unserer Hypothese und der Meta-Analyse von Pleijel et al. (2019), die Kammer- und FACE-Versuche beinhaltet, die Kornertrag-Steigerung bei starkem N-Mangel ab (**Kapitel 2**). Eine Normalisierung des CO<sub>2</sub>-Konzentration-Anstiegs auf einen einheitlichen Wert (+ 210 ppm) ergab sehr ähnliche Ertragsanstiege von 15–20 % bei ausreichender Wasser- und N-Versorgung zwischen unserer und den obengenannten FACE-Studien trotz deutlicher Ertragsunterschiede (**Kapitel 2**). Bei semi-ariden Bedingungen wurden dagegen deutlich höhere Ertragssteigerungen durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] von 44–47 % festgestellt (Lam et al., 2012; Fitzgerald et al., 2016). Meta-Analysen entsprechend (Wang et al., 2013; Broberg et al., 2019) basierte die Ertragssteigerung durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] wesentlich auf einer Steigerung der Kornzahl pro Flächeneinheit (8–12 %) und geringfügiger auf einem erhöhten Einzelkorngewicht (2–4 %) (**Kapitel 2**).

Die Kornertrag-Steigerung durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] war auf eine Steigerung der RUE zurückzuführen und diese war gemäß unserer Hypothese bei starkem N-Mangel vermindert (**Kapitel 2**). Jedoch gab es im Gegensatz zu dem Arizona FACE-Versuch (Jamieson et al., 2000) bei N-Mangel keine Verminderung der AR durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] (**Kapitel 2**). Eine Reduktion der N-Konzentration durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] kann eine Abnahme der Photosynthesekapazität bewirken (Ainsworth und Long, 2005). Bei starkem N-Mangel reduzierte e[CO<sub>2</sub>] die N-Konzentration ungeachtet des LAI (**Kapitel 2**) und somit möglicherweise die Photosynthesekapazität, was die verminderte RUE-Steigerung durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] bei N-Mangel erklären könnte. Jedoch scheint es vielmehr, dass N-Mangel während des Ährenwachstums zu einer Senken-Limitierung des Kornertrags und dadurch zu der verminderten RUE-Steigerung führte. Hinweise dafür sind der (i.) ungleiche Verlauf der Kurven der Beziehung zwischen RUE und N-Gehalt pro Blattfläche zwischen a[CO<sub>2</sub>] und e[CO<sub>2</sub>] und (ii.) die Reduktion des HI durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] bei starkem N-Mangel durch deutliche Steigerung der Halm- und geringere Steigerung der Ährenbiomasse durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] (**Kapitel 2**). Nach van Kraalingen (1990) werden bei starkem N-Mangel zusätzliche durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] generierte Assimilate präferenziell in Organe mit geringer N-Konzentration wie dem Halm eingelagert.

## 6.2 Prozessanalyse der Reduktion der Korn-N-Konzentration durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>]

In den meisten FACE-Versuchen steigerte  $e[CO_2]$  den N-Ertrag der Körner und der Gesamtpflanze (9– 102 %) ungeachtet der N-Verfügbarkeit und klimatischen Bedingungen (z.B. Kimball et al., 2001; Ma et al., 2007; Lam et al., 2012; Han et al., 2015; Tauz et al., 2017). Dies konnte in unserer Studie Kapitel 6: Abschließende Diskussion bestätigt werden (**Kapitel 3 und 4**). Jedoch gab es Ausnahmen bei dem FACE-Versuch in Arizona bei starkem N-Mangel und im früheren FACE-Versuch in Braunschweig wo keine Veränderung des N-Ertrages durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] beobachtet wurde (Kimball et al., 2001; Weigel und Manderscheid, 2012). Die Meta-Analyse von Pleijel et al. (2019) mit Open-Top-Kammer- und FACE-Versuchen fand eine Verminderung des Korn-N-Ertrags durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] bei der N-Versorgung < 100 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Es scheint, dass bei subtropischem Klima die Steigerung des N-Ertrags zum Anthese-Stadium größer ist als zur Kornreife (Ma et al., 2007; Han et al., 2015), aber in unserer Studie war es umgekehrt (**Kapitel 3**). Ursachen dafür könnten (i.) die Temperaturabhängigkeit der Photosynthese-Steigerung durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] (Long, 1991) und (ii.) unterschiedliche N-Verfügbarkeit während der Kornfüllungsphase z.B. durch das Regime der N-Düngung oder verschiedene Umweltbedingungen hinsichtlich der Mineralisierung (z.B. Temperaturunterschiede) sein.

Der Korn N-Ertrag stieg unabhängig von der CO<sub>2</sub>-Konzentration linear mit der Kornzahl an (**Kapitel 3**). Dies suggeriert eine enge Verbindung der Steigerung des N-Ertrags mit einer Kornertragssteigerung durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>]. Eine Erklärung für diese lineare Beziehung könnte der hohe Albumin/Globulin-Gehalt im Korn (~40 % des Kornproteins) sein (**Kapitel 5**). Nach Martre et al. (2003) reguliert die N-Senke (das sich entwickelnde Korn) die Akkumulation von Albumin/Globulin und somit die Anlieferung von N für die Synthese dieser Proteine.

Eine Steigerung der N-Düngung führt zur Steigerung des N-Ertrags zum Anthese-Stadium und zur Steigerung der Nrem (Barbottin et al., 2005; Gaju et al., 2014). Dies konnte bestätigt werden (**Kapitel 3**). Dagegen kann sich eine Steigerung der N-Düngung unterschiedlich auf die NRE auswirken (Barbottin et al., 2005; Gaju et al., 2011, 2014). In der vorliegenden Studie steigerte zunehmender N-Mangel die NRE (**Kapitel 3**). Eine Quellen-basierte Erklärung dafür wäre, dass ein geringer N-Status in den vegetativen Organen über die Hemmung der Synthese von Phytohormonen (Zytokinine, Auxin) die Seneszenz und dadurch die Nrem beschleunigt (Kong et al., 2016). Eine weitere Erklärung könnte eine Steigerung der Korn-Senkenstärke durch zunehmenden N-Mangel sein. Die Gründe hierfür sind die Erhöhung des Anteils Senken-regulierter Albumine/Globuline (Martre et al., 2003) im Kornprotein (**Kapitel 5**) und die Erhöhung des Verhältnisses von N-Senke (Körner) zu N-Quelle (vegetativer N) durch zunehmenden N-Mangel (Daten nicht dargestellt).

 $e[CO_2]$  steigerte die Nrem und NRE und ersteres war mit einer gesteigerte N-Aneignung bis zum Anthese-Stadium und letzteres mit einer verstärkten N-Mobilisierung aus den vegetativen Organen verknüpft (**Kapitel 3**). Dies widerlegt die Hypothese einer Reduktion der Nrem oder der NRE durch  $e[CO_2]$  aufgrund einer Reduktion der N-Konzentration zum Anthese-Stadium. Die  $e[CO_2]$ -Wirkung auf NRE könnte ähnlich sein wie die von N-Mangel: Durch die Kornzahl-Steigerung (**Kapitel 2**) nimmt die Korn-Senkenstärke zu, dies steigert die Rate der Prä-Seneszenz Nrem, dadurch wird der N-Status im vegetativen Gewebe stärker als bei  $a[CO_2]$  reduziert und folglich die Seneszenz und Seneszenz-abhängige Nrem beschleunigt. Eine Beschleunigung der Seneszenz des Fahnenblatts durch  $e[CO_2]$  wurde nicht festgestellt (**Kapitel 3**), aber in den Blättern unterhalb des Fahnenblatts gab es Anzeichen einer solchen Beschleunigung (Daten nicht dargestellt).

Die Nabs ist stark von der N-Verfügbarkeit während der Kornfüllungsphase abhängig und beeinflusst negativ die Nrem (Papakosta und Gagianas, 1991). Dies konnte bestätigt werden (**Kapitel 3 und Abb.1**). Eine Ausnahme war jedoch die geringe Abhängigkeit der Nabs von der N-Verfügbarkeit während der Kornfüllung bei übermäßiger N-Düngung.

Die Nabs wurde durch  $e[CO_2]$  gesteigert (**Kapitel 3**) und dies widerlegt unsere Eingangshypothese. Im Gegensatz zu anderen Studien (Brooks et al., 2000; Fangmeier et al., 2000), gab es keine Beschleunigung der Fahnenblatt-Seneszenz durch  $e[CO_2]$  und dies könnte teilweise mit der hohen Nabs zusammenhängen (**Kapitel 3**). Es ist bekannt, dass Nabs die Biosynthese von Zytokininen fördert, welche wiederum die Seneszenz hemmen (Kong et al., 2016).

Die geringe Abhängigkeit von Nabs von der N-Verfügbarkeit bei der übermäßigen N-Düngung deutet auf eine Hemmung von Nabs durch den hohen N-Status der Pflanze hin (**Kapitel 3**). Es ist bekannt, dass ein hoher N-Status in der Pflanze die NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-Aufnahme der Wurzel hemmt (Glass et al., 2002). Auch könnte Konkurrenz um Energie zwischen C- und N-Assimilation (Munier-Jolain und Salon, 2005) ein Grund sein. Ein Hinweis dafür ist das geringere Einzelkorngewicht bei übermäßiger N-Düngung verglichen mit den anderen N-Stufen (**Kapitel 2**).



**Abb. 2:** Beziehung zwischen der NRE und Nabs unter Berücksichtigung aller CO<sub>2</sub> x N x Jahr-Kombinationen. e[CO<sub>2</sub>] reduzierte die N-Konzentration des Blatts um 4 – 7 % (**Kapitel 2**) und die des Korns um 1 – 6 % in allen N-Stufen, wobei letzteres bei starkem N-Mangel lediglich um 1 % reduziert wurde (**Kapitel 3**). Diese Reduktionen sind geringer als jene die von Meta-Analysen, die wesentlich auf Kammer-Studien basieren, beschrieben wurden. Dort reduzierte e[CO<sub>2</sub>] die N-Konzentration vegetativer Organe um 16 % (Cotrufo et al., 1998) und die des Korns um 13 % (Taub et al., 2008). Die Reduktion der Korn-N-Konzentration bei praxisüblicher N-Düngung um 6 % entspricht anderen FACE-Studien (Reduktion um 4 – 9 %), die unter verschiedenen klimatischen Bedingungen und Wasserverfügbarkeiten durchgeführt wurden (Kimball et al., 2002; Högy et al., 2009; Erbs et al., 2010; Lam et al., 2012; Han et al., 2015; Tausz-Posch et al., 2015; Tausz et al., 2017). Dagegen wurde bei starkem N-Mangel eine viel stärkere Reduktion der Blatt und Korn-N-Konzentration um 22 % bzw. 9 % bei subtropischen Bedingungen (Kimball et al., 2002) und die des Korns um 10 % bei semiariden Bedingungen (Walker et al., 2017) festgestellt.

Gründe für den geringen e[CO<sub>2</sub>]-Effekt auf die Korn-N-Konzentration bei starkem N-Mangel in der vorliegenden Studie könnte (i.) die geringe Ertragssteigerung durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] (**Kapitel 2**) und (ii.) das relativ hohe Verhältnis von Senken- (Albumin/Globulin) zu Quellen-regulierten Proteinen (Gliadin und Glutenin) im Korn (Martre et al., 2003) sein (**Kapitel 5**). Dass die Reduktion der Korn-N-Konzentration bei starkem N-Mangel deutlich stärker in den FACE-Versuchen bei subtropischen

(Kimball et al., 2002) und semi-ariden Bedingungen (Walker et al., 2017) war, könnte an einer geringeren N-Verfügbarkeit während der Kornfüllungsphase verglichen mit unserer Studie liegen. In unserer Studie stammte ein erheblicher Teil des durch die Nabs aufgenommenen N aus der Mineralisierung (**Kapitel 3**) und wegen der kontrollierten Bewässerung (Manderscheid et al., 2018) gab es keinen Wassermangel, der eine N-Aufnahme hätte beschränken können.

Wichtige Hypothesen für die Reduktion der N-Konzentration durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] während des vegetativen Wachstums sind eine (i.) Herabregulierung der RuBisCO-Genexpression im Blatt (Stitt und Krapp 1991) und eine (ii.) Hemmung der NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-Assimilation (Bloom et al., 2010). Jedoch fällt die N-Konzentration der Gesamtpflanze generell mit zunehmender Biomasse gemäß einer negativen allometrischen Funktion wegen Abnahme des Blatt/Halm-Verhältnisses und Zunahme von Beschattungseffekten ab (Sadras und Lemaire, 2014). In Ähnlicher Weise gibt es ein Abfall der Blatt-N-Konzentration mit steigendem LAI durch Zunahme von Beschattungseffekten (Bertheloot et al., 2008). Beide negativen allometrischen Beziehungen konnten bestätigt werden und waren durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] unbeeinflusst (**Kapitel 2 und 3**). Dies spricht gegen einen direkten e[CO<sub>2</sub>]-Effekt auf die N-Konzentration durch die obigen Hypothesen i. und ii. Eine Ausnahme gab es in einem Versuchsjahr bei starkem N-Mangel wo unter Berücksichtigung des LAI als Kovariable die N-Konzentration durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] reduziert wurde (**Kapitel 2**).

Für die Reduktion der Korn-N-Konzentration durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] waren womöglich mehrere Prozesse verantwortlich. Der Vergleich der N-Konzentration und des durchschnittlichen N-Gehalts pro Korn zeigte, dass ein Verdünnungseffekt durch Steigerung des Einzelkorngewichts ein wichtiger Grund war (**Kapitel 2 und 3**). Des Weiteren reduzierte e[CO<sub>2</sub>] das Verhältnis der N-Quelle zur N-Senke durch eine stärkere Steigerung der Kornzahl verglichen mit dem vegetativen N zur Anthese (**Kapitel 3**). Diese Reduktion wurde aber größtenteils durch die Steigerung der NRE durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] kompensiert. Es scheint, dass Weizen bei ausreichender N-Verfügbarkeit über genügend potentiell mobilisierbare N-Reserven verfügt um eine Reduktion der Korn-N-Konzentration durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] vollständig zu kompensieren (**Kapitel 3**; Pask et al., 2012). Jedoch müsste die Mobilisierungsfähigkeit von N aus den vegetativen Organen weiter gesteigert werden.

Durch Hydrokulturversuche wurde festgestellt, dass e[CO<sub>2</sub>] die Photorespiration und dadurch die NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> Assimilation hemmt (Bloom et al., 2002, Rachmilevitch et al., 2004; Bloom et al., 2010). Der Grad der Photorespiration-Hemmung durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] steigt mit der Temperatur an und so müsste auch die Hemmung der Blatt NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>Assimilation durch Erhöhung der Temperatur verstärkt werden (Bloom et al., 2015). Beides konnte in der vorliegenden Studie nicht bestätigt werden und die Hinweise dafür sind: (i.) keine Hemmung der NRA durch  $e[CO_2]$  bei moderaten (17 °C) und hohen Temperaturen (28 °C) (Kapitel 4), (ii.) keine stärkere Steigerung von Wachstum und N-Aneignung sowie ähnliche Reduktion der Blatt- und Korn-N-Konzentration durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] bei NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>- verglichen mit NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-betonter N-Düngung (Kapitel 4), (iii.) Steigerung der Nabs durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] obwohl diese bei relativ hohen Temperaturen stattfand (Kapitel 3). Jedoch schließen diese Punkte eine Hemmung der NO<sub>3</sub>-Assimilation durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] nicht definitiv aus. Z.B. erfolgte die Messung der NRA bei ausreichender NADH-Versorgung weshalb ein möglicher NADH-Mangel für die NR durch Messung der NRA nicht festgestellt werden konnte. Auch könnte eine Hemmung nachts durch Hemmung der NO2-Translokation in den Chloroplasten erfolgen (Rubio-Asensio et al., 2015), aber die CO<sub>2</sub>-Anreicherung erfolgte in der vorliegenden Studie nur tagsüber. Dagegen spricht aber die hohe Nacht CO<sub>2</sub>-Konzentration (~600 ppm), die in dem vorliegenden Versuch festgestellt wurde (Daten nicht dargestellt).

In zahlreichen FACE-Studien steigerte  $e[CO_2]$  den N-Ertrag von Weizen (Ma et al., 2007; Han et al., 2015; Tausz et al., 2017; **Kapitel 3**) und anderen C3-Nutzpflanzen (z.B. Kim et al., 2001; Yang et al., 2007) bei NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-betonter N-Düngung. Deshalb scheint es unwahrscheinlich, dass eine mögliche Hemmung der NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> Assimilation durch  $e[CO_2]$  wesentlich die Reduktion der N-Konzentration erklärt und die Ernährungssicherheit gefährden könnte (Bloom, 2015).

## 6.3 Wirkung von e[CO<sub>2</sub>] auf die Kornprotein-Zusammensetzung und Backqualität

In vorherigen FACE-Studien war die Reduktion der Kornprotein-Konzentration durch  $e[CO_2]$  mit einer Reduktion von Gluten-Proteinen verbunden, aber der Gehalt von Albumin/Globulin war unbeeinträchtigt (Wieser et al., 2008; Högy et al., 2009, 2013; Fernando et al., 2015). In diesen Studien reduzierte  $e[CO_2]$  HMW-GS (Fernando et al., 2015), alle Gliadin-Typen ( $\omega$ ,  $\alpha$  und  $\gamma$ ) (Högy et al., 2013) oder alle Gliadin-Typen und Glutenin-Fraktionen (HMW-GS und LMW-GS) (Wieser et al., 2008). In der vorliegenden Studie gab es aber nur eine geringfügige Reduktion der gesamt Gluten-Konzentration und keine bestimmter Gliadine oder Glutenine (**Kapitel 5**). Dagegen reduzierte e[CO<sub>2</sub>] auch die Albumin/Globulin -Konzentration sowie die Expression einiger (15) Globulin-verwandter Proteine (**Kapitel 5**). Diese generell verschiedenen Ergebnisse der e[CO<sub>2</sub>]-Wirkung auf das Korn-Proteom zwischen den FACE-Studien könnten im Genotyp (z.B. bezüglich eines verschiedenen Albumin/Globulin zu Gluten Verhältnisses) oder in der Nährstoffversorgung begründet sein. Z.B. gab es im ersten Braunschweiger FACE-Versuch eine starke Reduktion aller Gluten-Fraktionen durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] (Wieser et al., 2008) und Schwefel (S)-Mangel könnte der Grund dafür sein. Der Hinweis dafür ist das hohe N zu S Verhältnis im Korn von 25 im ersten Braunschweiger FACE-Versuch (Erbs et al., 2010), wohingegen dieses in der vorliegenden Studie 15 betrug (**Kapitel 5**). S-Mangel, der durch ein N zu S Verhältnis im Korn von  $\geq 17$  gekennzeichnet ist, kann zu einer starken Reduktion von S-reichen  $\alpha$ ,  $\gamma$ - Gliadinen und LMW-GS, aber auch zur Reduktion von S-armen HMW-GS führen (Zörb et al., 2009) und die Kornertrag-Steigerung durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] (Weigel und Manderscheid, 2012) könnte den S-Mangel verstärkt haben.

Eine Reduktion des Backvolumens durch  $e[CO_2]$  wurde in australischen FACE-Versuchen festgestellt (Fernando et al., 2012; Panozzo et al., 2014; Fernando et al., 2015). Solch eine Reduktion konnte aber nicht bestätigt werden (**Kapitel 5**). Ein Grund hierfür könnte neben einer unterschiedlich starken  $e[CO_2]$ -Wirkung auf Gluten-Proteine, ein Sortenunterschied bezüglich der Abhängigkeit des Backvolumens von der Kornprotein-Konzentration sein. Nach einer Studie von Gabriel et al. (2017) zeigen Sorten eine lineare, aber andere eine nichtlineare Beziehung zwischen Backvolumen und Kornprotein-Konzentration, wobei manche ein relativ hohes Backvolumen bei geringer Kornprotein-Konzentration aufwiesen. In unserem Versuch war die Beziehung nichtlinear mit Anstieg des Backvolumens bis zu einem Maximum und anschließendem Abfall. Dies basierte auf einer Änderung der Gliadin- und Glutenin-Zusammensetzung mit steigenden Konzentrationen (**Kapitel 5**). Interessanterweise veränderte  $e[CO_2]$  die Beziehung zwischen Kornprotein-Konzentration und Backvolumen durch Reduktion von ersterem bei maximalem Backvolumen. Dies könnte mit der Erhöhung des Gliadin zu Glutenin Verhältnis durch  $e[CO_2]$  gegen den Wert von eins bei optimaler N-Versorgung (**Kapitel 5**) zusammenhängen.

# 6.4 Anpassungsmaßnahmen zur Optimierung des CO<sub>2</sub>-Düngeeffekts und Erhalt der Kornqualität unter e[CO<sub>2</sub>]

Eine agronomische Maßnahme zur Steigerung des CO<sub>2</sub>-Düngeeffekts und des Korn-N-Ertrags könnte eine Steigerung der N-Verfügbarkeit für die Pflanze zwischen Fahnenblatt-Stadium (GS39) und Anthese (GS59) sein. Dies ist die Periode in der der Einfluss von N (Ravier et al., 2017) und e[CO<sub>2</sub>] (Fisher und Aguilar, 1976) auf die Kornzahl am größten ist. Aufgrund der starken linearen Abhängigkeit zwischen der Kornzahl und dem Korn-N-Ertrag (**Kapitel 3**) könnte durch die Erhöhung der N-Verfügbarkeit zwischen GS39 und GS59 die Steigerung beider Größen durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] (**Kapitel 2 und 3**) weiter verstärkt werden. In der vorliegenden Studie gab es eine negative Beziehung zwischen der Kornzahl und dem Einzelkorngewicht (**Abb. 2**). So könnte eine Steigerung der Kornzahl auch einen mit dem Einzelkorngewicht verbundenen Verdünnungseffekt reduzieren und so die Korn-N-Konzentration erhöhen. Demgegenüber könnte die N-Düngung zur Bestockung (GS20) wegen ihres relativ geringen Einflusses auf den Kornertrag und -qualität (Ravier et al., 2017) reduziert werden.



**Abb. 3:** Beziehung zwischen dem Einzelkorngewicht und Kornzahl pro m<sup>2</sup> Bodenfläche unter Berücksichtigung aller CO<sub>2</sub> x N x Jahr-Kombinationen. Für beide CO<sub>2</sub>-Stufen wurde eine Regressionslinie eingezeichnet. Rechts unten ist das Ergebnis der Kovarianzanalyse des Effekts von  $e[CO_2]$  und der Kornzahl (GN) dargestellt: (\*) P<0.1, \*\* P<0.01.

Der positive e[CO<sub>2</sub>]-Effekt auf die Nabs bei generell hoher Nabs bei N-Mangel und optimaler N-Versorgung (**Kapitel 3**) deuten hin, dass eine gesteigerte N-Gabe zur Anthese eine Maßnahme ist um die Korn-N-Konzentration unter e[CO<sub>2</sub>] zu steigern. Die Voraussetzungen dafür aber wären keine übermäßige N-Versorgung vor der Anthese und eine ausreichende Wasserverfügbarkeit für die N-Aufnahme. Interessanterweise konnte in einem Gewächshausversuch unter diesen Voraussetzungen eine hohe N-Versorgung zur Anthese die Reduktion der Korn-N-Konzentration durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] vollständig kompensieren (Fernando et al., 2017). Die N-Düngung jedoch generell (vor und nach der Anthese) stark zu erhöhen, erscheint nicht sinnvoll da in der vorliegenden (**Kapitel 3**) und einer weiteren FACE-Studie (Tausz et al., 2017) eine übermäßige N-Düngung eine Reduktion der N-Konzentration durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] nicht kompensieren konnte.

Die Hinweise für keine Hemmung der  $NO_3^-$ -Assimilation durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] (**Kapitel 4**) zeigen, dass eine Umstellung von  $NO_3^-$  zu  $NH_4^+$ -Düngung in Zukunft nicht sinnvoll ist.

Durch Verwendung von Sorten, die ein relativ hohes Backvolumen bei geringer Kornprotein-Konzentration und eine nichtlineare Beziehung zwischen diesen beiden Größen aufweisen (**Kapitel 5**), könnte eine Reduktion des Backvolumens unter e[CO<sub>2</sub>] vermieden werden.

#### 6.5 Ausblick für weitere Forschung

Um vorteilhafte e[CO<sub>2</sub>]-Effekte besser ausnutzen zu können und negative weitgehend zu vermeiden, könnte ein Screening von Genotypen mit unterschiedlicher Dauer der Phase zwischen GS39 und GS59 dienen. Da in dieser Periode wesentlich die Kornzahl durch die Umweltbedingungen festgelegt wird, könnte bei Genotypen mit langer Dauer zwischen GS 39 und GS 59 die Kornzahl-Steigerung durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] stärker ausfallen. Durch die enge positive Beziehung zwischen Kornzahl und Korn-N-Ertrag würde die Steigerung von letzterem ebenfalls stärker sein. Gleichzeitig könnte man Genotypen verwenden, die eine lineare oder nichtlineare Beziehung zwischen Kornprotein-Konzentration und Backvolumen aufweisen um zu testen ob Genotypen mit letzterer Beziehung hinsichtlich der Backqualität nur geringfügig negativ oder eher positiv wie in der vorliegenden Studie auf e[CO<sub>2</sub>] reagieren.

## 6.6 Referenzen

Ainsworth, E. A., Long, S. P. (2005). What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising CO<sub>2</sub>. *New Phytologist*, 165(2), 351-372.

Arp, W. J. (1991). Effects of source-sink relations on photosynthetic acclimation to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Plant Cell and Environment* 14, 869-875.

Barbottin, A., Lecomte, C., Bouchard, C., Jeuffroy, M. H. (2005). Nitrogen remobilization during grain filling in wheat. *Crop Science* 45(3), 1141-1150.

Bertheloot, J., Martre, P., Andrieu, B. (2008). Dynamics of light and nitrogen distribution during grain filling within wheat canopy. *Plant Physiology 148*(3), 1707-1720.

Bloom, A. J., Smart, D. R., Nguyen, D. T., Searles, P. S. (2002). Nitrogen assimilation and growth of wheat under elevated carbon dioxide. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 99(3), 1730-1735.

Bloom, A. J., Burger, M., Asensio, J. S. R., Cousins, A. B. (2010). Carbon dioxide enrichment inhibits nitrate assimilation in wheat and Arabidopsis. *Science* 328(5980), 899-903.

Bloom, A. J. (2015). Photorespiration and nitrate assimilation: a major intersection between plant carbon and nitrogen. *Photosynthesis Research 123*(2), 117-128.

Broberg, M., Högy, P., Pleijel, H. (2017). CO<sub>2</sub>-induced changes in wheat grain composition: metaanalysis and response functions. *Agronomy* 7(2), 32.

Broberg, M. C., Högy, P., Feng, Z., Pleijel, H. (2019). Effects of Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on Wheat Yield: Non-Linear Response and Relation to Site Productivity. *Agronomy* 9(5), 243.

Brooks, T. J., Wall, G. W., Pinter, P. J., Kimball, B. A., LaMorte, R. L., Leavitt, S. W., ... Webber, A. N. (2000). Acclimation response of spring wheat in a free-air  $CO_2$  enrichment (FACE) atmosphere with variable soil nitrogen regimes. 3. Canopy architecture and gas exchange. *Photosynthesis Research* 66(1-2), 97-108.

Cotrufo, M. F., Ineson, P., Scott, A. (1998). Elevated  $CO_2$  reduces the nitrogen concentration of plant tissues. *Global Change Biology* 4(1), 43-54.

Erbs, M., Manderscheid, R., Jansen, G., Seddig, S., Pacholski, A., Weigel, H. J. (2010). Effects of free-air  $CO_2$  enrichment and nitrogen supply on grain quality parameters and elemental composition of wheat and barley grown in a crop rotation. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 136*(1-2), 59-68.

Fangmeier, A., Chrost, B., Högy, P., Krupinska, K. (2000). CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment enhances flag leaf senescence in barley due to greater grain nitrogen sink capacity. Environmental and Experimental Botany 44(2), 151-164.

Fernando, N., Panozzo, J., Tausz, M., Norton, R. M., Fitzgerald, G. J., Myers, S., ... Seneweera, S. (2012). Wheat grain quality under increasing atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentrations in a semi-arid cropping system. *Journal of Cereal Science* 56(3), 684-690.

Fernando, N., Panozzo, J., Tausz, M., Norton, R. M., Neumann, N., Fitzgerald, G. J., Seneweera, S. (2014). Elevated  $CO_2$  alters grain quality of two bread wheat cultivars grown under different environmental conditions. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 185*, 24-33.

Fernando, N., Panozzo, J., Tausz, M., Norton, R., Fitzgerald, G., Khan, A., Seneweera, S. (2015). Rising CO<sub>2</sub> concentration altered wheat grain proteome and flour rheological characteristics. *Food Chemistry* 170, 448-454.

Fernando, N., Hirotsu, N., Panozzo, J., Tausz, M., Norton, R. M., Seneweera, S. (2017). Lower grain nitrogen content of wheat at elevated  $CO_2$  can be improved through post-anthesis  $NH_4^+$  supplement. *Journal of Cereal Science* 74, 79-85.

Fisher, R.A., Aguilar, I., 1976. Yield potential in a dwarf spring wheat and effect of carbon-dioxide fertilization. *Agronomy Journal* 68, 749-752.

Fitzgerald, G. J., Tausz, M., O'Leary, G., Mollah, M. R., Tausz-Posch, S., Seneweera, S., ... Norton, R. M. (2016). Elevated atmospheric [CO<sub>2</sub>] can dramatically increase wheat yields in semi-arid environments and buffer against heat waves. *Global Change Biology* 22(6), 2269-2284.

Gabriel, D., Pfitzner, C., Haase, N. U., Hüsken, A., Prüfer, H., Greef, J. M., Rühl, G. (2017). New strategies for a reliable assessment of baking quality of wheat–Rethinking the current indicator protein content. *Journal of Cereal Science* 77, 126-134.

Gaju, O., Allard, V., Martre, P., Snape, J. W., Heumez, E., LeGouis, J., ... Hubbart, S. (2011). Identification of traits to improve the nitrogen-use efficiency of wheat genotypes. *Field Crops Research* 123(2), 139-152.

Gaju, O., Allard, V., Martre, P., Le Gouis, J., Moreau, D., Bogard, M., ... Foulkes, M. J. (2014). Nitrogen partitioning and remobilization in relation to leaf senescence, grain yield and grain nitrogen concentration in wheat cultivars. *Field Crops Research 155*, 213-223.

Glass, A. D., Britto, D. T., Kaiser, B. N., Kinghorn, J. R., Kronzucker, H. J., Kumar, A., ... Vidmar, J. J. (2002). The regulation of nitrate and ammonium transport systems in plants. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 53(370), 855-864.

Han, X., Hao, X., Lam, S. K., Wang, H., Li, Y., Wheeler, T., ... Lin, E. (2015). Yield and nitrogen accumulation and partitioning in winter wheat under elevated CO2: A 3-year free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment experiment. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 209*, 132-137.

Högy, P., Wieser, H., Köhler, P., Schwadorf, K., Breuer, J., Franzaring, J., ... Fangmeier, A. (2009). Effects of elevated  $CO_2$  on grain yield and quality of wheat: results from a 3-year free-air  $CO_2$  enrichment experiment. *Plant Biology 11*, 60-69.

Högy, P., Brunnbauer, M., Koehler, P., Schwadorf, K., Breuer, J., Franzaring, J., ... Fangmeier, A. (2013). Grain quality characteristics of spring wheat (Triticum aestivum) as affected by free-air  $CO_2$  enrichment. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 88, 11-18.

Jamieson, P. D., Berntsen, J., Ewert, F., Kimball, B. A., Olesen, J. E., Pinter Jr, P. J., ... Semenov, M. A. (2000). Modelling CO<sub>2</sub> effects on wheat with varying nitrogen supplies. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 82(1-3), 27-37.

Kim, H. Y., Lieffering, M., Miura, S., Kobayashi, K., Okada, M. (2001). Growth and nitrogen uptake of CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched rice under field conditions. *New Phytologist 150*(2), 223-229.

Kimball, B. A., Morris, C. F., Pinter Jr, P. J., Wall, G. W., Hunsaker, D. J., Adamsen, F. J., ... Brooks, T. J. (2001). Wheat grain quality as affected by elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, drought, and soil nitrogen. *New Phytologist 150*, 295-303.

Kimball, B. A., Kobayashi, K., Bindi, M. (2002). Responses of agricultural crops to free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. *Advances in Agronomy* 77, 293-368.

Kong, L., Xie, Y., Hu, L., Feng, B., Li, S. (2016). Remobilization of vegetative nitrogen to developing grain in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). *Field Crops Research*, *196*, 134-144.

Kou, T., Zhu, J., Xie, Z., Hasegawa, T., Heiduk, K. (2007). Effect of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on soil and root respiration in winter wheat by using a respiration partitioning chamber. *Plant and Soil 299*(1-2), 237-249.

Lam, S. K., Han, X., Lin, E., Norton, R., Chen, D. (2012). Does elevated atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration increase wheat nitrogen demand and recovery of nitrogen applied at stem elongation? *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 155*, 142-146.

Loladze, I. (2002). Rising atmospheric  $CO_2$  and human nutrition: toward globally imbalanced plant stoichiometry? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 17(10), 457-461.

Long, S. P. (1991). Modification of the response of photosynthetic productivity to rising temperature by atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentrations: has its importance been underestimated?. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 14(8), 729-739.

Long, S. P., Ainsworth, E. A., Leakey, A. D. B., Morgan, P. B. (2005). Global food insecurity. Treatment of major food crops with elevated carbon dioxide or ozone under large-scale fully open-air conditions suggests recent models may have overestimated future yields. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* 360, 2011–2020.

Ma, H. L., Zhu, J. G., Liu, G., Xie, Z. B., Wang, Y. L., Yang, L. X., Zeng, Q. (2007). Availability of soil nitrogen and phosphorus in a typical rice–wheat rotation system under elevated atmospheric [CO<sub>2</sub>]. *Field Crops Research 100*(1), 44-51.

Martre, P., Porter, J. R., Jamieson, P. D., Triboï, E. (2003). Modeling grain nitrogen accumulation and protein composition to understand the sink/source regulations of nitrogen remobilization for wheat. *Plant Physiology* 133(4), 1959-1967.

MUNIER-JOLAIN, N. G., Salon, C. (2005). Are the carbon costs of seed production related to the quantitative and qualitative performance? An appraisal for legumes and other crops. *Plant, Cell and Environment*, 28(11), 1388-1395.

Panozzo, J. F., Walker, C. K., Partington, D. L., Neumann, N. C., Tausz, M., Seneweera, S., Fitzgerald, G. J. (2014). Elevated carbon dioxide changes grain protein concentration and composition and compromises baking quality. A FACE study. *Journal of Cereal Science* 60(3), 461-470.

Papakosta, D. K., Gagianas, A. A. (1991). Nitrogen and dry matter accumulation, remobilization, and losses for Mediterranean wheat during grain filling. *Agronomy Journal 83*(5), 864-870.

Pask, A. J. D., Sylvester-Bradley, R., Jamieson, P. D., Foulkes, M. J. (2012). Quantifying how winter wheat crops accumulate and use nitrogen reserves during growth. *Field Crops Research 126*, 104-118.

Pleijel, H., Broberg, M. C., Högy, P., Uddling, J. (2019). Nitrogen application is required to realize wheat yield stimulation by elevated  $CO_2$  but will not remove the  $CO_2$ -induced reduction in grain protein concentration. *Global Change Biology* 25(5), 1868-1876.

Rachmilevitch, S., Cousins, A. B., Bloom, A. J. (2004). Nitrate assimilation in plant shoots depends on photorespiration. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 101*(31), 11506-11510.

Ravier, C., Meynard, J. M., Cohan, J. P., Gate, P., Jeuffroy, M. H. (2017). Early nitrogen deficiencies favor high yield, grain protein content and N use efficiency in wheat. *European Journal of Agronomy* 89, 16-24.

Rubio-Asensio, J. S. R., Rachmilevitch, S., Bloom, A. J. (2015). Responses of Arabidopsis and wheat to rising CO<sub>2</sub> depend on nitrogen source and nighttime CO<sub>2</sub> levels. *Plant Physiology 168*(1), 156-163.

Sadras, V. O., Lemaire, G. (2014). Quantifying crop nitrogen status for comparisons of agronomic practices and genotypes. *Field Crops Research 164*, 54-64.

Stitt, M., Krapp, A. (1999). The interaction between elevated carbon dioxide and nitrogen nutrition: the physiological and molecular background. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 22(6), 583-621.

Taub, D. R., Miller, B., Allen, H. (2008). Effects of elevated  $CO_2$  on the protein concentration of food crops: a meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology* 14(3), 565-575.

Tausz, M., Norton, R. M., Tausz-Posch, S., Löw, M., Seneweera, S., O'Leary, G., ... Fitzgerald, G. J. (2017). Can additional N fertiliser ameliorate the elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-induced depression in grain and tissue N concentrations of wheat on a high soil N background? *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science* 203(6), 574-583.

Van Kraalingen, D.W.G., 1990. Effects of  $CO_2$  enrichment on nutrient-deficient plants. In: Goudriaan, J., van Keilen, H., van Laar, H.H. (Eds.), The Greenhouse Effect and Primary Productivity in European Agro-Ecosystems. Pudoc Scientific Publishers, Wageningen, 42-45.

Walker, C., Armstrong, R., Panozzo, J., Partington, D., Fitzgerald, G. (2017). Can nitrogen fertiliser maintain wheat (Triticum aestivum) grain protein concentration in an elevated  $CO_2$  environment?. *Soil Research* 55(6), 518-523.

Wang, L., Feng, Z., Schjoerring, J. K. (2013). Effects of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on physiology and yield of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.): a meta-analytic test of current hypotheses. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 178, 57-63.

Weigel, H. J., Manderscheid, R. (2012). Crop growth responses to free air  $CO_2$  enrichment and nitrogen fertilization: Rotating barley, ryegrass, sugar beet and wheat. *European Journal of Agronomy* 43, 97-107.

Wieser, H., Manderscheid, R., Erbs, M., Weigel, H. J. (2008). Effects of elevated atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentrations on the quantitative protein composition of wheat grain. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 56(15), 6531-6535.

Yang, L., Wang, Y., Dong, G., Gu, H., Huang, J., Zhu, J., ...Han, Y. (2007). The impact of free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (FACE) and nitrogen supply on grain quality of rice. *Field Crops Research 102*(2), 128-140.

Zörb, C., Steinfurth, D., Seling, S., LangenkÄmper, G., Koehler, P., Wieser, H., ... Mühling, K. H. (2009). Quantitative protein composition and baking quality of winter wheat as affected by late sulfur fertilization. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 57(9), 3877-3885.

## 7. Kapitel: Zusammenfassung

Die atmosphärische  $CO_2$ -Konzentration wird in Zukunft voraussichtlich auf 500–620 ppm ansteigen. Während für Weizen Ertragssteigerungen erwartet werden, könnte unter einer solchen erhöhten atmosphärischen  $CO_2$ -Konzentration (e[ $CO_2$ ]) die N-Konzentration im Gewebe um etwa 9 % abnehmen. Dies könnte die Ernährungssicherheit gefährden. In vorherigen Studien war die Reduktion der Korn-N-Konzentration eng mit der Reduktion von Gluten-Proteinen verbunden, was zudem eine verminderte Backqualität unter e[ $CO_2$ ] vermuten lässt. Die Mechanismen einer Reduktion der N-Konzentration sind unklar und die Anzahl an FACE-Versuchen mit Untersuchung von  $CO_2$  x N-Interaktionen auf die Bildung von Ertrag- und Qualität bei Winterweizen ist gering.

Das erste Hauptziel dieser Arbeit war die Analyse der Reduktion der Korn-N-Konzentration unter e[CO<sub>2</sub>]-Bedingungen im Rahmen eines zweijährigen FACE-Versuchs mit variierenden N-Stufen (35–320 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) und verschiedenen N-Formen (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> und NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>). Der Fokus lag auf Schlüsselprozesse der Korn-N-Aneignung, bei denen es sich um NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-Assimilation, N-Remobilisierung und postanthetische N-Aufnahme handelt. Zugrundeliegende Hypothese waren: (i) e[CO<sub>2</sub>] hemmt die NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-Assimilation, (ii) e[CO<sub>2</sub>] reduziert die N-Remobilisierung (Nrem) durch Reduktion der N-Konzentration im Wachstumsstadium der Anthese und (iii) e[CO<sub>2</sub>] reduziert die postanthetische N-Aufnahme (Nabs) durch Beschleunigung der Seneszenz oder Hemmung der NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-Assimilation. Das zweite Hauptziel war die kombinierte Analyse der e[CO<sub>2</sub>]-Wirkung auf das Korn-Proteom und auf die Backqualität. Hier war die Hypothese, dass e[CO<sub>2</sub>] Gluten-Proteine beeinträchtigt und dadurch die Backqualität verringert.

 $e[CO_2]$  steigerte den Kornertrag in allen N-Stufen um 10 – 17 %, was hauptsächlich auf der Steigerung der Kornzahl pro  $m^2$ Bodenfläche basierte. Dies auf eine Zunahme der war Strahlungsnutzungseffizienz zurückzuführen (Kapitel 2). Jedoch waren diese Steigerungen bei N-Mangel signifikant geringer. Die Gründe hierfür waren eine Reduktion der Photosynthesekapazität durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] und eine Senken-Limitierung des Kornertrags durch N-Mangel während des Ährenwachstums. Die Reduktion der Photosynthesekapazität ließ sich auf die Reduktion der Blatt-N-Konzentration durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] ungeachtet des grünen Blattflächenindexes bei N-Mangel zurückführen. Ein Hinweis für eine Senken-Limitierung des Kornertrags war die Reduktion des Ernteindex durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] aufgrund einer starken Steigerung der Halm- aber einer geringfügigeren Steigerung der Ährenbiomasse durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>].

Der Korn-N-Ertrag wurde durch  $e[CO_2]$  in allen N-Stufen gesteigert was auf Steigerungen der Nrem, Effizienz der Nrem und Nabs basierte (**Kapitel 3**). Dies widerlegt die Hypothesen einer Reduktion der Nrem und Nabs durch  $e[CO_2]$ . Es gab eine starke lineare Beziehung zwischen dem Korn-N-Ertrag und der Kornzahl, die durch  $e[CO_2]$  nicht beeinflusst wurde. Die Korn-N-Konzentration war in allen N-Stufen unter  $e[CO_2]$  geringfügig reduziert (1–6 %), wobei diese bei N-Mangel lediglich um 1 % verringert war. Der Hauptgrund für diese Verminderung war ein Verdünnungseffekt durch eine Steigerung des Einzelkorngewichts durch  $e[CO_2]$ . Ein weiterer Grund war eine stärkere Steigerung der Kornzahl als des vegetativen N-Ertrags zur Anthese durch  $e[CO_2]$ , was eine Reduktion der N-Quelle relativ zur N-Senke durch  $e[CO_2]$  bedeutet.

Eine Reduktion der NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-Assimilation durch  $e[CO_2]$  konnte nicht festgestellt werden (**Kapitel 4**). Die Hinweise dafür sind: keine Hemmung der Blatt Nitratreduktase-Aktivität durch  $e[CO_2]$  bei geringen (17 °C) und hohen (28 °C) Temperaturen und keine stärkere Steigerung von Wachstum und N-Aneignung durch  $e[CO_2]$  bei NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> - verglichen mit NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> betonter Düngung.

Die Reduktion der Korn-N-Konzentration durch e[CO<sub>2</sub>] war in allen N-Stufen mit einer geringfügigen Reduktion der Albumin/Globulin- und Gluten-Konzentration verbunden (**Kapitel 5**). Bei optimaler N-Versorgung ergab e[CO<sub>2</sub>] eine Veränderung des Korn-Proteoms mit insgesamt 19 reduzierten und 17 erhöhten Proteinspots. In 15 der 16 identifizierten verminderten Proteinspots wurden Globuline, aber keine Gluten-Proteine festgestellt. Entsprechend gab es in allen N-Stufen unter e[CO<sub>2</sub>] keine Verminderung der Backqualität.

Zusammengefasst, waren unter  $e[CO_2]$  die Korn-N-Erträge aufgrund der Zunahme von Nrem und Nabs gesteigert. Die Kornzahl war hierfür die treibende Kraft. Die N-Konzentration war unter  $e[CO_2]$ geringfügig reduziert und die zugrundeliegenden Mechanismen waren ein Verdünnungseffekt durch ein gesteigertes Einzelkorngewicht und eine Reduktion der N-Quelle relativ zur N-Senke. Die Reduktion der Korn-N-Konzentration durch  $e[CO_2]$  war nicht spezifisch mit einer Reduktion von Gluten-Proteinen assoziiert.

## 8. Kapitel: Summary

The atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration is expected to increase to 500–620 ppm in the future. Such an elevated atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration (e[ $CO_2$ ]) increases grain yield, but can decrease tissue N concentrations by about 9% in wheat. This could endanger global food security. Moreover, in previous studies, a decrease of grain N concentration by e[ $CO_2$ ] has closely been associated with that of gluten proteins, indicating a decreased baking quality under e[ $CO_2$ ]. The mechanisms by which e[ $CO_2$ ] decreases N concentration are still unclear and FACE studies investigating  $CO_2 \times N$  interactions on the formation of grain yield and the quality of winter wheat are scarce.

The first main objective was the analysis of a decreased N concentration in the grain by  $e[CO_2]$  in winter wheat based on a two-year FACE experiment with widely differing N levels (35 to 320 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) and different N forms (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>). The focus was on key processes of grain N acquisition that are leaf NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> assimilation, N remobilization and post-anthesis N uptake. The hypotheses were:  $e[CO_2]$  inhibits leaf NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> assimilation,  $e[CO_2]$  decreases N remobilization (Nrem) by decreased N concentrations at anthesis and  $e[CO_2]$  decreases post-anthesis N uptake (Nabs) by inhibition of leaf NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> assimilation or acceleration of senescence. The second main objective was the simultaneous analysis of the  $e[CO_2]$  effect on the grain proteome and baking quality with the hypothesis that  $e[CO_2]$  reduces gluten proteins and thereby baking quality.

 $e[CO_2]$  increased grain yield in all N levels by 10% to 17% mainly through enhanced grain number per m<sup>2</sup> ground area. This was due to increased radiation use efficiency (**chapter 2**). These increases were smaller under N deficiency compared with high N supply. The reasons were a reduction of photosynthesis capacity by  $e[CO_2]$  and a sink limitation concerning grain yield due to N deficiency during ear growth. The indication for the reduction of photosynthesis capacity was a decrease of leaf N concentration under  $e[CO_2]$  regardless of green leaf area index under N deficiency. An indication for sink limitation of grain yield was the decrease of harvest index by  $e[CO_2]$  because of a strong and small stimulation of stem and ear growth, respectively by  $e[CO_2]$ .

Grain N yield was increased by  $e[CO_2]$  under all N levels (**chapter 3**). There was a strong linear relation between grain N yield and grain number that was unaffected by  $e[CO_2]$ . In contrast with the hypotheses of an decreased Nrem and Nabs under  $e[CO_2]$ ,  $e[CO_2]$  resulted in an increase of Nrem,

Nrem efficiency and Nabs, causing the increase of grain N yield. Nevertheless,  $e[CO_2]$  slightly decreased grain N concentration (by 1 to 6%), whereby the smallest effect of 1% was found under N deficiency. This decrease was primarily related to a growth dilution effect due to an increased individual grain weight under  $e[CO_2]$ . A further reason was a stronger increase of grain number than an increase of vegetative N yield at anthesis by  $e[CO_2]$  and thereby a decrease of the ratio between the N source and the N sink.

Indication for an  $e[CO_2]$  induced inhibition of leaf  $NO_3^-$  assimilation was not found as  $e[CO_2]$  did not result in a decreased activity of leaf nitrate reductase under all N levels at both cool (17 °C) and warm (28 °C) temperatures (**chapter 4**). Furthermore, the  $e[CO_2]$  induced stimulation of growth and N acquisition was not stronger under  $NH_4^+$  compared with  $NO_3^-$  based N-fertilization.

Reduction of grain protein concentration by  $e[CO_2]$  was associated with reduced albumin/globulin and gluten concentrations under all N levels (**chapter 5**). Under optimal N supply, the grain protein composition was changed by  $e[CO_2]$  with altogether 19 decreased and 17 increased protein spots. 15 out of the 16 identified decreased proteins were globulins, whereas specific gluten proteins were not found to be affected by  $e[CO_2]$ . Correspondingly, baking quality remained unaffected under  $e[CO_2]$  under all N conditions.

In conclusion, grain N yields were increased by  $e[CO_2]$  due to an increase of Nrem and Nabs with grain number being the driving force. Grain N concentrations were slightly reduced under  $e[CO_2]$  with a growth dilution effect and a changed source to sink ratio as the underlying mechanisms. The reduction of the grain N concentration by  $e[CO_2]$  was not specifically associated with a reduction of gluten proteins.

## Danksagung

Herzlich möchte ich Prof. Dr. Christian Zörb für seine Unterstützung und für die Chance an diesem interessanten und zukunftsweisenden Projekt zu arbeiten, danken.

Mein besonderer Dank geht an Dr. Remy Manderscheid für seinen ausgezeichnete wissenschaftliche Unterstützung. Du hattest immer Zeit und ein offenes Ohr für mich. Ich hoffe, dass wir unsere fruchtbare Zusammenarbeit noch etwas fortsetzten können, auch wenn Du bereits im Ruhestand bist.

Bei Prof. Dr. Hans-Joachim Weigel bedanke ich mich für seine wertvollen Ratschläge beim Anfertigen der verschiedenen Manuskripte.

Weiterhin gilt mein Dank Dr. Martin Erbs, Anke Führer, Andrea Kremling, Evelin Schummer, Jan Sickora, Ralf Staudte und den weiteren Mitarbeitern vom Thünen-Institut für Biodiversität und für Agrarklimaschutz. Diese haben mich stets tatkräftig unterstützt und herzlich an ihrem Institut aufgenommen, sodass ich mich dort sehr wohl gefühlt und sehr gerne gearbeitet habe.

Auch möchte ich hier meinen Braunschweiger Doktoranden-Kollegen Antonio Perez, Quentin Schorpp, Michael Hemkermeyer und Anna-Lena Müller für die tolle Zeit in Braunschweig danken.

Prof. Dr. Robert Hänsch und Rieke Meinen vom Institut für Pflanzenbiologie der TU Braunschweig danke ich für die exzellente Zusammenarbeit sowie die wertvollen Ratschläge und zahlreichen Motivationsschübe.

Bei Katharina Schiesser bedanke ich mich für Ihre Hilfe bei den Backversuchen und bei Julia Müller sowie Christiane Beierle für die Einweisung und akkurate Hilfe bei der Proteinanalytik.

Danken möchte ich den Mitarbeitern und meinen Mitdoktoranden vom Institut Qualität pflanzlicher Erzeugnisse in Hohenheim Bastian Franziski, Gyöngyi Bardos, Melissa Kleb, Markus Kränzlein, Carina Lang, Dr. Nikolaus Merkt, Azin Rekowski, Maria Romo, Lena Werner, Dr. Monika Wimmer und Xudong Zhang, dass sie mich stets moralisch und mit wertvollen Ratschlägen unterstützt haben.

Bei Prof. Dr. Christoph-Martin Geilfus, Prof. Dr. Uwe Ludewig und Prof. Dr. Thorsten Müller bedanke ich mich für die Übernahme des Zweitgutachtens, für die Rolle als Drittprüfers, bzw. für die Vertretung von Prof. Geilfus bei der mündlichen Prüfung.

Zu guter Letzt danke ich meinen Eltern für ihre beharrliche Unterstützung, insbesondere bei den Übungsvorträgen.

## Lebenslauf

Persönliche Daten	
Name:	Markus Dier
Geburtsdatum:	25. April 1983
Geburtsort:	Ulm
Wissenschaftliche Lauft	oahn
Seit 09/2019	Wissenschaftlicher Mitarbeiter an der Universität Hohenheim, Institut für Kulturpflanzenwissenschaften, FG Qualität pflanzlicher Erzeugnisse
01/2017 - 09/2019	Doktorand an der Universität Hohenheim, Institut für Kulturpflanzenwissenschaften, FG Qualität pflanzlicher Erzeugnisse
10/2014 - 12/2016	Doktorand am Johann Heinrich von Thünen-Institut, Institut für Biodiversität in Braunschweig
Studium	
04/2013 - 08/2017	Masterstudium der Agrarwissenschaften an der Universität Hohenheim, Schwerpunkt Pflanzenproduktion
	Abschluss: M.sc. Agrarwissenschaften
09/2004 - 07/2012	Biologiestudium an der Universität Kassel
	Abschluss: DiplBiol.
Schule	
09/2000 - 07/2004	Friedrich List Schule, Ulm, Abschluss: Abitur
09/1994 - 02/2000	Friedrich Adler Realschule, Laupheim
09/1990 - 07/1994	Grundschule Bronner Berg, Laupheim

Stuttgart, 20. November 2019